o eurostat

- Full view -

SILC_ESQRS_A_EL_2020_0000 National Reference Metadata in ESS Standard for Quality Reports Structure (ESQRSSI) Compiling agency: Hellenic Statistical Authority (ELSTAT)



Top

| Eurostat metadata | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Reference metadata | | | | |
| 1. Contact | | | | |
| 2. Statistical presentation | | | | |
| 3. Statistical processing | | | | |
| 4. Quality management | | | | |
| 5. Relevance | | | | |
| 6. Accuracy and reliability | | | | |
| 7. Timeliness and punctuality | | | | |
| 8. Coherence and comparability | | | | |
| 9. Accessibility and clarity | | | | |
| 10. Cost and Burden | | | | |
| 11. Confidentiality | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Related Metadata | | | | |
| Annexes (including footnotes) | | | | |

For any question on data and metadata, please contact: EUROPEAN STATISTICAL DATA SUPPORT

| 1. Contact | Тор |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 1.1. Contact organisation | Hellenic Statistical Authority (ELSTAT) |
| 1.2. Contact organisation unit | Population, Employment and Cost of Living Division Special Household Statistics Section |
| 1.3. Contact name | Giorgos Ntouros |
| 1.4. Contact person function | Head of Section |
| 1.5. Contact mail address | 18510, Pireos 46 and Eponiton str, PIRAEUS, GREECE |
| 1.6. Contact email address | g.ntouros@statistics.gr |
| 1.7. Contact phone number | 0030 213 1352174 |
| 1.8. Contact fax number | 0030 213 1352906 |

2. Statistical presentation

2.1. Data description

The survey on "income and living conditions" covers four topics: main indicators, income distribution and monetary poverty, living conditions, material deprivation and childcare arrangements indicators, which are again structured into collections of indicators on specific topics.

The collection "main indicators" houses those indicators provided under the Open Method of Coordination in the area of combating poverty and social exclusion. This group of indicators houses the following three collections: the overarching portfolio of indicators, the social inclusion portfolio and the pensions portfolio.

The collection "income distribution and monetary poverty" houses collections of indicators relating to poverty risk, poverty risk of working individuals, income of people at risk of poverty as well as the distribution of income. The collection "living conditions" hosts indicators relating to characteristics and living conditions of households, characteristics of the population according to different breakdowns, health and labour conditions as well as housing conditions.

The collection "current household income" covers indicators relating to financial and income parameters and "material deprivation" gives information on lack of specific goods and services in comparison with the countries of the European Union.

2.2. Classification system

The EU-SILC results are produced in accordance with the relevant international classification systems. The main classifications used are: ISCED 2011 for the level of education, ISCO 08 (COM) from 2010 for occupation and NACE (Rev. 2 from 2008) for economic activity.

2.3. Coverage - sector

Not requested by Reg.28/2004

2.4. Statistical concepts and definitions

Income

The total disposable income of a household is calculated by adding together the personal income received by all household members plus income received at household level. Missing income information in individual questionnaires is imputed.

Disposable household income includes:

- income from work (employee wages and self-employment earnings)

- private income from investment and property

- transfers between households

- all social transfers received in cash including old-age pensions

Note: Some of the income components are mandatory only from 2007: Imputed rent, Interest paid on mortgage, Value of goods from own consumption, Employer's social insurance contributions. From the 2007 year on, all countries have to supply gross income information.

Equivalence scale:

To take into account the impact of differences in household size and composition, the total disposable household income is "equivalised". The equivalised income attributed to each member of the household is calculated by dividing the total disposable income of the household by the equivalisation factor. Equivalisation factors can be determined in various ways. Eurostat applies an equivalisation factor calculated according to the OECD-modified scale first proposed in 1994 - which gives a weight of 1.0 to the first person aged 14 or more, a weight of 0.5 to other persons aged 14 or more and a weight of 0.3 to persons aged 0-13.

Household definition:

A 'private household' means "a person living alone or a group of persons living together in the same private dwelling and sharing expenditures, including the joint provision of the essentials of living". EU-SILC implementing regulation number 1983/2003 on updated definitions, defines households in terms of sharing household expenses and (for non-permanent members) in terms of duration of stay and (for temporarily absent members) in terms of duration of absence. Household type:

A common classification was developed by Eurostat for use in data collection surveys including ECHP, LFS, HBS and EU-SILC as well as the subsequent presentation of indicators relating to income, housing, education, healthcare, etc. Rather than focussing on "couples" and/or "families", the classification is constructed by reference to the numbers of adult members, their age and gender, and the numbers of dependent children living with them. This is reproduced below: **Type of household**

Total

Total All households without dependent children Single person household One adult male One adult female One adult dider than 65 years One adult aged between 0 and 64 years Two adults, no dependent children, younger than 65 years Two adults, no dependent children, at least one aged 65 years and over Three or more adults, no dependent children All households with dependent children Single parent with a least one dependent child Two adults with one dependent child

Two adults with one dependent enit

Two adults with two dependent children

Two adults with three or more dependent children

Three or more adults with dependent children

Dependent children were previously defined as all persons aged less than 16, plus those economically inactive persons aged 16-24 living with at least one of their parents. Now a slightly different definition has been adopted: All persons aged less then 18 are considered as dependent children, plus those economically inactive persons aged 18-24 living with at least one of their parents.

Activity status:

Under EU-SILC respondents are asked to declare the number of months during the year that they spent in a list of activity statuses (cross-sectional part). From this information a "calendar of activities" can be constructed.

Note: Separate questions also allow the construction of an "ILO activity status" Using the calendar of activities, the following classification of most frequent activity status is established:

Activity and/or professional status

Employee (full-time)

Employee (part-time)

Self-employed (full-time) Self-employed (part-time)

Unemployed

Pupil, student, further training, unpaid work experience

In retirement or in early retirement or has given up business

Unfit to work

Soldier

Domestic tasks

Person with permanent disability

For the 'in work poverty risk indicators', an individual is considered as having a particular activity status if he/she has spent time during the reference year in that status. For the pensions indicator 'aggregate replacement ratio' only persons who have spent the total reported time in the relevant activity status are considered.

Education level:

Under EU-SILC, the attainment levels of individuals are classified according to the 'International Standard Classification of Education' version of 2011.

Level 000 Less than primary education.

Level 100 Primary education.

Level 200 Lower secondary education.

Level 300 Upper secondary education.

Level 400 Post-secondary non-tertiary education.

Level 500 Short cycle tertiary

Level 600 Bachelor or equivalent

Level 700 Master or equivalent.

Level 800 Doctorate or equivalent.

Occupation:

Under EU-SILC, the occupational status of individuals is classified according to the 'International Standard Classification of Occupations' ISCO_08 (COM). We, also, present the following tables.

| Income | Identifier | Comparability | Deviation from definition if any |
|---|------------|------------------|---|
| Total hh gross income | (HY010) | Fully comparable | |
| Total disposable hh income | (HY020) | Fully comparable | |
| Total disposable hh income before social transfers other than old-age and survivors' benefits | (HY022) | Fully comparable | |
| Total disposable hh income before all social transfers | (HY023) | Fully comparable | |
| Imputed rent | (HY030) | Fully comparable | |
| Income from rental of property or land | (HY040) | Fully comparable | |
| Family/ Children related allowances | (HY050) | Fully comparable | |
| Social exclusion payments not elsewhere classified | (HY060) | Fully comparable | |
| Housing allowances | (HY070) | Fully comparable | |
| Regular inter-hh cash transfers received | (HY080) | Fully comparable | |
| Interest, dividends, profit from capital investments in incorporated businesses | (HY090) | Fully comparable | |
| Interest paid on mortgage | (HY100) | Fully comparable | |
| Income received by people aged under 16 | (HY110) | Fully comparable | |
| Regular taxes on wealth | (HY120) | Fully comparable | |
| Regular inter-hh transfers paid | (HY130) | Fully comparable | |
| Value of goods produced for own consumption | (HY170) | Fully comparable | |
| Cash or near-cash employee income | (PY010) | Fully comparable | |
| Other non-cash employee income | (PY020) | Fully comparable | |
| Income from private use of company car | (PY021) | Fully comparable | |
| Employers social insurance contributions | (PY030) | Fully comparable | |
| Cash profits or losses from self- employment | (PY050) | Fully comparable | |
| Unemployment benefits | (PY090) | Fully comparable | |
| Old-age benefits | (PY100) | Fully comparable | |
| Survivors benefits | (PY110) | Fully comparable | |
| Sickness benefits | (PY120) | Fully comparable | |
| Disability benefits | (PY130) | Fully comparable | |
| Education-related allowances | (PY140) | Fully comparable | |
| Gross monthly earnings for employees | (PY200) | Fully comparable | Gross monthly earnings from employees were collected despite the fact that the gender pay gap is calculated with data from sources other than EU-SILC. |

| The source or procedure used for the collection of income variables | variables at component level have | The method used for obtaining target variables in the required form | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----|--|--|
| PAPI, CATI | Questionnaires | Survey | | | |
| 2.5. Statistical unit | | | | | |
| Households and household member | s | | | | |
| 2.6. Statistical population | | | | | |
| The EU-SILC target population in e Persons living in collective househo | | | on. | | |
| 2.7. Reference area | | | | | |
| The whole country | | | | | |
| 2.8. Coverage - Time | | | | | |
| Annual survey | | | | | |
| 2.9. Base period | | | | | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 | | | | | |

3. Statistical processing

Detailed information concerning sampling frame, sampling design, sampling units, sampling size, weightings and modes of data collection can be found in this section. Such information is mainly used for the computation of the accuracy measures.

3.1. Source data

Sampling frame and coverage errors

EU-SILC survey is based on a two-stage stratified sampling of households from a frame of sampling which has been created on the basis of the results of the 2011 population census and covers completely the reference population The frame of PSUs is updated every ten (10) years through the general population census. Concerning the frame of households, within each selected PSU this is updated before the selection of the sampling households used for data collection. So, any coverage problem that may arise is more possible to relate with the frame of PSUs.

