



Community Survey on ICT usage in households and by individuals **2015**

Quality report

Please read this first !!!

General guidelines on using this template

- In the title line of this page, please delete the non-applicable term (Metadata / Quality Report).
- Fill in the required information in the space (box) foreseen next to or below the item heading, if a box is irrelevant for your national survey, indicate 'non-applicable' to avoid we have to come back to you on this item. An increase of the box' size after inserting several lines or paragraphs is no problem. However, when reporting several pages for one item, we kindly ask you to give a short summary and refer to the full text in an annex.
- Keep the numbering of the chapters and items. Additional comments can be given at the end of the report.
- This template is designed to serve both the requirements for the <u>Metadata</u> as well as the <u>Quality</u> report. Chapters 1 to 6 shall be completed for the metadata report (deadline for submission: before 31/05/2015), chapters 7 to 10 can be postponed until the Quality report (deadline for submission: not later than 05/11/2015). However, where provisional information for the Quality Report topics is already available, we invite you to provide us with this data in the Metadata report (and update it in the Quality Report).
- Please submit the national questionnaire used (in national language and if available in English) annexed to the metadata report.
- Please replace in the header field the code 'EU' with your country code.
- All information provided in this report on coverage of questions/items, net sample sizes, number of respondents, proportions, etc. should be in line with the transmitted data file(s).

We kindly thank you for respecting these guidelines.

1. Cover information

1.1	Country	GREECE
1.2	Organisation responsible for the survey	
	Please also indicate the organisation <u>running</u> the survey if different from the organisation responsible (e.g. because of sub-contracting).	HELLENIC STATISTICAL AUTHORITY
1.3	Contact person(s) (name, unit, e-mail, phone, fax)	1. CHALKIADAKI MARIA UNIT OF HOUSEHOLD SURVEYS TEL. 0030 -213-135 2896 FAX. 0030 -213-135 2906 E-MAIL: m.chalkiadaki@statistics.gr 2. ECONOMOPOULOU MARIA HEAD OF METHODOLOGY, ANALYSIS & SURVEYS UNIT TEL. 0030 -213-135 2195 FAX. 0030 - 210 4853100 E-MAIL: m.economopoulos@statistics.gr



1.4	Name of the collection The name of the survey in its original language(s) and in English (e.g. name used in the statistical office's English website).	Survey on the use of information and communication technologies from households and individuals. Έρευνα χρήσης τεχνολογιών πληροφόρησης και επικοινωνίας από νοικοκυριά και άτομα.
1.5	Date / Last update of this report Please indicate the date of the last update of this report, for the case we have several versions (i.e. use different dates for the metadata report and the quality report).	19 /10 /2015



2. General methodological information

2.1 Reference period(s)

The main reference period for the ICT variables as well as the background variables, e.g. *first quarter of the year* or *last three months before the interview* (with an indication of the respective months), or a specific date.

- 31rst of March 2015 for educational level completed
- Day of the survey conduct for socio demographic characteristics (activity status, employment situation, country of citizenship, legal marital status, etc.), A1, A2, A3, A4, G3, G4, G5, H14.
- First three months of 2015 for questions B2, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, E4.
- Last 12 months (April 2014-March 2015) for D1, D2, E2, E3, E6, E7, F1, F2, G1, G2.

2.2 Survey period

The beginning and end date – if already known – of the data collection period.

Survey started in April and finished in the beginning of July 2015.

2.3 Survey vehicle

Stand-alone or embedded in another survey. If embedded, give a short description of the survey the ICT modules are inserted in.

ICT is a stand-alone survey.

2.4 Survey type

Short description of the survey type (face-to-face interview, self-administered mail survey, telephone interview, combination of techniques, other; etc.).

If a combination of techniques was applied, please indicate the proportion of each technique related to the total number of achieved interviews.

Telephone interview.

2.5 Survey participation

Please indicate whether the survey is mandatory or voluntary.

Participation is mandatory according to Greek law.

2.6 Main methodological differences compared to previous survey(s)

If any, indicate the changes in methodology that may have an impact on the (comparability over time of the) results delivered to Eurostat, e.g. survey type, change in reference period, new reference sampling frame, different scope, different grossing-up method, different treatment of non-response, etc. No need for giving detailed technical analyses, a bullet point overview of the main differences and the expected impact is sufficient.



The sample of households for the ICT survey of the year **2015** consisted of a sub-sample of the sample used in the National Health Survey of year 2014

The National Health Survey is multistage household survey that covers the target population of the ICT survey with primary sampling unit the area (one or more unified blocks), secondary unit the household and final unit a member aged equal to 15 or more years old. The samples design of the National Health Survey was based on data coming from the General Population Census of the year 2011

For the ICT, primary units are the areas and secondary units the households containing members belonging to the target population. The final unit is one person randomly selected among the household members 16-74 years old.

The sampling design involves two levels of area stratification: (i) the first level is geographical stratification based on the partition of the whole Country into thirteen standard Regions corresponding to the European NUTS 2 level. The two major city agglomerations of Greater Athens and Greater Thessaloniki constitute separate major geographical strata. (ii) The second level of stratification involves grouping municipalities and communes within each NUTS 2 Region by degree of urbanization, i.e., according to their population size, into three categories. These categories are defined by the population size intervals 1-499, 500-999, 1.000-1.999, 2000-4.999, 5000-9.999, 10.000-29.999, 30.000-49.999, 50.000 and over. The number of final strata in the thirteen Regions was 93. The two major city agglomerations were further partitioned into 47 and 11 substrata (administrative subsections), respectively, on the basis of the city blocks of the municipalities that constitute them. Thus, the total number of strata for this survey was 151.