Top

Coverage problems encountered were:

· Some houses were used as secondary residence, so they were out of scope of the survey.

- · Some houses were impossible to be located due to incomplete information regarding their addresses.
- · Housing units built after March 2020, were not included in our sampling frame.

The number of the above cases was (76) and such cases are corrected with the use of the calibration procedure applied as it is described in the respective paragraph.

3.1.1. Sampling

Type of sampling design The two-stage area sampling was applied for the EU-SILC survey.

Stratification and sub stratification criteria

The sampling design involves two levels of area stratification of the target population: (i) the first level is geographical stratification based on the partition of the total country area into the thirteen standard administrative regions, corresponding to the European NUTS II level. Stratification by region, implemented also in the original design of the SILC, is necessary for achieving specified precision at regional level. (ii) The second level of stratification involves grouping, within each region, municipalities and communes into four categories by degree of urbanization, i.e., according to their population size. The four degrees of urbanization are delineated in Table 1. The two major city of exagglomerations of Athens and Thessalonica constitute two separate major geographical strata within the regions of Attiki and Kentriki Makedonia, respectively. Thus, the total number of strata in the thirteen regions, excluding the cities of Aftens and Thessalonica, is 50; it should be noted that the highest degree of urbanization is lacking in two regions. The two major city agglomerations of Athens and Thessalonica are further partitioned into 31 and 9 substrata (administrative subdivisions), respectively, on the basis of the city blocks of the municipalities that constitute them. Thus, the total number of strata for this survey is 90.

Stratum Degree of Urbanization 30,000 residents or more 1 5,000 - 29,999 residents 2 3 1,000 - 4,999 residents

4 0-999 residents

The number of the final strata in the thirteen (13) Regions is 50. The former Greater Athens Area was divided into 31 strata on the basis of the lists of city blocks of the Municipalities that constitute it and taking into consideration socioconomic criteria. Similarly, the former Greater Thessaloniki Area was divided into 9 strata. The two Major former City Agglomerations account for about 35.5% of total population and for even larger percentages in certain socio-economic variables. Thus, the total number of final strata of the survey is 90.

The initial sample size is 17,073 households, 4.2‰ of the total population of households (4,115,678).

1st stage of sampling

Selection algorithm

The random selection of the specified number of PSUs is carried out separately in each stratum in the following steps.

1. Before the selection, list all PSUs in the stratum in random order;

2. for each PSU in the stratum, cumulate the population sizes (number of private households) for PSUs up to and including itself, e.g., for PSU i calculate the total $T_i = N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_i$, where N_1, N_2, \dots, N_i denote sizes of PSUs in the particular stratum;

3. determine the range corresponding to each PSU in the stratum, that is, from (but not including) the cumulative sum for the previous PSU to the cumulative sum for the current PSU, e.g., for PSU i the range is (T_{i-1}, T_i];

4. divide the total cumulative size by the number n of PSUs to be sampled, to get the sampling interval (SI);

5. determine a random start, r, between 1 and SI;

6. select those n PSUs whose range contains the random numbers r. r+SI, r+2SI, ..., r+(n-1)SI.

By design, the total number of selected PSUs in each stratum is a multiple, say d, of 4, so that each rotating panel is composed of 4d PSUs. The selected PSUs are assigned to the four panels as follows. Assume that all 4d selected PSUs are listed in the order of their selection. Then the d PSUs assigned to the ith panel (i=1,2,3,4) are those in the sequence of selection i, i+4, i+2*4, ..., i+(d-1)*4. For example, in a stratum with 12 selected PSUs, the four panels will be formed by the PSUs according to the sequences of selection (1, 5, 9), (2, 6, 9), (3, 7, 11), (4, 8, 12), respectively.

Sample rotation

Annually, a newly rotating-in panel is formed by another d PSUs in each stratum, which are selected as follows. The d PSUs of the outgoing panel are located in the full randomized list of PSUs in the stratum. For each of these, the next PSU on the list is chosen as its replacement, and all these four replacements form the new panel.

In this stage, from any final stratum, say stratum h, nh primary units were drawn. The number nh of draws was approximately proportional to the population size Nh of the stratum (number of households according to the last population census of the year 2011).

2nd stage of sampling

In the second sampling stage, a systematic random sample of households is drawn, with a pre-fixed sampling rate, from the current population of households (based on a list constructed in the field, updating the list of the Census 2011) of each selected PSU.

Sample distribution over time

In this stage from each primary sampling unit (selected area) the sample of ultimate units (households) is selected. Actually, in the second stage we draw a sample of dwellings. However, in most cases, there is one-to-one relation between household and dwelling. If the selected dwelling consists of one or more households then all of them are interviewed

Probabilities of selection

For the two-level stratification scheme described above, the lowest-level strata we will be referred to as final strata. Given the sample allocation to the final strata, the survey design determines the selection probabilities for the two stages as follows.

Let Nh denote the number of private households in final stratum h of region R, according to the Census 2011, and let n1h denote the number of PSUs to select from the same stratum for the sample of all four panels. Next let nh denote the sample size for stratum h and let n_{hi} denote the number of households to select from PSU i in stratum h. Then, with the number n_{hi} kept constant for all PSUs in the stratum, the number of PSUs in stratum h is

$n_{1k} = \frac{n_k}{n_k}$

Now let N_{hi} denote the number of private households in PSU i in stratum h (in region R) according to Census 2011. Then the probability of selecting PSU i in stratum h in the first stage, proportionally to the size of the PSU, is

$\pi_{ki} = n_{ki} \frac{N_{ki}}{N_i}$

The conditional probability $p_j / h_0 of$ selecting household j in the second stage, given that PSU i is selected, is the sampling rate l_{hi} used to systematically select households for that PSU. Then the unconditional probability of selecting household j in PSU i in stratum h is

$\pi_{kij} = \pi_{ki}\pi_{j/ki} = n_{ik}\frac{N_{ki}}{N_i}\lambda_{ki}$

Now, to make the probabilities of selection of all households in region R equal, the sampling rate lhi should satisfy the condition

$\pi_{hij} = n_{1h} \frac{N_{hi}}{N_{\star}} \hat{\lambda}_{hi} = \frac{n^{\star}}{N_{\mu}},$

where n_R is the total sample size for region R (sum of the adjusted sample sizes for all strata of the region) and N_R is the total population size of region R. This implies that

$\hat{\lambda}_{hi} = \frac{1}{n_{th}} \frac{N_k}{N_{hi}} \frac{n^R}{N_R}$

Note that because of the aforementioned rounding, l_{hi} is not exactly equal to $n_{hi'}N_{hi}$.

The fixed sampling rate l_{hi} is to be applied to the updated number of households of the selected PSU, denoted by M_{hit} . Thus, the number of households that will be selected will be $m_{hi} = \lambda_{hi} M_{hi}$, rounded to the nearest integer, and may be larger or smaller than n_{hi} depending on whether M_{hi} is larger or smaller than n_{hi} . In case that m_{hi} is significantly larger than n_{hi} , thereby increasing the cost and the operational burden, as well as the intracluster correlation, it may be decided to sample the planned number n_{hi} of households. This can be done by dropping at random, using systematic subsampling, $m_{hi} - n_{hi}$ of the selected households. This is equivalent to having initially sampled systematically with the adjusted (smaller) sampling rate $\lambda_{hi} N_{hi'} M_{hi}$, or with larger sampling interval. On the other hand, if m_{hi} is smaller than n_{hi} , and with possible nonresponse yielding a too small sample, it may be decided to sample the planned number h_{hi} of households. Again, this would be equivalent to having initially sampled systematically with the larger sampling rate $\lambda_{hi} N_{hi'} M_{hi}$, or with larger sampling interval. On the other hand, if m_{hi} is realler than n_{hi} , and with possible nonresponse yielding a too small sample, it may be decided to sample the planned number $h_{hi} n$ of households. Again, this would be equivalent to having initially sampled systematically with the larger sampling rate $\lambda_{hi} N_{hi'} M_{hi}$. Such stabilization of the sample size are encountered.

Since every person of a selected household is included in the sample, computing the selection probability of a given person is equivalent to computing the probability that the person's household is selected. Consequently, all members of a household have the same selection probability

As the survey is annual, the sample of households is not distributed over time. The 2020 survey was carried out from July to November 2020 with reference period the previous year (2019).

| Month | Date | Number | % |
|-----------|----------|--------|-------|
| | 1 to 10 | 0 | 0.0 |
| July | 11 to 20 | 633 | 4.2 |
| | 21 to 31 | 2,789 | 18.5 |
| | 1 to 10 | 2,187 | 14.5 |
| August | 11 to 20 | 1,436 | 9.5 |
| | 21 to 31 | 1,453 | 9.6 |
| | 1 to 10 | 2,012 | 13.3 |
| September | 11 to 20 | 1,424 | 9.4 |
| | 21 to 30 | 1,098 | 7.3 |
| | 1 to 10 | 956 | 6.3 |
| October | 11 to 20 | 603 | 4.0 |
| | 21 to 31 | 354 | 2.3 |
| | 1 to 10 | 124 | 0.8 |
| November | 11 to 20 | 16 | 0.1 |
| | 21 to 30 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Total | | 15,086 | 100.0 |

In 2019 the sample design based on the results of the "Study of the current sampling design of the Survey of Income and Living Conditions (SILC) with the objective to increase/adjust the sample at regional (NUTSII) level" in order to improve the estimates of regional EU-SILC indicators.

The new design will be introduced gradually with the annual replacement of the outgoing panel, starting in 2019, and be fully implemented in four years when all four new panel samples will have been selected. Until then the old and the new designs will be running in combination, providing sufficient precision at both national and regional level. The objective of the redesign is that when fully implemented the new design will satisfy the precision requirements with a smaller sample than the current one.

3.1.2. Sampling unit

The sample of private households was selected in two stages. The primary units are the areas (one or more unified city blocks) and the ultimate units selected in each sampling area are the households.