3. Statistical unit(s), scope and target population

3.1	Statistical unit Please indicate whether the statistical unit follows recommendations by ticking <i>Yes</i> or <i>No</i> (and specify the deviations, if any):					
		Yes	No (please specify the deviations)			
	Module A in the Eurostat model questionnaire: households with at least one member aged 16 to 74					
	Modules B to F in the Eurostat model questionnaire: individuals aged 16 to 74	x				

3.2	Age groups covered Please indicate the age scope (in the Yes column), or tick No if not applicable					
		Yes		No		
	Individuals younger than 16?		If ticked, please specify (e.g. 12-15):			
				X		
	Individuals aged 16 to 74 ?	X (com	pulsory)			
	Individuals older than 74 ?		If ticked, please specify (e.g. 75-80):			
				×		

3.3 Territorial coverage

If applicable, indicate the parts of the country that are not included as well as an estimate of the resulting percentage of undercoverage (non-covered population compared to total country population).

All private households of the country and the members of them are covered in the survey, independently of their size or any socio-economic characteristics they may have.

Excluded are collective households such as hotels, hospitals, military camps, nursing homes, etc. As collective households were also considered households with more than 5 lodgers. Households having as members foreigners in diplomatic missions.

	Universe	Households	Individuals
3.4	Target population		
	The number of households and individuals in the target population (scope, universe).	3.773.655	8.008.857
	Please restrict the numbers to the <i>Eurostat scope</i> (if additional age groups are covered in the national survey, these can be reported separately between brackets).		
	If not directly available, please provide an estimate (e.g. based on other social surveys).		
	If not applicable, please indicate why.		



3.5 Non-target po	opulation		
the general scope or older than 74; i.e. the difference households or ind population).	number of households and individuals outside of the survey (e.g. individuals younger than 16 households with all members over 74 years old), between the total population (in terms of ividuals) in the country and the target please indicate why.	390.200	2.846.618

4. Questionnaire

4.1 Adoption of *MANDATORY* questions and items from the Eurostat model questionnaire 2015

The questions listed below and its items reflect the required coverage of subjects and characteristics of Annex 2 of the **Commission Regulation (EC) No 1196/2014 of 30 October 2014.** Please indicate in the table possible comments on the question/item coverage in your national questionnaire, e.g. insertion of additional items, different reporting periods, deviations in the routing of ordering of the questions and/or items (see also $\S4.4$), differences in definitions or classifications, alternative sources used (esp. in the background characteristics).

Where applicable, please report on the coverage of the questions for age groups beyond the standard scope, i.e. for respondents younger than 16 or for respondents older than 74. Possible comments on this issue can be added to the general column on deviations.

	Question	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	Covered for age gro		
				<16	>74
	Module A : Access to selected ICTs			→ if no applica please blank	able,
A1	Do you or anyone in your household have access to a computer at home?				
A2	Do you or anyone in your household have access to the Internet at home?				
А3	What types of Internet connection are used at home?				
A4	What are the reasons for not having access to the Internet at home?				
	Module B : Use of computer		→ if not applicable, please leave blank		able,
B1	When did you last use a computer?				
B2	How often on average have you used a computer in the last 3 months?				
	Module C : Use of the Internet			→ if no applica please blank	able,
C1	When did you last use the Internet?				
C2	How often on average did you use the Internet in the last 3 months?				
С3	Did you use any of the following mobile devices to access the Internet away from home or work in the last 3 months?				
C4	For which of the following activities did you use the Internet in the last 3 months for private purposes? (18 items)				



	Question	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	vered for other age groups?
			<16 >74
C5	Did you use storage space on the Internet to save documents, pictures, music, video or other files,?		
C6	Have you conducted any of the following learning activities over the internet for educational, professional or private purposes in the last 3 months?		
	Module D : Use of e-Government		
D1	Did you contact or interact with public authorities or public services over the internet for private purposes in the last 12 months for the following activities?		
D2	What were the reasons for not submitting completed forms to public authorities' websites for private purposes in the last 12 months?		
	Module E : Use of e-Commerce		→ if not applicable, please leave blank
E1	When did you last buy or order goods or services for private use over the Internet (excluding manually typed e-mails)?		
E2	What types of goods or services did you buy or order over the Internet for private use in the last 12 months?		
E3	From whom did you buy or order goods or services for private purpose over the Internet in the last 12 months?		
E4	How many times did you order or buy goods or services over the Internet for private use in the last 3 months?		
E5	How much as an estimate did you spend buying or ordering goods or services over the Internet (excluding shares or other financial services) for private use in the last 3 months?		
E6	Did you encounter any of the following problems when buying or ordering goods or services over the Internet in the last 12 months?		
E7	What were the reasons for not buying or ordering any goods or services for your own private use in the last 12 months?		
	Module F : E-Skills		→ if not applicable, please leave blank
F1	Which of the following computer or mobile device related activities have you carried out in the last 12 months?		
F2	Which of the following software related activities have you carried out in the last 12 months?		
	Module G : Internet security		→ if not applicable, please leave blank



	Question			red for other age groups?		
			<16	>74		
G1	Have you experienced any of the following security related incidents through using the Internet for private purposes in the last 12 months?					
G2	Have security concerns limited or kept you from doing the following activities via the Internet for your own private purposes in the last 12 months?					
G3	Do you make back up files () from your computer on any external storage device (), or to Internet storage space?					
G4	Do you know that cookies can be used to trace movements of people on the Internet, to make a profile of each user and service them tailored ads?					
G5	Have you ever changed the settings in your Internet browser to prevent or limit the amount of cookies put on your computer?					
	Socio-demographic background variables		app plea	if not plicable, se leave plank		
H1	Age					
H2	Sex					
Н3	Country of birth					
H4	Country of citizenship					
Н5	Educational level (according to ISCED)					
Н6	Employment situation – mandatory variables -					
H7	Occupation (according to ISCO, 4 variables)					
Н8	Region of Residence, NUTS 1					
H10	Geographical location: «less developed region«, «transition region«, «more developed region«					
H11	Degree of urbanisation					
H12	Number of members in the household – mandatory variable -					
H13	of which, number of children under 16 – mandatory variable -					

4.2 Adoption of *OPTIONAL* questions and items from the Eurostat model questionnaire 2015

Please indicate in the table below if and which $\underline{\text{optional}}$ variables and questions were included in the national questionnaire.

For each question or item, an "x" in the column named Question included means that it was included in the national questionnaire. Where applicable, please report also on the coverage of the questions for age groups beyond the standard scope, i.e. for respondents younger than 16 or for respondents older than 74.

Question / Item	Question included ?	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	Covered for other age groups?
			<16 >74



	Question / Item	Question included ?	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	Covered for othe age groups		
					<16	>74
A3c	Dial-up access over normal telephone line or ISDN	×				
A3d	Mobile narrowband connection (less than 3G)	X				
D2e	Reason for not submitting completed forms: Lack of or problems with electronic signature or electronic ID/certificate	×				
E7g	Reason for not purchasing over the internet: Foreign retailer did not sell to my country	X				
Н6	Full time employment	×				
Н6	Part time employment	×				
H6	Employee	X				
H6	Employee, permanent	X				
H6	Employee, temporary	X				
H6	Self-employed (incl. family workers)	X				
Н6	Economic sector (10 items)					
Н6	Details on status for other, not in the labour force (5 items)	×				
H7	Coverage of <i>all</i> ISCO-08 2-digit categories	X				
H9	Region of Residence, NUTS 2					
-	Region of Residence, NUTS 3 for production of new regional breakdowns (rural/intermediate/urban)					
H12	Number of persons aged 16-24	X				
H12	Number of students aged 16-24	X				
H12	Number of persons aged 25-64	X				
H12	Number of persons aged more than or equal 65	×				
H13	Number of persons aged from 14 to 15	×				
H13	Number of persons aged from 5 to 13	×				
H13	Number of persons aged less than or equal to 4	X				
H14	Household income in quartiles	×				
H14	Household income (equivalised) in quintiles					

4.4	Effects of deviations from the routing used in the Eurostat model of	questionnai	re, if any		
	5. Sampling frame				
5.1	Name and short description of the sampling frame or register used				
J.1					
	Please mention the frame population and the units listed therein (e.g. districts, municipal households, persons, telephone numbers, etc.).	llities, addresse	s,		
	If the sample is selected from a sample of another survey, from a micro-census or from a master sample (in the case of multi-phase sampling designs), then please mention the frame population used for the other survey/the micro-census/the master sample.				
	If more than one sampling frame are used e.g. one sampling frame for each sampling st for each national region, then please mention all of them.	age or one sam	pling frame		
	Please describe if different frames are used to draw the sample and to gross up.				
	Please mention if RDD (Random Digit Dialling) is used.				
	The sample of households for the ICT survey of the year 2015 consisted sample of households used in the National Health Survey of the year 2 aged 16-74.				
	The multi-stage stratified area sampling was adopted for the survey. The are the areas (one or more unified city blocks) participating in the Nation year 2014.				
	The secondary sampling units are the sampling households of the containing individuals aged 16-74 years old and belonging to the seleunits.				
	The final sampling unit is one person randomly selected among the hou years old.	isehold mem	bers 16-74		
5.2	Is the sample drawn from another survey sample, master	Yes	No		
	sample or micro-census?	₩			
	If yes, please, name the survey: National Health Survey 2014	X	Ш		
	If yes, then the sampling stages used to select the other survey sample have to be further included in the description of sampling design.				
	If yes, then we have a case of multi-phase sampling.				



5.3 Known shortcomings of the sampling frame, if any

Shortcomings in terms of timeliness (e.g. time lag between last update of the sampling frame and the moment of the actual sampling), geographical coverage, coverage of different subpopulations, etc.

Only the individuals that reside in private households participate in the survey. Individuals who permanently reside in collective houses (as hospitals, hotels, asylums, houses of old people, orphanages etc.) are not covered by the survey. These individuals are – as a rule – members of institutional households. If however we subtract from this population the conscripts and the imprisoned, the actual percentage not covered by the survey procedure, accounts for 1.25% of the total population, and in its major part concerns economically non-active persons.



6. Sampling design

6.1	Is the sampling design a probability design?	Yes	No	
	A probability sampling design ensures known probabilities for units selected. In practice, non-response generally makes samples depart from the probability ones. However, the point here is to report on whether or not the gross sample (net sample plus non-respondents) has been selected in a probability way.	X		
6.2	What is the number of sampling stages?			
	If the survey sample is selected from a sample of another survey, from the micro-census sample, then please include the number of sampling stages from all sampling phases introduced sampling stages.			
	If there are differences in the same country with regard to the number of sampling stages for different population groups, e.g. one-stage sampling in urban areas and two-stage sampling in rural areas, then report the number of sampling stages for each of the population groups.			
	Two Stages for households, three stages for individuals.			
	The multi-stage stratified area sampling was adopted for the survey. The primary sampling units are the areas (one or more unified city blocks) participating in the National Health Survey of the year 2014, while the secondary sampling units are the households of the National Health Survey containing members belonging to the target population (individuals aged 16-74 years old). The final sampling unit is one person randomly selected among the household's members 16-74 years old.			
6.3	Is there (explicit) stratification at the first stage?	Yes	No	
	If there are differences as regards stratification at stage 1 between population groups (e.g. rural/urban, etc.), then please answer separately for each case.	×		



6.4 What are the stratification variables at the first stage?

Examples:

- -region/ province/ county/ district/ code of administrative territories;
- -size/ population density/ degree of urbanisation;
- -type of municipality/ settlement;
- -type of residence: urban/ rural;
- -age, gender, etc.

The stratification variables of the survey will be the:

- a. Region (NUTS 2)
- b. Degree of urbanization.