3.1.3. Sampling frame

Concerning the SILC instrument, three different sample size definitions can be applied:

- the actual sample size which is the number of sampling units selected in the sample

- the achieved sample size which is the number of observed sampling units (household or individual) with an accepted interview

- the effective sample size which is defined as the achieved sample size divided by the design effect with regards to the at-risk-of poverty rate indicator

In this section the attention focuses mainly on the achieved sample size.

Sample size and allocation criteria

According to the Article 9 of the Regulation (EC) No 1177/2003, the minimum *effective sample size* for Greece is 4.750 households and 9.500 persons aged 16 or over.

| The actual sa | Rotation Rotation Rotation Rotation Rotation Rotation Rota Acta 4 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Status of households' sample | 17,073 | 4,186 | 4,746 | 5,526 | 2,615 |

In Greece, there are thirteen (13) administrative regions (NUTS2). However, the 2nd geographical region (Kentriki Macedonia) and the 9th geographical region (Attiki) do not include the Greater Thessaloniki and the Greater Athens area respectively; both of these two major agglomerations are treated as a separate geographical region.

| Sample | Distribution |
|--------|--------------|
|--------|--------------|

| | Name | | Accepted (DB135=1) |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| EL30 | Attiki | 3,946 | 3,024 |
| EL41 | Voreio Aigaio | 984 | 946 |
| EL42 | Notio Aigaio | 1,081 | 965 |
| EL43 | Kriti | 1,175 | 1,100 |
| EL51 | Anatoliki Makedonia & Thraki | 955 | 888 |
| EL52 | Kentriki Makedonia | 2,057 | 1,824 |
| EL53 | Dytiki Makedonia | 751 | 690 |
| EL54 | Hpeiros | 1,281 | 1,226 |
| EL61 | Thessalia | 1,016 | 941 |
| EL62 | Ionia Nisia | 838 | 723 |
| EL63 | Dytiki Ellada | 1,007 | 916 |
| EL64 | Sterea Ellada | 1,074 | 989 |
| EL65 | Peloponnisos | 908 | 854 |
| Total | | 17,073 | 15,086 |

Out of the initial 17,073 households a sample of 15,086 households were successfully contacted and completed the household questionnaire, so accepted for the database. This was above the minimum effective sample size (4,750 households) requested by the Regulation (EC) No 1177/2003 Article 9. Thus, the achieved sample size was 15,086 households, with 32,962 persons in total off which 28,966 are 16 years old and over and 28,878 of them completed the personal interview. The number of households of the new sub-sample selected was 4,186.

Overall, 76 addresses were not successfully contacted, since they were actually out of scope of the survey (do not exist or are non-residential or unoccupied or not principal residences) or they were not be possible to locate the addresses despite special efforts were being made to do so.

The 2020 sample results are shown in the table below:

| Distribution of Households by "record of contact at address (DI | | | |
|---|---------------------------|------|--|
| | Number of households % | | |
| Total (DB120 =11 to 23) | 4,289 | 100 | |
| Address contacted (DB120 =11) | 4,213 | 98.2 | |
| Address non-contacted (DB120 =21 to 23) | 76 | 1.8 | |
| Address cannot be located (DB120 =21) | 64 | 1.5 | |
| Address unable to access (DB120 =22) | 2 | 0.0 | |

| Address does not exist (DB120 =23) | 10 0.2 | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Distribution of Households by "Ho | ousehold questionnaire result | (DB130)" and by "Household | interview acceptance (DB135)" |
| | Number of households | % | |
| Total | 16,833 | 3 100 | |
| Household questionnaire completed (DB130 =11) | 15,086 | 5 89.6 | |
| Interview not completed (DB130 =21 to 24) | 1,747 | 7 10.4 | |
| Refusal to co-operate (DB130 =21) | 1,050 | 6.3 | |
| Entire household temporarily | 424 | 2 5 | |

| Entire household temporarily | 424 | 2.5 |
|--|--------|-----|
| away (DB130 =22) Household unable to respond | 43 | 0.3 |
| (DB130 =23) Other reasons(DB130 =24) | 224 | |
| Household questionnaire completed (DB135=1or 2) | 15,086 | |
| Interview accepted for database (DB135=1) | 15,086 | 100 |
| Interview rejected (DB135=2) | 0 | 0 |
| Achieved Sample size | | |

A

The table below presents the achieved samples of persons aged 16 years and over, as well as of households, within each rotational group.

| | Total | Rotation 1 | Rotation 2 | Rotation 3 | Rotation 4 |
|---|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Individuals 16 years and over | 28,966 | 5,729 | 8,718 | 9,896 | 4,623 |
| Number of accepted personal questionnaires | 28,878 | 5,712 | 8,712 | 9,841 | 4,613 |
| Accepted household interviews | 15,086 | 2,973 | 4,511 | 5,173 | 2,429 |

Distribution of Household Members by data status and rotation group

| | Total | RB250 =11 | RB250 =21 | RB250 =22 | RB250 =23 | RB250 =31 | RB250 =32 | RB250 =33 |
|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total | 28966 | 28878 | 6 | 0 | 38 | 37 | 3 | 4 |
| % | 100 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Rotation 1 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 5729 | 5712 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| % | 100 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| | Rotation 2 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 8718 | 8712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| % | 100 | 99.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Rotation 3 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 9896 | 9841 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 22 | 1 | 1 |
| % | 100 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Rotation 4 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 4623 | 4613 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| % | 100 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

where:

11= information completed only from interview

21= individual unable to respond 22= failed return self-completed questionnaire

23= refusal to co-operate

31= person temporarily away and no proxy possible

32= no contact for other reasons 33= information not completed: reason unknown

Substitutions

No substitution procedures were applied Method of selection of substitutes

Not applicable

Renewal of sample: Rotational Groups

The survey is a simple rotational design survey. The sample for any year consists of 4 replications, which have been in the survey for 1-4 years. With the exception of the first three years of the survey, any particular replication remains in the survey for 4 years. Each year, one of the 4 replications from the previous year is dropped and a new one is added. Between year T and T+1 the sample overlap is 75%; the overlap between year T and year T+2 is 50%; and it is reduced to 25% from year T to year T+3, and to zero for longer intervals. The size of each Rotational Group for the 2020 survey is shown in Table below:

Household sample size of the rotational groups

| | Total | Rotation 1 | Rotation 2 | Rotation 3 | Rotation 4 |
|--|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Addresses in initial sample | 17,073 | 4,186 | 4,746 | 5,526 | 2,615 |
| Household Questionnaires completed | 17,073 | 4,186 | 4,746 | 5,526 | 2,615 |
| Interviews Accepted for database | 15,086 | 2,973 | 4,511 | 5,173 | 2,429 |

Longitudinal Sample Size 2017-2020

| Year | Rotation 2 | Rotation 3 | Rotation 4 | Totals | |
|--------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------|--|
| | (4 years) | (3 years) | (2 years) | | |
| 2017 | 9,724 | 0 | 0 | 9,724 | |
| 2018 | 7,092 | 9,250 | 0 | 16,342 | |
| 2019 | 5,717 | 6,793 | 3,904 | 16,414 | |
| 2020 | 4,746 | 5,526 | 2,615 | 12,887 | |
| Totals | 27 279 | 21 569 | 6 519 | 55 367 | |

3.2. Frequency of data collection ELSTAT collects EU-SILC data annually.

3.3. Data collection

Modes of data collection

Mostly paper assisted personal interviewing (PAPI) technique has been used. The other techniques used are presented in the following table as the distribution of individuals aged 16 or over by data status and type of interview. RP260=1RP260=3RP260=3RP260=5RP26

| | | XD200-11 | XD200-51 | XD200-01 | XB200-0 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| | Total | PAPI | CATI | PAPI Proxy | CATI Proxy |
| Total | 28,878 | 21,137 | 6,344 | 808 | 589 |
| % | 100 | 73.2 | 22.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| | Rotation | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |

| Total | 5,712 | 4,396 | 959 | 222 | 135 |
|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| % | 100 | 77.0 | 16.8 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| | Rotation | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |
| Total | 8,712 | 6,236 | 2,093 | 215 | 168 |
| % | 100 | 71.6 | 24.0 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| | Rotation | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | |
| Total | 9,841 | 7,284 | 2,157 | 204 | 196 |
| % | 100 | 74.0 | 21.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| | Rotation | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | |
| Total | 4,613 | 3,221 | 1,135 | 167 | 90 |
| % | 100 | 69.8 | 24.6 | 3.6 | 2.0 |
| 3.4. Data | a validation | | | | |

Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003.

3.5. Data compilation

Please find below a description of the weighting and imputation procedures

3.5.1. Weighting procedure Design Factor

For the computation of the sample household design weights and the cross sectional weights of the survey in general, the EC-Eurostat document EU-SILC Doc. 157/05 was used.

For the households of the new panel 1 introduced in 2020, which replaced panel 2 introduced in 2017, the household design weight (target variable DB080) is defined as the inverse of its probability of selection

(See Formula and explanations in the attached Annex)

For households in panels 2,3 and 4 the household design weights are defined by applying the general procedure of EU-SILC Doc. titled "Longitudinal Weighting" for the longitudinal weights and EU-SILC Doc 65 as a supporting document

· Computation of panel person design weights

· Correction for non-response due to attrition

· Computation of sub-sample household weights

· Computation of sample household design weights

The longitudinal period of this quality report refers to the period 2017-2020. The rotation panels this period comprises are depicted in the following scheme.

| Ĩ | 2017 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
|---|------|---|---|---|---|
| ſ | 2018 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ſ | 2019 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ſ | 2020 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |

As it is clear from the scheme above:

• The longitudinal component 2017-2020 of EU-SILC consists of rotation panels 2, 3 and 4 for a duration of 4, 3 and 2 years respectively (2017-2020 for rotation panel 2, 2018-2020 for rotation panel 3 and 2019-2020 for rotation panel 4).

• the cross-sectional component 2020 of EU-SILC consists of rotation panels 2, 3, 4, and 1.

• The first wave of the EU-SILC longitudinal component is the first year each rotation panel of the longitudinal component is in the survey, while the second and following waves are the 2nd, 3rd and 4th year respectively for which the specific rotation panel is being surveyed. Also, in general, the cross-sectional weights computed for the survey form the basis also for the computation of longitudinal weights and the methods and procedures used are identical. So, the computation of the longitudinal weight variables and the relevant procedure is a continuation of the cross-sectional procedure

Non-Response Adjustments

Within each design stratum, the non-response adjustment of the responding households is carried out by the inverse of the response rate, so as to "make up" for non-responding cases in that stratum.

Target variable DB080 was adjusted for non-response for the variables DB120 (record of contact at address) and DB130 (household questionnaire result). The corrections were conducted at subsequent steps. The multiplication of DB080 with each one of the two corrections, results in a corrected DB080 weight that is used as initial weight in the calibration procedure referred in the following paragraph.

Concerning the non-response adjustment for the second and following waves of the longitudinal component, especially concerning variables RB060 and PB050, the previous year's respective values are corrected (inflated) with an adjustment coefficient in order to take into account the population "attrition". This coefficient is computed for every year and panel separately based on the specific for that year and panel population characteristics. Also this coefficient is different for each one of the two variables RB060 and PB050 since those two refer to different populations (RB060 to all persons irrespectively of their age, while PB050 to adults that accepted to participate in the survey).

Adjustments to External Data

Adjustment to external data involves the calibration of the household and personal weights in conjunction with external sources (Projections for population and household totals for the year 2020). This method enables the distribution of auxiliary variables, at household and individual level, to coincide with the corresponding population distribution of external data.

The auxiliary variables used at household level are the household size, the tenure status and the Region (NUTS 2). Also, at personal level the auxiliary variables used are age groups (five years age groups) and gender.

The weights obtained after this procedure of calibration are the household cross-sectional weights (variable: DB090). As all the household members reply to the household questionnaire, DB090 is also the weight of each member of the household (variable: RB050).

The last step involves the calculation of the personal cross sectional weights for household members aged of 16 and over (variable: PB040). The calibration procedure was applied again using as initial weights variable RB050 and as auxiliary variable the distribution of population aged 16 and over by age (five years age groups) and sex.

Final Weights

The final cross sectional weights where calculated as described above, i.e using DB080 after non-response adjustment as the initial weights for new panel and base weights adjusted for non-response due to attrition for former panels. The calibration methods were then applied for the total sample.

The final longitudinal weights (variables DB095, RB060 and PB050) where calculated with the same way as the respective cross-sectional weights (DB090, RB050 and PB040). Then, longitudinal weight variables RB062, RB063 and RB064 are computed on the basis of RB060, but as indicated from the respective documents, they are computed only for year 2020 and panels "2,3,4", "2,3" and "2" respectively.

Annexes:

ANNEX_WEIGHTING_PROCEDURE-2017_2020

3.5.2. Estimation and imputation

Imputation Procedure Used

In the very few cases where imputation was required, mainly, net income was converted to gross by applying the existing tax system and social insurance contributions rules. Personal refusals were imputed using existing data from previous waves as the starting point.

Imputed Rent

We calculate the imputed rent using the self assessment method and the stratification method. With the first method, the respondent provides the figure and the interviewer checks the answer according to the rents prevailing in the specific area. Also, for calculation of the imputed rent we developed the stratification method using the following variables:

• Dwelling type - a)Detached house, b)Semi-detached or groups of similarly dwellings, c)Apartment or flat in a building with less than 10 dwellings, d)Apartment or flat in a building with 10 dwellings or more, e)Some other kind of accommodation, please specify

Number of rooms

• Tenure status - a)Owned, b)Rented, c)Sub-rented with rent at prevailing or market price (Included are cases where rent is recovered from housing benefit), d)Rented at a reduced price (lower price than the market price), e)Provided rent-free (from the employer, relatives. etc.)

For owned dwelling

Year of purchase/inhabit main dwelling Monthly imputed rent for the dwelling (price that the household would pay for a similar rented dwelling)

Approximate range for imputed rent (when the household does not know Mortgage loan (paid interest)

• For dwelling rented with rent lower than the market price Year of sign the rent contract for the main dwelling

Rent per month for the main dwelling

Monthly Imputed rent for the dwelling (if it is provided at reduced price)

Approximate range for imputed rent (if the household does not know)

For provided rent-free dwelling

Year of movement in the dwelling

Monthly Imputed rent for the dwelling (price that the household would pay for a similar rented dwelling) Approximate range for imputed rent (if the household does not know)

• Other variables: Dwelling amenities, balcony, veranda, garage/ parking, elevator, swimming pool garden and also dwelling area.

It is noted that in the files the variable was completed with the results of the stratification method.

Company Car

The benefit for individuals of using a company car for private use was not directly assessed at the interview but afterwards calculated by applying the depreciation method. According to doc. EU-SILC 130/04 the main idea of the method was to impute to the employee the amount the recipient would have to pay over the reference period to enjoy the same benefit from the use of own vehicle. More specifically:

1. Depreciation = (Purchase prices - selling prices at X) / X.

2. Where X is the average age of a company car.

To calculate the "purchase price" and the "selling price", the model, the registration year and other characteristics of the car have been used. A list of prices or manufacturer's recommended retail prices have been used for a wide range of new cars. If a specific type of car was not included in the list, the RRP has been available from the manufacturer's website. If a RRP was not available in the country, then it was estimated based on the price of a similar car or the price relative to other cars in the country with the similar pricing structure. The list price included VAT and vehicle registration tax. For calculating the "average age of a company car" an average of 5 has been considered.

Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003.

4. Quality management

4.1. Quality assurance

The quality of the survey is ensured by the existence of a methodological handbook issued by Eurostat, as well as by the use of a common questionnaire – template in order to improve comparability of results in all member states, and with the application of Code of Good Practice for European Statistics.

Top

<u>Top</u>

Top

More specifically, the EU-SILC survey is based on a framework Regulation (1177/2003) that defines the scope, definitions, time reference, characteristics of the data, data required, sampling, sample sizes, transmission of data, publication, access for scientific purposes, financing, reports and studies. In addition, Eurostat and Member States have developed the technical aspects of the instrument, in particular one Regulation on 'Quality Reports' (28/2004). Quality Assurance Framework of the European Statistical System

4.2. Quality management - assessment

Assessment of the quality is carried out by the ELSTAT and Eurostat. The sample size is such, as to ensure high accuracy results. The sample size represents the reference research population and all necessary measures are taken in order to accomplish the appropriate checks and minimize measurement errors in data collection. The data are accompanied by quality reports analyzing the accuracy, consistency and comparability of data. After the checks in order to detect errors, which are being corrected and the estimation of sampling errors, the obtained results are considered to be of high quality.

5. Relevance

5.1. Relevance - User Needs

The main user of EU-SILC is Eurostat: Other users are:

- Institutional users like other Commission services, other European institutions (such as the ECB), national administrations (mainly those in charge of the monitoring of social protection and social inclusion, or other international organisations;
 - Statistical users in Eurostat or in Member States National Statistical Institutes to feed sectoral or transversal publications such as the Annual Progress Report on the Lisbon Strategy (structural indicators), the Sustainable Development Strategy monitoring report, the Eurostat yearbook and various pocketbooks, among other reports;
 - Researchers having access to microdata

End users - including the media - interested in living conditions and social cohesion in the EU.

5.2. Relevance - User Satisfaction

Department of Statistical Information Transmission conducts a survey on users' satisfaction.

User satisfaction survey

5.3. Completeness

The completeness of data and breakdowns are considered as very satisfactory based on the needs set from Eurostat's Regulations

5.3.1. Data completeness - rate

The completeness of data and breakdowns are considered as very satisfactory based on the needs set from Eurostat's Regulations.

6. Accuracy and reliability

The concept of accuracy refers to the precision of estimates computed from a sample rather than from the entire population. Accuracy depends on sample size, sampling design effect and structure of the population under study. In addition to that, sampling errors and non sampling errors need to be taken into account. Sampling errors refer to the variability that occurs at random because of the use of a sample rather than a census and non-sampling errors are errors that occur in all phases of the data collection and production process.

6.1. Accuracy - overall

In terms of precision requirements, the EU-SILC framework regulation as well the Commission Regulation on sampling and tracing rules refer respectively, to the effective sample size to be achieved and to representativeness of the sample. The effective sample size combines sample size and sampling design effect which depends on sampling design, population structure and non-response rate.

6.2. Sampling error

EU-SILC is a complex survey involving different sampling design in different countries. In order to harmonize and make sampling errors comparable among countries, Eurostat (with the substantial methodological support of Net-SILC2) has chosen to apply the "linearization" technique coupled with the "ultimate cluster" approach for variance estimation.

Linearization is a technique based on the use of linear approximation to reduce non-linear statistics to a linear form, justified by asymptotic properties of the estimator. This technique can encompass a wide variety of indicators, including EU-SILC indicators. The "ultimate cluster" approach is a simplification consisting in calculating the variance taking into account only variation among Primary Sampling Unit (PSU) totals. This method requires first stage sampling fractions to be small which is nearly always the case. This method allows a great flexibility and simplifies the calculations of variances. It can also be generalized to calculate variance of the differences of one year to another . The main hypothesis on which the calculations are based is that the "at risk of poverty" threshold is fixed. According to the characteristics and availability of data for different countries we have used different variables to specify strata and eluster information.