In each Region (NUTS 2), the stratification of primary units was conducted by allocating the Municipal and Local Communities according to the degree of urbanization. Except for the former two Major City Agglomerations (Athens and Thessaloniki), the created strata according to the degree of urbanization are:

1	Municipal Communities with 10.000 inhabitants or more
2	Municipal Communities with 2.000 to 9.999 inhabitants
3	Municipal Communities with up to 1.999 inhabitants

The former Greater Athens Area was divided into 47 strata of about equal size (equal number of households) on the basis of the lists of city blocks of the Municipalities that constitute it and taking into consideration socio-economic criteria. Similarly, the former Greater Thessaloniki Area was divided into 11 equally sized strata. Thus, the total number of strata of the survey was 97, that is the 'final strata'. The two former Major City Agglomerations account for about 38% of total population and for even larger percentages in certain socio-economic variables.



wiia	t is the sampling method at the first stage?
metho possib	ampling method (for the sampling units) refers to the way the sample is selected. For example, the sampling of can be a simple random sampling, whereby all samples are given the same probability of selection. Other ole methods include systematic sampling with equal or unequal probabilities, other proportional-to-size ling (πps) , etc.
differe	e mention if the systematic sampling has stratification effect (gives rise to implicit stratification). If there are ences as regards the sampling design at stage 1 between population groups (e.g. rural/urban, etc.), then e answer separately for each case.
	Exhaustive selection
	Simple random sampling
	Systematic sampling with equal probabilities
	With stratification effect,please mention the related auxiliary variable: Insert Text
	☐ Without stratification effect
X	Systematic sampling with probabilities proportional-to-size
	With stratification effect, please mention the related auxiliary variable: Department (Prefecture)
	☐ Without stratification effect
	Other proportional-to-size (πps) sampling, please indicate: Insert Text

6.6 What is the sampling unit at the first stage (PSU)?

Examples: census enumeration areas, sections, municipalities, communes, villages, settlements, households, individuals, etc.

If there are differences in the same country with regard to the type of primary sampling units (PSUs), e.g. households as PSUs in urban area and villages as PSUs (and households as SSUs) in rural areas, then report the relevant sampling unit at stage 1 for each of the population groups. Please do this also for the sampling units at further stages at the next questions.

The primary sampling units are the areas (one or more unified city blocks) participating in the National Health Survey of the year 2014.

1st stage of sampling

In this stage, for any ultimate stratum ('final strata'), say stratum h, a_h primary units were drawn with probabilities proportional to their sizes. The number a_h of draws is approximately proportional to the population stratum size N_h , as defined above.

The primary unit of order i in stratum h has probability of being drawn proportional to the population size as follows:

$$P_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi}}{N_h} \tag{1}$$

where:

 $N_{\it hi}$: the updated (from the European Health Survey) target population of households in the $\it hi$ primary unit

6.7 Are the sampling units at the first stage self-representing?

In case of **self-representing primary sampling units** i.e. of PSUs purposefully included in the sample (selected with probability of one), these are treated as primary strata and their secondary sampling units are treated as primary sampling units.

Yes	No

6.8 If yes to 6.7

What is the sampling unit at the second stage (SSU)?

Examples: dwellings, households, individuals, etc.

In case of **self-representing primary sampling units**, the **secondary sampling units** are treated as primary sampling units.

Insert text



6.9 What is the sampling unit at the ultimate stage?

Examples: dwellings, households, individuals, etc.

The secondary sampling units are the households of the National Health Survey of year 2014 containing members belonging to the target population (individuals aged 16-74 years old). The third –and final- sampling unit is one person randomly selected among the household members of 16-74 years old.

2nd stage of sampling

In the hi primary unit, a sample of n_{hi} out of N_{hi} households was selected with equal probabilities. Each one of the n_{hi} households had the same chance to be selected, equal to:

$$\frac{n_{hi}}{N_{hi}}$$
 (2)

The total number of households to be interviewed of the a_h sampling primary units is: $n_h = \sum_{i=1}^{a_h} n_{hi}$

Within each primary sampling unit the calculation of the sampling interval $\delta_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}}$ was carried out, so that the following two desired conditions to be satisfied.

a) The expectation of the fraction $\frac{n_h}{N_h}$ was constant in each stratum. That is: $E\left(\frac{n_h}{N_h}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = 1.86\%$ (3)

b) The estimator of the stratum total Y_h (for any characteristic) will be self-weighting. In other words, the estimate of the survey characteristics is derived as product of the sum of the values of the characteristics over the η_h sample households by the overall raising factor λ , which is equal in each stratum.

The conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied when:

$$\frac{1}{a_h} \cdot \frac{1}{P_{hi}} \cdot \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} = \lambda \quad (4) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a_h} \cdot \frac{1}{P_{hi}} \cdot \delta_{hi} = \lambda \Rightarrow \delta_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} = \lambda \cdot a_h \cdot P_{hi} \quad (5)$$

From the relations (1) and (5) \Rightarrow

$$\frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} = \lambda \cdot a_h \cdot \frac{N_{hi}}{N_h} \Rightarrow n_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi} \cdot N_h}{\lambda \cdot a_h \cdot N_{hi}} \Rightarrow n_{hi} = \frac{N_h}{\lambda \cdot a_h}$$
(6)

From the relation (3), it is deducted that:
$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{n_h}{N_h} \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{N_h}{n_h}$$
 (7)

From the relations (6) and (7), we have: $n_{hi} = \frac{n_h}{a_h}$ (8)

	3 rd stage of sampling					
	In this stage from each household one individual (member of household belonging to the target population) was selected with equal probabilities.					
	Let $p_{_{hij}}$ is the selection probability of the hij individual, which belongs to the hi household. As one					
	individual was selected with equal probabilities out of m_{bi} members belonging to	target popula	ation,			
	the $p_{\scriptscriptstyle hij}$ was defined as: $p_{\scriptscriptstyle hij} = \frac{1}{m_{\scriptscriptstyle hi}}$					
6.10	How many individuals are interviewed in the household?	One or	All			
	Interviewed units are units from which data are collected. The interviewed unit can be different from the ultimate sampling unit.	some				
	For instance, the sampling unit at an ultimate stage can be a household and the interviewed unit can be an individual (all eligible individuals in the household are interviewed — this is a cluster sampling).	×				
	Furthermore, the sampling unit at the ultimate stage can also be an individual and the interviewed unit can be all eligible individuals in the same household. This is an indirect cluster sampling.					
6.11	Does the survey have a longitudinal component?	Yes	No			
	The survey collects data from the same sample elements on multiple occasions over time.		×			
6.12	If yes to 6.11, please provide additional information!					
	If the survey sample is based on rotation groups, please specify the number of rotation	groups.				
	Are the rotation groups of equal sizes?					
	What is the frequency of rotation of groups?					
	How are new rotation groups selected?					
	If the survey sample is drawn from another survey sample/micro-census/master samp take place at the level of the other survey sample/micro-census/master sample?	le, then does th	ne rotation			
	Insert text					
6.13	Please provide any additional information on the sampling design	1.				
	The sampling fraction in each of the 97 strata (Stratum= Region x De					
	equal to $f = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{n}{N} \cong 1.86$ %, where $n = 7,001$ is the total same	ple size of	households			
	and $N = 3,773,655$ is the estimated total number of households be population according to LFS 2015					