In particular, countries have been split into 3 groups:

1) BE, BG, CZ, IE, EL, ES, FR, IT, LV, HU, PL, PT, RO, SI, UK and HR whose sampling design could be assimilated to a two-stage stratified type we used DB050 (primary strata) for strata specification and DB060 (Primary Sampling Unit) for cluster specification:

2) DK, DE, EE, CY, LT, LU, NL AT, SK, FI, CH whose sampling design could be assimilated to a one stage stratified type we used DB050 for strata specification and DB030 (household ID) for cluster specification;

MT, SE, IS, NO, whose sampling design could be assimilated to a simple random sampling, we used DB030 for cluster specification and no strata. 6.2.1. Sampling error - indicators

| AROPE At risk of poverty Severe 60% Material Deprivation | | | | | | | | | /ery low k intensit | у | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|------|------------------|---------------------|---|---------------|----------|------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Ind. value | Var(str) | CV | Stand. errors | Half CI (95%) | Ind. value | Var(str) | CV | Stand. errors | Half CI (95%) | Ind. value | Var(str) | CV | Stand. errors | Half CI (95%) | | Ind. value | Var(str) | CV | Stand. errors | Half CI (95%) |
| Total | 28.90 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 1.20 | 17.70 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 16.60 | 0.40 | 0.04 | 0.63 | 1.24Y18-59 | Т | 14.10 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.53 | 1.03 |
| Male | 28.00 | 0.44 | 0.02 | 0.66 | 1.30 | 17.50 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.57 | 1.12 | 16.10 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.69 | 1.34Y18-59 | Μ | 12.60 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 1.11 |
| Female | 29.90 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 1.24 | 17.80 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 1.03 | 17.00 | 0.41 | 0.04 | 0.64 | 1.26Y18-59 | F | 15.60 | 0.37 | 0.04 | 0.61 | 1.19 |
| Age0-17 | 31.00 | 1.32 | 0.04 | 1.15 | 2.25 | 21.40 | 1.27 | 0.05 | 1.13 | 2.21 | 19.40 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.68 | 1.33 | | | | | | |
| Age18-64 | 31.90 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.69 | 1.36 | 18.40 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 1.09 | 17.60 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.55 | 1.07 | | | | | | |
| Age 65+ | 19.90 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 1.18 | 13.00 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 1.17 | 11.60 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 1.07 | | | | | | |

«-» = The indicator is not calculated for the specific age groups

CI = 95% Confidence Interval

SE = Standard Error

CV = Coefficient of Variation

Annexes:

ANNEX SAMPLING ERRORS INCOME VARS C L 1720 ANNEX SAMPLING ERRORS EQINC C L 1720

6.3. Non-sampling error

Non-sampling errors are basically of four types:

- · Coverage errors: errors due to divergences existing between the target population and the sampling frame.
- Measurement errors:errors that occur at the time of data collection. There are a number of sources for these errors such as the survey instrument, the information system, the interviewer and the mode of collection
 Processing errors:errors in post-data-collection processes such as data entry, keying, editing and weighting
- Non-response errors: errors due to an unsuccessful attempt to obtain the desired information from an eligible unit. Two main types of non-response errors are considered:
 Unit non-response: refers to absence of information of the whole units (households and/or persons) selected into the sample
 - Item non-response: refers to the situation where a sample unit has been successfully enumerated, but not all required information has been obtained.

6.3.1. Coverage error

Coverage errors include over-coverage, under-coverage and missclassification

- Over-coverage relates either to to wrongly classified units that are in fact out of scope, or to units that do not exist in practice
- Under-coverage: refers to units not included in the sampling frame
 Misclassification: refers to incorrect classification of units that belong to the target population

Sampling frame and coverage errors

EU-SILC survey is based on a two-stage stratified sampling of households from a frame of sampling which has been created on the basis of the results of the 2011 population census and covers completely the reference population. The frame of PSUs is updated every ten (10) years through the general population census. Concerning the frame of households, within each selected PSU this is updated before the selection of the sampling households used for data collection. So, any coverage problem that may arise is more possible to relate with the frame of PSUs. Coverage problems encountered were:

- · Some houses were used as secondary residence, so they were out of scope of the survey
- Some houses were impossible to be located due to incomplete information regarding their addresses
 Housing units built after March 2020, were not included in our samplingframe

The number of the above cases was (76) and such cases are corrected with the use of the calibration procedure applied.

| 6.3.1.1. Over-co | overage - rate | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Main Problems | Size of error | | | | | | | |
| | Over-coverage | | | | | | | |
| cross- sectional data | Under-coverage | N/A | | | | | | |
| | Miss-classification | | | | | | | |
| 6.3.1.2. Commo | on units - proportion | | | | | | | |
| Not requested by Reg.28 | /2004 upon implementation | on of Reg. 1177/2003. | | | | | | |
| 6.3.2. Measuremen | 6.3.2. Measurement error | | | | | | | |
| Source of measurement | Building process of | Interview training | | Quality Control | | | | |
| errors | questionnaire | interview training | | Quarty Control | | | | |
| 6.3.2. Measurement Source of measurement errors Measurement errors can occur from the questionnaire (design, content and wording), the interviewers and thei training, the respondents the routing, and the skill testing before starting the fieldwork. As the 2020 EU-SILC round was the 18th in the series, quality has considerably been improved due to | terror Building process of questionnaire For building up the questionnaires we adopted the initially proposed questionnaires we adopted the initially proposed questionnaires is similar to these ones. The majority of the questions are almost literally copied and translated. In order to finalize the questionnaires, we took into account any observations made on the questionnaires of the previous years. Mainly the parts on self- remployment income and taxes have been softifreently formulated. Che questionnaires for the 2020 survey were the same as those of the previous years except for some improving small changes in the wording. There was also an Ad Hoc questionaire on Over-indebtedness, consumption and wealth as well as labour. The | a) Interviewers All the external collaborat of Attiki Prefecture togeth in charge of the survey in 1 Offices of ELSTAT attend training course before star fieldwork. The training wa on the basic concepts of th questionnaire completion 1 the electronic formats. The persons in charge of th Regional Offices, in their 1 the external collaborators is Training followed the strue manual that was distribute participants. It is a general manual containing informa objectives of the survey, th it, legal and administrative fieldwork aspects (how to household, how to introdu answers which questions, the content and correct cor questionnaires with analyt every question and further needed. Unfortunately, it seems the interviewers don't use the the questions, especially subje deprivation questions). Als when the respondents the respondents hesistiat in income figures and in gene consulting their tax return, provide exact /correct anno ncome from interests, div unincorporated businesses provide to the survey co the figures themselves. | er with persons the Regional de a one day ting the is focused both is focused both e survey and the and data entry in urn, had to train in their areas. ture of the d to the guidelines' ation about the is organization o aspects, contact the ce oneself, who time delays) and mpletion of the exact wording o may skip ctive ones (e.g. so, in some cases the mpleted/imputed mpleted/imputed is in general not is ship ty checks. I subility checks. | <pre>charge of the survey in the Regional Offices attended the training. These are actually supervisors. Each one of the may are sponsible for a group of interviewers. In During the fieldwork period the supervisor had meetings with the in interviewers at least once a week. During these meetings, apart from discussing problems or question arised during of the week, the supervisors alacooliceted all the completed questionnaires. Their data collection period work to examine the interviewers work. Furthermore, the supervisors had to answers work Furthermore, the supervisors had to answers with respondents either by telephone or by personally visiting the double check some of musual answers or missing data. </pre> | | | | |
| | | during the survey conducti guidelines of the survey in bounds for specific income | cluded such e data and | | | | | |
| | | afterwards centrally by per ELSTAT. Whenever neces were called back. | sary, households | ds | | | | |
| | | Changes occurring in perso status longitudinally result of inconsistencies. For exa | ed in a number | | | | | |
| | | | * ** | | | | | |

having been working in year N-1 but retired in year N, persons being students in year N-1 and employed in year N, income in year N-1 from persons who died in year N, etc. may result in these inconsistencies representing though reality. In any case the pre-mentioned examples resulted both in under and over reporting of income.

6.3.3. Non response error

Non-response errors are errors due to an unsuccessful attempt to obtain the desired information from an eligible unit. Two main types of non-response errors are considered Unit non response: which refers to the absence of all information of a whole unit (households and/or persons) selected for survey.

Item non-response: which refers to the absence part of information of the unit (households and/or persons) selected for survey. According to the Commission Regulation 28/2004:

Household non-response rates (NRh)

NRh = (1-(Ra * Rh)) * 100 Where [formula 1 in Annex - Non response errors]

[formula 2 in Annex - Non response errors] NRh=(1-(0.985*0.896)*100=11.74%

So, the household non-response rate is 11.74%

• · Individual non-response rates (NRp)

NRp = (1-(Rp))*100where [formula 3 in Annex - Non response errors] NRp=(1-0.997)*100=0.30%

Overall individual non-response rates (*NRp)

*NRp=(1-(Ra*Rh*Rp))*100=(1-(0.985*0.896*0.997))*100= 12.01% So, the overall individual non-response rate is 12.01%

Annexes:

ANNEX_NON_RESPONSE_ERRORS_2020

6.3.3.1. Unit non-response - rate

Unit non response rate per rotation panel

| All | Rotation | Rotation | Rotation | Rotation |
|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| households | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Ra | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Rh | 0.72 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.94 |
| NRh | 28.86 | 3.60 | 5.20 | 6.10 |
| Rp | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| NRp | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.20 |
| NRp2 | 29.07 | 3.70 | 5.77 | 6.29 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Ra / Rh / NRh / Rp / NRp / NRp2 |
|-------|---------------------------------|
| units | no substitutions |

where:

Ra: address contact rate

Rh: proportion of complete household interviews accepted for data base NRh: household non-response rate

Rp: proportion of complete personal interviews within households accepted for data base NRp: individual non-response rate

NRp2: overall individual non-response rate

Non response rate on total sample, on new sub-sample, on sub-sample surveyed for fourth year

| Address contact rate | A* | 0.98 |
|--|----|-------|
| (Ra) | B* | 0.98 |
| | C* | 0.99 |
| Proportion of complete household | A* | 0.90 |
| interviews accepted for data base(Rh) | В* | 0.72 |
| oubo(reii) | C* | 0.74 |
| Proportion of complete personal | A* | 1.00 |
| interviews within households accepted for data base | В* | 1.00 |
| (Rp) | C* | 0.99 |
| Household non-response rate (NRh) | A* | 11.74 |
| | B* | 28.81 |
| | C* | 27.10 |
| Individual non-response rate (NRp) | A* | 0.30 |
| | B* | 0.30 |
| | C* | 0.51 |
| Overall individual non-response rate | A* | 12.01 |
| (NRp2) | B* | 29.02 |
| | C* | 27.47 |

where:

A* = Total sample B* = New sub-sample

 $C^* =$ sub-sample surveyed for 4th year

Households' response rate per sub-sample Longitudinal Component

| Response rate for household | Wave 2- 2018 | Wave 3- 2019 | Wave 4- 2020 |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Wave response rate | 77.51 | 79.97 | 95.21 |
| L follow-up rate | 73.11 | 76.68 | 76.15 |
| Follow-up ratio | 1.68 | 1.01 | 0.65 |

| 1.77 | 1.03 | 0.94 |
|-----------------|---|--|
| er sub-sample - | Longitudinal | Component |
| Wave 2- 2018 | Wave 3- 2019 | Wave 4- 2020 |
| 85.62 | 86.09 | 85.83 |
| - | - | - |
| 1.77 | 1.01 | 0.93 |
| 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.66 |
| | er sub-sample - Wave 2- 2018 85.62 - 1.77 | er sub-sample - Longitudinal Wave 2- 2018 Vave 3- 2019 85.62 86.09 1.77 1.01 |

6.3.3.2. Item non-response - rate

The computation of item non-response is essential to fulfill the precision requirements concerning publication as stated in the Commission Regulation No 1982/2003. Item non-response rate is provided for the main income variables both at household and personal level.

6.3.3.2.1. Item non-response rate by indicator

the following table, the first row "% of households (individuals) having received an amount" refers to cases where there was total information for the variable and there was no need for imputation. Data for all income components are collected at net values, which are after taxes and insurance contributions, and are then converted to gross values.

| | gross income | Total disposable household income | transfers other than old- age and survivors benefits | Total disposable household income before all social transfers |
|--|-----------------|--|---|---|
| | (HY010) | (HY020) | (HY022) | (HY023) |
| % of household having received an amount | 99.4% | 99.7% | 99.1% | 96.2% |
| % of household with missing values (before imputation) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| % of household with partial information (before imputation) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| | Income from rental of property or land | related | classified | allowances | racaivad | Interest, dividends, profit from capital investments in incorporated businesses |
|--|--|---------|------------|------------|----------|--|
| | (HY040) | (HY050) | (HY060) | (HY070) | (HY080) | (HY090) |
| % of household having received an amount | 11.3% | 16.2% | 8.0% | 0.0% | 8.7% | 4.2% |
| % of household with missing values (before imputation) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| % of household with partial information (before imputation) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| | income | company car | Cash profits or losses from self- employment | benefits | benefits | benefits | benefits | benefits | allowances |
|--|---------|----------------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | (PY010) | (PY021) | (PY050) | (PY090) | (PY100) | (PY110) | (PY120) | (PY130) | (PY140) |
| % of household having received an amount | 24.2% | 0.2% | 11.7% | 2.4% | 34.8% | 6.5% | 0.1% | 1.8% | 0.1% |
| % of household with missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| values (before imputation) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| % of household with partial information (before imputation) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

In the attached Annex, we present the "% of households having received an amount" and the "% of individuals having received an amount" for the household and personal gross income variables respectively both for the cross-sectional and longitudinal component of the survey.

Annexes:

| Annexes: | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| ANNEX_ITEM_NON_RESPONSE_2017_2020 6.3.4. Processing error | | | | | | |
| Data Entry and Coding | Editing Controls | | | | | |
| Mainly PAPI method was used for interviews while the analysis of all methods used has been | | | | | | |
| presented in 3.3 (modes of data collection). | | | | | | |
| (1) Data entry controls | | | | | | |
| As pre-mentioned, several plausibility checks have been made, using the validation rules of doc.65. | | | | | | |
| Besides Eurostat's basic checks, some additional checks were applied through data entry programs. | | | | | | |
| In general, data entry programs and post-data entry programs checks concern the following: | | | | | | |
| Coverage | | | | | | |
| · Checks on the number of questionnaires expected to be collected | | | | | | |
| Number of expected household questionnaires per area unit. | | | | | | |
| Number of expected personal questionnaires per interviewed household. | | | | | | |
| Number of split-off households. Number of tracing sheets and number of moved members. | | | | | | |
| Deletion of duplicates | | | | | | |
| · Person identification check (household member check / person identification check on | | | | | | |
| household register) | | | | | | |
| Monitoring of flows, valid values and out of range values Intra-year inconsistencies check | | | | | | |
| Intra-year monsistencies check Intra-questionnaire inconsistencies check | The finalized data files prepared by expert | | | | | |
| Controlling of the amount of income components and especially of social transfers | staff were then processed using SAS programs | | | | | |
| | and applying various logical and consistency | | | | | |
| Personal Register The specific childcare programs are cross-checked with the age of the child. For example, for a | checks. | | | | | |
| three-year-old child the field "number of hours spent per week in a program of obligatory | Before sending the final D-, R-, H- and P- files, these were further checked using | | | | | |
| educational level" cannot be completed. | EUROSTAT's SAS programs. | | | | | |
| Household Questionnaire | | | | | | |
| • On tomore statue, if the answer is "award dwalling without financial ablighting" | | | | | | |
| On tenure status, if the answer is "owned dwelling without financial obligations" or "provided rent-free" the answer in question on arrears on mortgage or rent payments | | | | | | |
| should be recorded as "not applicable". | | | | | | |
| When in all five items regarding the Capacity of the household to afford paying for one when a more than the second sec | | | | | | |
| week annual holiday away from home, have a meal with meat, chicken, fish every second year, etc. the answer is positive, then in question on "ability to make ends meet" the | | | | | | |
| answer "with great difficulty" is not accepted. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Personal Questionnaire | | | | | | |
| The age is cross-checked with the educational level attended. | | | | | | |
| · Cross-check between the educational level currently attended and the level of education | 1 | | | | | |
| attained (a person cannot attend a level of education lower than the one he/she has | | | | | | |
| completed). Cross-check between the age at which the person completed a specific educational level | 1 | | | | | |
| and the specific educational level attained. The age should not be less than the usual age at | | | | | | |
| which the level is attained. | | | | | | |
| When a person is suffering from a chronic illness or condition the answer "very good" to | io | | | | | |
| the question on health status is not accepted In the question on basic activity status all the answers are cross-checked with the answer | | | | | | |
| In the question on basic activity status an the answers are cross-checked with the answer provided in the personal register. | 1 | | | | | |
| A more complicated cross-check is applied as regards the year of birth, the age first job | | | | | | |
| was undertaken and years spent as employee or self-employed. | | | | | | |
| • In activity history the answer "have never worked" is not accepted when the answer in | | | | | | |
| current activity status is 'working (full or part time)' or when the answers in the question 'Have you ever worked?' is "yes". | | | | | | |
| When the respondent is an employee, questions on income from paid employment | | | | | | |
| should be answered. | | | | | | |
| When the respondent is self-employed, questions on income from self-employment | | | | | | |
| should be answered. As regards social security benefits, and specifically the social solidarity allowance for | | | | | | |
| pensioners, upper and lower boundaries are inserted for the registration of the amount. | | | | | | |
| · The s/n of the member who submitted tax returns with the respondent is cross-checked | | | | | | |
| with the information provided in the register. | | | | | | |
| For all the above checks the cursor couldn't continue to the next answer and a special notice | | | | | | |
| appreared on the screen. | | | | | | |
| Longitudinal checks | | | | | | |
| Checks and comparisons of the <i>demographic data</i> recorded in the Personal Register | | | | | | |
| Checks and comparisons of the <i>demographic data</i> recorded in the Personal Register with the data provided in the previous year. | | | | | | |
| · Checks and comparisons of citizenship and country of birth data with the data provided | i l | | | | | |
| in the previous year. | | | | | | |
| (2) Codification | | | | | | |
| The codification regarding occupation (ISCO), economic activity of the local unit (NACE), as well | | | | | | |
| as nationality, is undertaken by experienced personnel, following the international classifications | | | | | | |
| (ISCO-08, NACE rev.2) as well as the guidelines provided in Doc 65. | | | | | | |
| (3) Other controls and other problems Several plausibility checks have been made; mostly similar to the checks SAS program applies. | | | | | | |
| During data processing of raw data ACCESS-2000, ORACLE (golden 3.2) and win-SPSS 25 have | | | | | | |
| been used. | | | | | | |
| 6.3.4.1. Imputation - rate | | | | | | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | | | | | | |
| 6.3.5. Model assumption error | | | | | | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | | | | | | |
| 6.4. Seasonal adjustment | | | | | | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6.5. Data revision - policy | | | | | | |
| The revision policy may relate to the survey data and the survey itself, i.e. the questionnaire, the san | he revision policy may relate to the survey data and the survey itself, i.e. the questionnaire, the sample, etc., and takes into account users' needs in additional statistical information Revision Policy | | | | | |

6.6. Data revision - practice

After identifying the users' needs (e.g. Eurostat's) questionnaires are, whenever needed, redesigned with care not to danger comparability over time and at European level.

Review of data is being made after the application of checks by ELSTAT and by Eurostat, and after correcting any inconsistencies that may exist in the data, both cross-sectionally and longitudinally.