	Sample size	Households	Individuals (aged 16 to 74)	Individuals (younger than 16)	Individuals (older than 74)
6.14	Gross sample size The number of households/individuals initially selected from the sampling frame (if not applicable, please indicate why). Please restrict the numbers in the first two columns to the Eurostat scope (if additional age groups are covered, these can be reported separately in the last two columns).	7.001	7.001	→ if not applic leave blank	able, please
6.15	Net sample size The number of households/individuals that can be used in the final database (if not applicable, please indicate why).		To be filled in (final re	_	

7. Response and non-response

(quality report)

Note: This chapter only deals with non-response error. Other non-sampling error such as frame errors, measurement and processing errors or model assumption errors are discussed elsewhere or outside the scope of this methodological report.

UNIT NON-RESPONSE

Unit non-response occurs when not all elements (households and/or individuals) of the gross sample (i.e. the initial sample drawn from the reference sampling frame) participate in the survey and are thus not included in the net sample.

However, not all types of non-response are taken into account when calculating the response rate (in §7.D) as they can be rather related to the quality of e.g. the sampling frame than to the quality of the survey data.

Note: In this report - for reasons of comparability across countries - all non-contacts are considered to be non-response of eligible cases (where in reality some of the non-contacts may concern ineligible cases).

If additional age groups were covered, please report separately for individuals in the general scope (16-74), and any additional age groups covered (see the last two columns).

If no additional age groups were covered (see also §3.2 and §4.1), the last two columns can be left blank.

		Number of households	Number of individuals (aged 16-74)	Number of individuals (<16)	Number of individuals (>74)
7.A	7.A Gross sample size The number of households/individuals initially	7001	7001	→ if not applicable, please leave as is	
	selected from the sampling frame (if not applicable, please indicate why).			-1	-1

	Type of unit non-response (ineligible cases)	Number of households	Number of individuals (aged 16-74)	Number of individuals (<16)	Number of individuals (>74)
7.1	Ineligible: out-of-scope E.g. selected household is not in the target	16	16	→ if not applicable, please leave as is	
	population because all members are over 75 years old.			-1	-1



7.2	Other ineligible E.g. no dwelling exists at the selected address or	-1	-1	-1	-1
	selected individual has died between the reference data of the sampling frame (cf. §5.2) and the moment of the interview.				
				-	
7.B	Number of eligible elements	6985	6985	-1	-1
	I.e. the gross sample size corrected for the ineligible cases.				
	► [§7.B] = [§7.A] - [§7.1] - [§7.2]				

	Type of unit non-response (eligible cases)	Number of households	Number of individuals (aged 16-74)	Number of individuals (<16)	Number of individuals (>74)
7.3	Non-contact E.g. no one was home or postal survey was never	1486	1486		pplicable, ave as is
	sent back.			-1	-1
7.4	Refusal E.g. selected household or individual was contacted but refused to take part in the survey.	832	832	-1	-1
7.5	Inability to respond E.g. selected household or individual was unable to participate due to language barriers or cognitive or physical incapacity to respond.	-1	-1	-1	-1
7.6	Rejected interviews E.g. the selected household/individual did take part but the survey form cannot be used (poor quality - e.g. strong inconsistencies; unacceptable item-response - e.g. individual left most of the questions unanswered; survey form got lost and interview cannot be repeated; etc.).	-1	-1	-1	-1
7.7	Other non-response Please specify the other types of non-response encountered. Note: please add the other non-response related to ineligibility of the selected elements under §7.2. Insert text	-1	-1	-1	-1
7.C	Net sample size The number of households/individuals that can be used in the final database (if not applicable, please indicate why). This notion corresponds to the <i>final sample</i> in the Tabulation Scheme. ▶ [§7.C] = [§7.B] - [§7.3] - [§7.4] - [§7.5] - [§7.6] - [§7.7]	4667	4667	-1	-1



		Households	Individuals (aged 16- 74)	Individuals (<16)	Individuals (>74)
7.D	Unit response rate The unit response rate is the ratio of the number of in-scope respondents (= the number of	66.8%	66.8%		pplicable, eave as is
	achieved interviews or the net sample size, see §7.C) to the <i>number of eligible elements</i> selected from the sampling frame (see §7.B). The number of eligible elements equals <i>the gross sample size</i> (see §7.A) <i>minus the ineligible cases</i> (see §7.1 and §7.2). • [§7.D] = [§7.C] / [§7.B]			-1	-1

7.8	Comments on the unit response rate, if any
	Insert text

7.9 Methods used for minimizing unit non-response

Where applicable, give a description of measures taken to reduce the unit non-response:

- advance notification in the form of a letter or phone call;
- system of reminders, number of visits, number of attempts for phone calls, etc.
- showing respondents how the data they are providing are being used;
- etc

An advanced notification letter was sent to all households; approximately two months before the survey conduct, among others providing information on how the data collected are being used. In cases where the households couldn't be approached, mainly due to temporary absence, a number of attempts for phone calls (up to three) were used.

7.10 Methods used for dealing with unit non-response

Indicate whether imputations are made for unit non-response and give a short description of the methods used (e.g. correction factor in the weighting procedure, imputation based on background characteristics known from the sampling frame, etc.).

Correction factor in the weighting procedure: In each stratum h, the inverse of the initial

inclusion probabilities of the respondents are multiplied by the inverse of the response rate Γ_h of the stratum h. Consequently, the extrapolation factor takes into account not only the selection probability of the sampling units but also the inverse of response rate in each stratum.

7.11	Has substitution been used? Please indicate whether the instructions to interviewers allow for proxy interviews (another person in the household than the one who was randomly selected can	Yes	No
	answer the questions). If yes, please give an estimate of the percentage of proxy interviews (compared to the total number of interviews).		
	Substitution rate (%) 0%		
	On which criterion has the selection of the substituted units been based? Insert text		



ITEM NON-RESPONSE

Item non-response occurs when a respondent provides some, but not all, of the requested information, or if the reported information is not useable (note that entirely non-useable questionnaire are already counted in the *unit* non-response, see §7.6).

It may occur for a variety of reasons. Items may be missing because the respondent broke off the interview after partially completing it (but enough data were provided so that the questionnaire is not classified as a unit non-response). Items may be missing because the respondent inadvertently skipped an item, a module or a page (especially in self-administered mail surveys). Or a respondent may simply not have the information on the question (and no don't know option is foreseen) or refuse to give the requested information.

As item non-response usually goes hand-in-hand with systematic bias (e.g. the proportion of *No* answers may be higher among people with item non-response compared to those who did answer on a specific item), it is useful to assess the degree and impact of this type of non-response.

7.12 Questions or items with item response rates below 90%

If any, identify the items with low response rates (the cut-off value to be used is 0.90) and indicate their respective response rates. The item non-response rate should of course be calculated taking into account the routing and filtering in the questionnaire.

Insert text



7.13	What	imputation methods have been used?		
		e whether imputations are made for item non-response and give a shor carest-neighbour imputation, hot deck imputation, mode imputations w		methods used
	(Multipl	e choices possible)		
	\boxtimes	None		
		Deductive imputation		
	allowan	ct value can be derived as a known function of certain characteristics (e ce is a known function of certain characteristics like income class, age eristics are known, it becomes possible to calculate the value of a famil	of children, etc. As	soon as those
	Detern	ninistic imputation		
		inistic imputation leads to estimators with no random component, that red, the outcome would be the same.	is, if the imputation	were to be re-
		Mean/Median		
		Mean/Median by class		
		Regression-based		
		Donor		
		Other (please specify): Insert Text		
	Rando	m imputation		
		n imputation leads to estimators with a random component, that is, if t ead to a different result.	he imputation were	re-conducted, it
		Hot-deck		
		Cold-deck		
		Simulated residuals		
		Other (please specify): Insert text		
		Multiple imputation		
	In multi represe	imputation methods offer the possibility of deriving variance estimator iple imputation each missing value is replaced (instead of a single value nt the uncertainty of the right value to impute. The incorporation of im d based on the variability of estimates among the multiply imputed dat	e) with a set of plau putation variance c	sible values that
7.14	What	was the overall imputation rate for the main indicato	rs?	
	For eacl	h of the target indicators below, please report the proportion of observers, if applicable, please report the share of the estimate that is contribu	ations that are imp	
	Moreove	er, if applicable, please report the share of the estimate that is contribt	ited by the imputed	i values.
			T	
	Main t	target indicator	Imp. Rate	Imp. Rate
			(% of observations)	(share of estimate)
	Propor	tion of households having access to the Internet at home	0%	0%
	(item 'Y	es' in variable A2 of the 2015 model questionnaire)		
	`	tion of households using a broadband connection	0%	0%
	•	-	3 70	3 70
	(a Yes'	on option a or b in A3 of the 2015 model questionnaire)		
	Propor	tion of individuals regularly using the Internet: overall	0%	0%
	(indiv v	who ticked ontion 1 or 2 in C2 of the 2015 model questionnaire)		



7.15 Other comments relating to the item non-response

If any, please use this box to inform on additional issues on the non-response calculation (e.g. method used in national publications, etc.).

Insert text

8. Grossing-up procedures

(quality report)

Please give a description of the extrapolation or weighting procedures used to gross up the *households* (§8.1) and the *individuals* (§8.2) in the net sample to the (target) population, discussing the different steps taken or factors applied to the design weighting to take into account the (post)stratification, balancing for unit non-response, etc. In case similar methods are used for grossing-up the net samples of households and individuals, the discussion

How were the design weights calculated?

Was balanced sampling used and what are the balancing variables?

Has re-weighting for units non-response been performed?

Has adjustment to external data sources been performed?

Is the sample self-weighted?

can be integrated under one heading.

8.1 Grossing-up procedures for households

Let h be one of the final strata of households (Final stratum = Region x Degree of Urbanization), then this will take the following values: h=1,2,...,H (where H=97). In each of the final strata (let h), if statistical information was selected from a sample of n_h' households, the extrapolation factor of the household of order j belonging to the PSU of order i was defined as:

$$W_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{a_h \cdot N_{hi}} \cdot \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{a_h \cdot n_{hi}} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij}$$
(9)

From relations (8) in paragraph 6.1 and (9), we have:

$$W_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{a_h} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij} \Rightarrow W_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{n_h} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij}$$
 (10)

where:

 N_h : the target population size in the h stratum according to Population census 2011 and LFS 2015 data

 n_h : the initial sample size in the h stratum

 $\frac{N_h}{n_h}$: the inverse of the initial inclusion selection probability of the sampling households in the the

h stratum, as the the estimator of the stratum total Y_h (for any characteristic) is self-weighting,

$$r_h = \frac{n'_h}{n_h}$$
 is the response rate in the h stratum

 t_{hij} : Factor, which adjusts the sample weights of households so that the sample totals conform to the population totals on a cell-by-cell basis (Population Weighting Adjustment). The auxiliary variable used at household level is the household size (1,2,3,4 or 5+ members) for the definition of cells or classes.

The distribution of households by size class is estimated from the population census 2011 and LFS 2015 data.