6.6.1. Data revision - average size

| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 7. Timeliness and punctuality | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | |
| 7.1. Timeliness | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | |
| 7.1.1. Time lag - first result | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | |
| 7.1.2. Time lag - final result | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | |
| 7.2. Punctuality | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | |
| 7.2.1. Punctuality - delivery and publication | |
| The data are produced and disseminated on a predetermined date. | |
| | |

<u>Top</u>

<u>Top</u>

8. Coherence and comparability

According to the Regulation (EC) No 1177/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning EU-SILC: "Comparability of data between Member States shall be a fundamental objective and shall be pursued through the development of methodological studies from the outset of EU-SILC data collection, carried out in close collaboration between the Member States and Eurostat". Although the best way for keeping the comparability of data is to apply the same methods and definitions of variables, small departures of the definitions given by Eurostat are allowed in EU-SILC. In this way, the mentioned Regulation in its article 16th says: "Small departures from common definitions, such as those relating to private household definition and income reference period, shall be allowed, provided they affect comparability only marginally. The impact of comparability shall be reported in the quality reports." The definitions used in SILC in Greece are fully comparable with Eurostat definitions

The coherence of two or more statistical outputs refers to the degree to which the statistical processes, by which they were generated, used the same concepts and harmonized methods. A comparison with external sources for all income target variables and the number of persons who receive income from each 'income component' will be provided, where the Member States concerned consider such external data to be sufficiently reliable.
8.1. Comparability - geographical

| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon | implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 8.1.1. Asymmetry for mirror f | low statistics - coefficient | | | | | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003. | | | | | | |
| 8.1.2. Reference population | | | | | | |
| Reference Population | Private household definition | Household membership | | | | |
| Reference Population The reference population is all citizens officially living at Greek territory (population de facto). The source of our sample is the Census Population. This Census includes all private households and their current members residing in the territory independently of any socio- economic characteristics they may have. Persons living in collective households and in institutions are excluded from the target population as well as households with diplomatic missioners as members. | The definition of household that Eurostat recommends is used. Household is defined as a person living alone or a group of persons living together in the same dwelling and sharing expenditures including the joint provision of the essentials of living. | All household members aged 16 years and over at the time of the interview are selected for a personal interview. Subject to the further and specific conditions shown below, if the following persons share household expenses, must be regarded as | | | | |
| | | must currently have no private address elsewhere, must be the partner or child of a household member and must continue to retain close ties with the household and consider this address to be their main residence. (d) Category 9: Such persons must have clear financial ties to the household and must be actually or prospectively absent from the household for less than six | | | | |
| 912 Deferring Device | <u> </u> | months. | | | | |
| 8.1.3. Reference Period | | | | | | |
| Period for taxes on income and social insurance contributions | ference period Reference period for taxes on wealth | Lag between the income reference period and current variables | | | | |

The income reference period is the previous The income reference calendar year (year 2019), while current period is a fixed twelve month period, namely For SILC 2020; the The reference period for the previous calendar variables refer to the income reference period is the year 2019. taxes on wealth was 2019. year. Tax refunds fieldwork period (July received during 2019 November 2020). refer to income received Therefore the lag may in previous years vary from 5 to 11 months.

8.2. Comparability - over time

In the following tables household and personal income components are presented for two consecutive years of EU-SILC, 2019 and 2020. Comparison of income target variables – EU SILC 2020 and 2019

| Comparison of income target | t variables – E | U SI |
|---|-----------------|------|
| Net Income Component | % | |
| Total disposable hh income (HY020) | 6.9 | |
| Total disposable hh income before social transfers other than old-age and survivors benefits (HY022) | 7.3 | |

| Total disposable hh income | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| before all social transfers | 8.9 |
| (HY023) | |
| Cash or near-cash | |
| employee income | 6.0 |
| (PY010N) | |
| Cash profits or losses from | |
| self-employment | 7.7 |
| (PY050N) | |

| Household in | Household income per net income component | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Householu III | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | | | | |
| Income Component | (mean) | (mean) | sum (in mio €) | sum (in mio€) | | | | |
| Total disposable hh income (HY020) | 16147.91 | 17262.56 | 66581.74 | 71047.12 | | | | |
| Total disposable hh income before social transfers other than old-age and survivors benefits (HY022) | 15424.58 | 16548.46 | 63599.29 | 68108.13 | | | | |
| Total disposable hh income before all social transfers (HY023) | 10066.08 | 10957.73 | 41504.90 | 45098.51 | | | | |
| Income from rental of property or land (HY040) | 592.26 | 618.35 | 2442.02 | 2544.92 | | | | |
| Family/ Children related allowances (HY050) | 249.62 | 258.76 | 1029.25 | 1064.98 | | | | |
| Social exclusion payments not elsewhere classified (HY060) | 154.14 | 134.39 | 635.54 | 553.11 | | | | |
| Housing allowances (HY070) | 19.57 | 1.62 | 80.67 | 6.66 | | | | |
| Regular inter-hh cash transfers received (HY080) | 322.25 | 354.33 | 1328.70 | 1458.32 | | | | |
| Interest, dividends, profit from capital investments in incorporated businesses (HY090) | 70.32 | 74.10 | 289.95 | 304.97 | | | | |
| Income received by people aged under 16 (HY110) | 0.17 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 2.46 | | | | |
| Regular taxes on wealth (HY120) | 533.38 | 422.57 | 2199.25 | 1739.14 | | | | |
| Regular inter household cash transfer paid (HY130) | 215.26 | 219.64 | 887.57 | 903.95 | | | | |

Individual income per net income component

| Income Component | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
|---|---------|---------|------------------|------------------|
| | (mean) | (mean) | sum (in mio€) | sum (in mio€) |
| Cash or near- cash employee income (PY010) | 3284.74 | 3482.24 | 29346.25 | 31076.76 |

| Income from private use of company car (PY021) | 7.62 | 6.62 | 68.10 | 59.06 |
|--|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Cash profits or losses from self- employment (PY050) | 1509.63 | 1625.16 | 13487.18 | 14503.45 |
| Pension from individual private plans (PY080) | 0.71 | 0.77 | 6.33 | 6.85 |
| Unemployment benefits (PY090) | 42.58 | 48.63 | 380.46 | 434.04 |
| Old-age benefits (PY100) | 2174.33 | 2256.73 | 19425.74 | 20139.82 |
| Survivors benefits (PY110) | 308.63 | 326.25 | 2757.37 | 2911.56 |
| Sickness benefits (PY120) | 2.05 | 2.24 | 18.35 | 19.96 |
| Disability benefits (PY130) | 92.92 | 94.54 | 830.15 | 843.71 |
| Education- related allowances (PY140) | 2.12 | 2.59 | 18.97 | 23.10 |

8.2.1. Length of comparable time series

Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003.

8.3. Coherence - cross domain

2020 SLC and 2020 LFS compared target variables The data presented below indicate that most of the quality target variables are in coherence with variables collected from LFS – annual results of 2020, making thus the survey robust.

| Self-defined current activity status | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 |
|---|-----------|----------|
| At work (Full + Part time) | 42.0 | 42.6 |
| Unemployed | 10.9 | 10.8 |
| Non economically active | 47.0 | 46.5 |

PL060: "Number of hours usually worked per week in main job". %

| Number of hours usually worked per week in main job | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 |
|---|-----------|----------|
| | 41.0 | 41.8 |

PL130: "Number of persons working in the local unit". %

| Persons working in the local unit | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 | | |
|---|-----------|----------|--|--|
| 1 person | 16.7 | 17.4 | | |
| 2 persons | 10.4 | 10.4 | | |
| 3 persons | 5.4 | 6.3 | | |
| 4 persons | 4.4 | 4.0 | | |
| 5 persons | 3.6 | 3.4 | | |
| 6 persons | 2.1 | 1.7 | | |
| 7 persons | 1.1 | 1.1 | | |
| 8 persons | 1.5 | 1.2 | | |
| 9 persons | 0.4 | 0.4 | | |
| 10 persons | 1.8 | 1.6 | | |
| 11-19 persons | 12.7 | 9.6 | | |
| 20-49 persons | 9.1 | 9.2 | | |
| 50 persons or more | 18.0 | 17.2 | | |
| Don't know but fewer than 11 persons | 4.9 | 6.4 | | |
| Don't know but more than 10 persons | 7.7 | 10.2 | | |
| PL040: "Status in employment". % | | | | |

Status in employment SILC 2020 LFS 2020

| | · | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| Self employed with employees | 5.6 | 7.7 |
| Self employed without employees | 22.0 | 21.1 |
| Employee | 68.8 | 68.1 |
| Family worker | 3.7 | 3.0 |
| PE040: "Highest ISCED le | evel attained". | % |

| Highest ISCED level attained | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Never attended any level of education | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Primary education | 20.9 | 20.6 |
| Lower secondary education | 10.1 | 10.8 |
| Upper secondary education | 33.3 | 33.0 |
| Post secondary non tertiary education | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| First stage of tertiary education | 20.6 | 18.4 |
| Second stage of tertiary education | 4.0 | 6.2 |
| PL051: "Occupation". % | | |

| Occupation | SILC 2020 | LES 2020 |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Armed forces | | |
| Occupations | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Managers | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Professionals | 15.0 | 20.2 |
| Technicians and Associate Professionals | 6.6 | 8.5 |
| Clerical support workers | 10.5 | 11.7 |
| Services and sales workers | 21.3 | 23.4 |
| Skilled Agricultural, Forestry and Fishery workers | 14.8 | 9.7 |
| Craft and related Trades workers | 11.7 | 8.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| Elementary occupations | 9.7 | 6.5 |
| PL111: "Economic Activi | ty". % | |
| Economic activity | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 12.5 | 10.6 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Manufacturing | 7.9 | 9.6 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Water supply: sewerage, waste management and remediation | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Construction | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| Wholesale and retail trade: repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles | 17.9 | 18.4 |

| Transportation and storage | 4.8 | 5.4 | |
|--|----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Accommodation and food service activities | 9.2 | 8.9 | |
| Information and communication | 2.7 | 2.6 | |
| Financial and insurance activities | 2.3 | 2.2 | |
| Real estate activities | 0.3 | 0.1 | |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 5.3 | 5.9 | |
| Administrative and support service activities | 2.1 | 2.2 | |
| Public administration and defense; compulsory social security | 10.9 | 9.0 | |
| Education | 7.4 | 8.4 | |
| Human health and social work activities | 6.9 | 6.9 | |
| Arts, entertainment and recreation activities | 1.2 | 1.5 | |
| Other service activities | 1.7 | 2.2 | |
| Activities of households as employers | 0.5 | 0.6 | |
| Household by size. % | | | |
| Household type | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 | |
| One person households | 25.7 | 31.2 | |
| Two persons households | 29.5 | 32.7 | |
| Three persons households | 19.8 | 17.4 | |
| Four persons households | 16.9 | 14.5 | |
| Five persons households | 5.9 | 3.2 | |
| Six and more persons households | 2.3 | 0.9 | |
| PL015: "Have you ever we | orked" (for pe | rsons not wo | rking but having worked in the p |
| Have you ever worked? | SILC 2020 | | |
| Yes No | 66.5 33.5 | | |
| PL120: "Number of person | | | urs per week". % |
| Working less than 30 hours per week | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 | |

| hours per week | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Percentage of persons working less than 30 hours per week | 10.3 | 9.8 |
| PL140: "Type of contract" | . % | |
| Type of contract | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 |
| Permanent job / work contract of unlimited duration | 74.5 | 89.9 |

| Temporary job/work | | |
|---------------------|------|------|
| contract of limited | 25.5 | 10.1 |
| duration | | |