8.2 Grossing-up procedures for individuals

In each of the final strata of households (let h), if statistical information was selected from a sample of m_h individuals, the extrapolation factor of the individual of order k belonging to the hij household is defined as follows:

$$W_{hijk} = W_{hij} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{hijk}} \cdot g_{hijk}$$
 (8.2)

where:

 $\mathcal{W}_{\mathit{hijk}}$: The extrapolation factor of the hij household in which the hijk individual belongs

 $p_{_{hijk}}$: The selection probability of the hijk individual, which belongs to the hij household. As one individual was selected with equal probabilities out of m_{hij} members belonging to the target population, the

$$p_{_{hijk}}$$
 is defined as: $p_{_{hijk}} = \frac{1}{m_{_{hii}}}$

 g_{hijk} : Factor, which adjusts the sample weights of individuals, so that the sample distribution conform to the population distribution across a set of classes. The classes are 24, which are defined by crossing sex by age groups (2 sex categories \times 12 age groups). The age groups are defined by the year intervals: 16-19, 20-24, 25-29,30-34, 35-39,40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59,60-64, 65-69 and 70-74. The population distribution of individuals by sex and age groups is estimated from the population census 2011 and LFS 2015 data.



9. Sampling error (quality report)

Standard error (for a selection of indicators)

The sampling error reflects the fact that only a particular sample was surveyed rather than the entire population. It is estimated by the standard error and can be expressed by the square root of the estimate of the sampling variance ($\hat{\sigma}_{(\hat{\sigma})}$). The estimation of the sampling variance should ideally take into account the sampling design (e.g. the stratification).

Please comment on the approach for calculating sampling errors in §9.6. In case the standard errors are derived using the variance formula for simple random sampling and incorporating a factor which reflects the multi-stage, clustered nature of the sampling design, please provide more detailed information in §9.6 d and e.

Please indicate below the number of respondents (absolute value for *Yes* answers), the estimated value of the proportion (in %) as well as the respective *standard error* (in percentage points) for the indicators and subindicators mentioned.

Please note that the accuracy measure used, i.e. the STANDARD DEVIATION, was also addressed in the 2006-2014 report templates but differs from the 2004 and 2005 report templates (where the *coefficient of variation* was used).

The section 9.7 should be completed with comments on reliability and representativeness of results and completeness of dataset. The two questions should be left blank if not applicable, i.e. if standard errors found were adequate or if subgroups of the population had always a sufficient number of respondents.

	Indicator or subindicator - on households and individuals in the general scope (16-74) and related subgroups -	Number of respondents	Estimated proportion (%)	Standard error (% points)
9.1	Proportion of households having access to the Internet at home (item 'Yes' in variable A2 of the 2015 model questionnaire)	2569516	68.1	0.75
9.2	Proportion of households using a broadband connection (a 'Yes' on option a or <i>b</i> in variable A3 of the 2015 model questionnaire)	2531993	67.1	0.76
9.3	Proportion of individuals regularly using the Internet: overall (indiv. who ticked option 1 or 2 in variable C2 of the 2015 model questionnaire)	5048234	63.0	0.83
9.3.1	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: males (as % of all men)	2574958	65.6	1.19
9.3.2	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: females (as % of all women)	2473276	60.6	1.17
9.3.3	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 16-24 years (as % of all individuals aged 16-24 years)	925457	94.1	1.53
9.3.4	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 25-34 years (as % of all individuals aged 25-34 years)	1259859	90.4	1.42
9.3.5	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 35-44 years (as % of all individuals aged 35-44 years)	1272062	77.8	1.73
9.3.6	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 45-54 years (as % of all individuals aged 45-54 years)	971354	62.1	1.83
9.3.7	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 55-64 years (as % of all individuals aged 55-64 years)	491341	36.8	1.79
9.3.8	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 65-74 years (as % of all individuals aged 65-74 years)	128161	11.7	1.14
9.3.9	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: low educational level (as % of all individuals with low education)	733955	28.2	1.34
9.3.10	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: medium educat. level (as % of all individuals with medium education)	2292392	73.4	1.20
9.3.11	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: high educational level (as % of all individuals with high education)	2021887	88.7	0.95



Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: students (as % of all students)	658686	96.6	1.29
Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: employees or self-employed (as % of all employees or self-employed)	2794238	78.1	1.06
Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed)	838838	71.1	2.10
Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: ${\bf retired, other\ inactive}$ (as % of all retired and other inactive)	756473	29.5	1.27
Proportion of individuals having submitted completed forms in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked item c in variable D1 of the 2015 model questionnaire)	2018863	25.2	0.75
Proportion of individuals having ordered goods or services for private use over the internet in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked option 1 or 2 in variable E1 of the 2015 model questionnaire)	2543187	31.8	0.82
	(as % of all students) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: employees or self-employed (as % of all employees or self-employed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: retired, other inactive (as % of all retired and other inactive) Proportion of individuals having submitted completed forms in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked item c in variable D1 of the 2015 model questionnaire) Proportion of individuals having ordered goods or services for private use over the internet in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked option 1 or 2 in variable E1 of the 2015 model	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: employees or self-employed (as % of all employees or self-employed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: retired, other inactive (as % of all retired and other inactive) Proportion of individuals having submitted completed forms in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked item c in variable D1 of the 2015 model questionnaire) Proportion of individuals having ordered goods or services for private use over the internet in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked option 1 or 2 in variable E1 of the 2015 model	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: employees or selfemployed (as % of all employees or self-employed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed) Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: retired, other inactive (as % of all retired and other inactive) Proportion of individuals having submitted completed forms in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked item c in variable D1 of the 2015 model questionnaire) Proportion of individuals having ordered goods or services for private use over the internet in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked option 1 or 2 in variable E1 of the 2015 model