Comparison of labour force participation, LFS 2020 - SILC 2020 %

| | Tot | Total | | Males | | Females | |
|-------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| Age groups | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 | SILC 2020 | LFS 2020 | |
| 15-19 years | 11,4 | 5.2 | 12,3 | 7.0 | 10,3 | 3.6 | |
| 20-24 years | 47,6 | 41.1 | 48,8 | 42.5 | 46,5 | 39.7 | |
| 25-29 years | 85,3 | 80.1 | 84,7 | 80.4 | 85,9 | 79.8 | |
| 30-34 years | 85,9 | 85.9 | 95,8 | 93.4 | 75,8 | 78.7 | |
| 35-39 years | 88,8 | 86.3 | 97,5 | 94.7 | 80,2 | 78.0 | |
| 40-44 years | 87,4 | 86.1 | 97,4 | 94.9 | 77,0 | 77.0 | |
| 45-49 years | 84,5 | 85.8 | 95,1 | 94.6 | 74,7 | 77.3 | |
| 50-54 years | 79,6 | 79.7 | 92,7 | 90.0 | 66,2 | 69.8 | |
| 55-59 years | 62,9 | 63.2 | 78,6 | 79.5 | 49,3 | 48.6 | |
| 60-64 years | 37,1 | 38.9 | 50,0 | 50.1 | 25,3 | 29.0 | |
| 65 + years | 3,9 | 4.4 | 5,5 | 6.7 | 2,7 | 2.6 | |

2020 SILC and 2019[1] HBS comparison

The risk of poverty indicator EU-SILC 2020 was compared with the same indicator calculated from the HBS 2019. It is noted that, for the Household Budget Survey, the pre-mentioned indicator has been estimated from consumption expenditure and not from income. When comparing the two survey results it is essential to keep in mind the differences between the concepts and methodologies. Discrepancies may further arise by the fact that they serve different purposes; HBS targets household expenditure whereas EU-SILC targets household income. At-risk-of-poverty threshord: 2020 SILC, HBS[2] (in euros)

| 1 | te fisit of poverty this | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | EU-SILC 2020 | HBS 2019 |
| Γ | 5,269 | 5,065 |

At-risk-of-poverty rate: 2020 SILC, HBS[3] (%)

| EU-SILC 2020 | HBS 2019 |
|--------------|----------|
| 17.7 | 17 |

HH021: "Tenure Status" (%)

| Tenure status | HBS 2019 | EU-SILC 2020 |
|---------------|----------|--------------|
| Owner | 80.9 | 78.2 |
| Tenant | 19.1 | 21.8 |

HH081: "Bath or shower in dwelling" (%)

| Bath or shower in dwelling | HBS 2019 | EU-SILC 2020 |
|----------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Yes | 99.7 | 99.8 |
| No | 0.3 | 0.2 |

HH091: "Indoor flushing toilet for sole use of the household" (%)

| Indoor flushing toilet for sole use of household | HBS 2019 | EU-SILC 2020 |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Yes | 99.7 | 99.8 |
| No | 0.3 | 0.2 |

HH010: "Dwelling type" (%)

| Dwelling type | HBS 2019 | EU-SILC 2020 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Detached house | 29.0 | 30.7 |
| Semidetached house | 7.8 | 8.2 |
| Apartment or flat | 63.1 | 61.0 |
| Some other kind of accommodation | 0.0 | 0.0 |

[1] No data available for 2020.

2 2019 3 2019

8.4. Coherence - sub annual and annual statistics

Coherence between two or more statistical results refers to the degree of using the same definitions and methods in order to produce the statistics. In the previous paragraphs we presented comparisons on indicators, income and other characteristics between EU-SILC and other surveys (HBS, LFS), while in paragraph 8.6 we present some more data and some comparisons with administrative sources.

8.5. Coherence - National Accounts

There are no details presented regarding the coherence between EU-SILC and National Accounts on disposable income results since the data taken into account fot it's calculation are not actually on the same basis On the part of National Accounts further data are taken into account (like general partnerships' results belonging to household members) that is very difficult at the moment to isolated and compare on the same basis.

8.6. Coherence - internal

Comparison of the mean total equivalized disposable household income (deciles). EU-SILC 2019 and 2020

| | EU-SILC 2019 | EU-SILC 2020 | Change% (20/19) |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Households | 4,123,242 | 4,115,678 | -0.18 |
| Mean equivalised disposable household income | 9543.70 | 10138.89 | 6.24 |
| Standard deviation | 7415.14 | 7831.51 | 5.62 |

| 10% | 2714.08 | 2602.59 | -4.11 |
|------|----------|----------|-------|
| 20% | 4631.36 | 5006.34 | 8.1 |
| 30% | 5813.43 | 6219.61 | 6.99 |
| 40% | 6780.88 | 7214.50 | 6.39 |
| 50% | 7789.92 | 8258.80 | 6.02 |
| 60% | 8931.18 | 9452.95 | 5.84 |
| 70% | 10289.86 | 10861.34 | 5.55 |
| 80% | 11823.04 | 12527.45 | 5.96 |
| 90% | 14073.86 | 14880.48 | 5.73 |
| 100% | 22586.57 | 24361.32 | 7.86 |

9. Accessibility and clarity

| 9. Accessibility and clarity | Top |
|--|-----|
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.1. Dissemination format - News release | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.2. Dissemination format - Publications | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.3. Dissemination format - online database | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.3.1. Data tables - consultations | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.4. Dissemination format - microdata access | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.5. Dissemination format - other | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.6. Documentation on methodology | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.7. Quality management - documentation | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.7.1. Metadata completeness - rate | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| 9.7.2. Metadata - consultations | |
| Not requested by Reg.28/2004 upon implementation of Reg. 1177/2003 | |
| | |

10. Cost and Burden

The mean interview duration

The mean interview duration per household was estimated at 55.87 min. The average has been calculated according to the duration being registered in the questionnaires as the sum of the duration of the household interviews plus the sum of the duration of all personal interviews, divided by the number of household questionnaires completed and accepted for database. The time needed for the data entry of the questionnaires in the computer (PAPI interview) has not been taken into account. **Interview duration**

| HB100- Number of minutes to complete the household questionnaire | | |
|--|-------|--|
| Mean | 19.06 | |
| Maximum | 60 | |
| Minimum | 10 | |
| PB120-Minutes to complete the personal questionnaire | | |
| Mean | 19.23 | |
| Maximum | 60 | |
| Minimum | 10 | |
| Mean of inteview durarion | 55.87 | |

11. Confidentiality

11.1. Confidentiality - policy

The issues concerning the observance of statistical confidentiality by the Hellenic Statistical Authority (ELSTAT) are arranged by articles 6, 7 and 8 of the Law 3832/2010, as amended by article 90 paragraph 8 of Law 3842/2010 and by article 10 of Law 3899/2010, as well as by article 8 of Law 2392/1996, which was brought back into force, in accordance with article 90 paragraph 8 of Law 3842/2010. Furthermore, ELSTAT disseminates the statistics in compliance with the statistical principles of the European Statistics Code of Practice and in particular with the principle of statistical confidentiality.

11.2. Confidentiality - data treatment

- ELSTAT protects and does not disseminate data it has obtained or it has access to, which enable the direct or indirect identification of the statistical units that have provided them by the disclosure of individual information directly received for statistical purposes or indirectly supplied from administrative or other sources. ELSTAT takes all appropriate preventive measures so as to render impossible the identification of individual statistical units by technical or other means that might reasonably be used by a third party. Statistical data that could potentially enable the identification of the statistical unit are disseminated by ELSTAT if and only if: a) these data have been treated, as it is specifically set out in the <u>Regulation on the Statistical Obligations of the agencies of the Hellenic Statistical System (Government Gazette 2469 B, 4.11.2011) (ELSS), in such a way that their dissemination does not preividice statistical confidentially or</u>

b) the statistical unit has given its consent, without any reservations, for the disclosure of data.

- The confidential data that are transmitted by ELSS agencies to ELSTAT are used exclusively for statistical purposes and the only persons who have the right to have access to these data are the personnel engaged in this task and appointed by an act of the President of ELSTAT.

Issues referring to the observance of statistical confidentiality are examined by the Statistical Confidentiality Committee (SCC) operating in ELSTAT. The responsibilities of this Committee are to recommend on:

• the level of detail at which statistical data can be disseminated, so as the identification, either directly or indirectly, of the surveyed statistical unit is not possible;

- the anonymization criteria for the microdata provided to users;
- · the granting to researchers access to confidential data for scientific purposes.

For further information, visit Hellenic Statistical Authority webpage

Provision of microdata

Provision of Statistical Data

12. Comment

National questionnaires are available in Cirea BC at: https://circabc.europa.eu/. Please select EU SILC section and then select the folder '06 National Questionnaire' in the library list. Additionally under the folder '02 Guidelines' and then under the folder '2020 Operation Guidelines' you can find information of the 2020 Ad-hoc Module variables.

Related metadata

Top

Top

Top

Top

Annexes

Annex es ANNEX ITEM NON RESPONSE 2017 2020 ANNEX NON RESPONSE ERRORS 2020 SILC SIMS 2020 EN SILC OUESTIONNAIRES EN 2020 Distribution of household members aged 16 and over by RB250 and RB260 SILC INDICATORS TIME SERIES 2020 ANNEX WEIGHTING PROCEDURE-2017 2020 ANNEX SAMPLING ERRORS INCOME VARS C L 1720 ANNEX SAMPLING ERRORS FOINC C L 1720