9.6	Calculation of the standard error
	There exist different methods by which the standard error of an estimated proportion can be assessed based on the distribution in the sample. Please, describe below the approach which you have followed. This information will help Eurostat to evaluate the comparability of the standard errors supplied in the previous section by the different statistical institutes participating in the survey.
	a) Name and brief description of the applied estimation approach
	Analytic Method Linearization Method Taylor linearization Linearization based on influence functions Other, please specify: The variance estimation considers PPS of PSU's with replacement. As the clusters (one or more unified city blocks) are used as primary sampling units (PSUs) in the sample design, the variance procedure estimates the variance from the variation among the PSUs. Replication Methods Jackknife Bootstrap Balanced repeated Replication / Balanced half-samples Random Groups Other, please specify: Insert Text Other, please specify:
	b) Basic formula
	Let \mathcal{W}_{hijk} (>0) stand for the survey weight attached to the sample individual k ($k=1$, as one individual is surveyed, in each sampling household) belonging to the sampling household of order j ($j=1,,\mathcal{N}_{hi}$), belonging to the selected cluster of order i , of the stratum h .
	Estimation of survey characteristics
	Let $y_{_{\mathit{hijk}}}$ be the value of the characteristic y of the ultimate unit (individual) of the household
	of order j , belonging to the hi primary sampling unit (cluster). Moreover, Y stands for the total population, which is derived by adding the characteristic y of all ultimate units included in
	all strata h . The form of the estimator on the basis of the two-stage design is:

$$\widehat{Y}_{h} = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{a_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot y_{hijk}$$
 (9.6.1)

Estimation of a ratio

Let χ_{hijk} be the value of the characteristic x of the ultimate unit of the household of order j, belonging to the hi primary sampling unit (cluster). Moreover, X stands for the total population, which is derived by adding the characteristic x of all ultimate units included in all strata h. The form of the estimator \widehat{R} on the basis of the two-stage design is:

$$\widehat{R} = \frac{\widehat{Y}}{\widehat{X}} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{A_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot Y_{hijk}}{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{A_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot X_{hijk}}$$
(9.6.2)

Variance estimation

In order to calculate the variance of the estimated characteristics, the following steps should be followed:

a) For every selected primary sampling unit (cluster) i of the stratum h , we calculate the quantity T_{hi} using the following formula:

$$T_{hi} = a_h \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot y_{hikj}$$
 (9.6.3)

b) Since T_{hi} has been calculated for every primary sampling unit (cluster) i $(i=1,...,a_h)$ of the stratum h, then $V(\widehat{Y})$ is calculated as (Rao, 1988):

$$V(\hat{Y}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{a_h \cdot (a_h - 1)} \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} T_{hi}^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} T_{hi}\right)^2}{a_h} \right]$$
(9.6.4)

For the estimation of the variance and the coefficient of variation of a ratio $R=\frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{X}}$ additional steps should be followed, below:

a) For every selected primary sampling unit (cluster) i of the stratum h, we calculate the quantity F_{hi} using the following formula:

$$F_{hi} = a_h \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot \chi_{hijk}$$
 (9.6.5)



b) Since T_{hi} and F_{hi} have been calculated for every primary sampling unit (cluster) i ($i=1,2,,a_h$).
of the stratum h , then $V(\widehat{X})$ is calculated as:
$V(\hat{X}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{a_h \cdot (a_h - 1)} \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} F_{hi}^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} F_{hi}\right)^2}{a_h} \right] $ (9.6.6)
c) What tools were used?
If yes, please indicate: The variance estimation procedure adds the final stratum variance
estimates to compute the overall variance estimate.
☐ imputation?
Imputation variance can be estimated if multiple imputation is used.
Replication and analytic methods can be used to incorporate imputation into variance estimation.
Deville and Särndal (1994) proposed a method for the regression imputed Horvitz-Thompson estimator.
If yes, please indicate:
coverage errors (over-coverage, multiple listings)? Methodology of domain estimation can be used. Target population has to be defined as a domain of the frame population. The related loss of precision can be quantified. If yes, please indicate:
☐ implicit stratification?
One way to consider implicit stratification is to define explicit strata, from which each of an independent
sample is supposed to have been selected.

Other methods using analytic formulae are available.



If yes, please indicate:
☐ rotating samples?
In case of rotating sample schemes, the overlap of samples between e.g. successive quarters reduces the precision of the average of estimates from e.g. quarterly samples and increases the precision for e.g. the quarter-to-quarter estimates of change.
If yes, please indicate:
☐ calibration?
Methods to account for the effect of calibration on variance should be used. E.g. Deville and Särndal method (1992).
If yes, please indicate:
e) Main reference in the literature
Rao, J.N.K (1988). Variance Estimation in Sample Surveys. In Handbook of Statistics, Vol. 6, (Eds. P.R. Krishnaiah and C.R. Rao). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 427-447



9.7	Comments on reliability and representativeness of results and completeness of dataset
	These comments should reflect on the standard errors reported for the indicators and subgroups in 9.1 to 9.5 above as well as on the other indicators and breakdowns. The estimated standard error shall not exceed 2% points for the overall proportions and shall not exceed 5% points for the proportions relating to the different subgroups of the population where these subgroups constitute at least 10% of the total population in the scope of the survey. If problems were found, these could have implications for future surveys (e.g. need to improve sampling design or to increase sample sizes for households or individuals).
	Indicators and breakdowns in sections 9.1 to 9.5 above:
	Indicators and Dieakdowns in Sections 5.1 to 5.5 above.
	Other indicators and breakdowns:



10. Closing remarks

10.1	These comments can relate to methodological issues as well as to the questionnaire itself (item construction, clarity of definitions to interviewers and respondents, routing and filtering, outcome of pre-tests, etc.)
10.2	Other comments, if any

11. Annexes

Note: Please also provide the annexes in a computer-readable format and in English

11.1	Questionnaire in national language - Yes
11.2	Questionnaire in English (if available) - Yes
11.3	Interviewer instructions (if available) – Yes in national language
11.4	National reports on methodology (if available)
11.5	Analysis of key results, backed up by tables and graphs (if available)
11.6	Other annexes Please give an overview of other annexes (whether or not referred to in the preceding chapters of this report) • •