

Community Survey on ICT usage in households and by individuals 2012

Quality report

Please read this first !!!

General guidelines on using this template

- In the title line of this page, please delete the non-applicable term (Metadata / Quality Report).
- Fill in the required information in the space (box) foreseen next to or below the item heading, if a box is irrelevant for your national survey, indicate 'non-applicable' to avoid we have to come back to you on this item. An increase of the box' size after inserting several lines or paragraphs is no problem. However, when reporting several pages for one item, we kindly ask you to give a short summary and refer to the full text in an annex.
- Keep the numbering of the chapters and items. Additional comments can be given at the end of the report.
- This template is designed to serve both the requirements for the <u>Metadata</u> as well as the <u>Quality</u> report. Chapters 1 to 6 shall be completed for the metadata report (deadline for submission: before 31/05/2012), chapters 7 to 10 can be postponed until the Quality report (deadline for submission: not later than 05/11/2012). However, where provisional information for the Quality Report topics is already available, we invite you to provide us with this data in the Metadata report (and update it in the Quality Report).
- Please submit the national questionnaire used (in national language and if available in English) annexed to the metadata report.
- Please replace in the header field the code 'EU' with your country code.
- All information provided in this report on coverage of questions/items, net sample sizes, number of respondents, proportions, etc. should be in line with the transmitted data file(s).

We kindly thank you for respecting these guidelines.

1. Cover information

1.1	Country	GREECE
1.2	Organisation responsible for the survey Please also indicate the organisation running the survey if different from the organisation responsible (e.g. because of sub-contracting).	HELLENIC STATISTICAL AUTHORITY
1.3	Contact person(s) (name, unit, e-mail, phone, fax)	1. CHALKIADAKI MARIA UNIT OF HOUSEHOLD SURVEYS TEL. 0030 -213-135 2896 FAX. 0030 -213-135 2906 E-MAIL: MCHALK@STATISTICS.GR
1.4	Name of the collection The name of the survey in its original language(s) and in English (e.g. name used in the statistical office's English website).	Survey on the use of information and communication technologies from the households. Έρευνα χρήσης τεχνολογιών πληροφόρησης και επικοινωνίας από τα νοικοκυριά.



1.5	Last u	pdate o	f this	report
-----	--------	---------	--------	--------

Please indicate the date of the last update of this report, for the case we have several versions (i.e. use different dates for the metadata report and the quality report).

07/03/2013



2. General methodological information

2.1 Reference period(s)

The main reference period for the ICT variables as well as the background variables, e.g. *first quarter of the year* or *last three months before the interview* (with an indication of the respective months), or a specific date.

- 31rst of March 2012 for educational level completed
- Day of the survey conduct for socio demographic characteristics (activity status, employment situation, country of citizenship, legal marital status, etc.), A1-A4, B3,G16.
- First three months of 2012 for questions B2, B4, B5, C2, C3, all D module.
- Last 12 months (April 2011-March 2012) for questions E1, E2, F2, F3, F4, F5.

2.2 Survey period

The beginning and end date – if already known – of the data collection period.

Survey started mid May 2012 and finished mid July 2012.

2.3 Survey vehicle

Stand-alone or embedded in another survey. If embedded, give a short description of the survey the ICT modules are inserted in.

ICT is a stand-alone survey.

2.4 Survey type

Short description of the survey type (face-to-face interview, self-administered mail survey, telephone interview, combination of techniques, other; etc.).

If a combination of techniques was applied, please indicate the proportion of each technique related to the total number of achieved interviews.

Telephone interview

2.5 Survey participation

Please indicate whether the survey is mandatory or voluntary.

Participation is mandatory according to Greek law.

Main methodological differences compared to previous survey(s)

If any, indicate the changes in methodology that may have an impact on the (comparability over time of the) results delivered to Eurostat, e.g. survey type, change in reference period, new reference sampling frame, different scope, different grossing-up method, different treatment of non-response, etc. No need for giving detailed technical analyses, a bullet point overview of the main differences and the expected impact is sufficient.



2.6 Main methodological differences compared to previous survey(s)

If any, indicate the changes in methodology that may have an impact on the (comparability over time of the) results delivered to Eurostat, e.g. survey type, change in reference period, new reference sampling frame, different scope, different grossing-up method, different treatment of non-response, etc. No need for giving detailed technical analyses, a bullet point overview of the main differences and the expected impact is sufficient.

The sample of households for the ICT survey of the year **2012** is consisted of the samples used in the Greek Survey on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC) of the years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009. The EU-SILC is an annual rotating household survey covering the target population of the ICT survey. The EU-SILC is a multistage stratified sampling survey with primary sampling unit the area (one or more unified blocks) and final unit the household. The samples design of the EU-SILC was based on data coming from the population census of the year 2001.

For the ICT primary units are the areas and secondary units the households containing members belonging to the target population. The final unit is one person randomly selected among the household members of age sixteen to seventy four years.

The sampling design involves two levels of area stratification: (i) the first level is geographical stratification based on the partition of the total country into thirteen standard administrative regions corresponding to the European NUTS II level. The two major city agglomerations of Greater Athens and Greater Thessalonica constitute separate major geographical strata. (ii) The second level of stratification involves grouping municipalities and communes within each NUTS 2 administrative region by degree of urbanization, i.e., according to their population size, into four categories. These categories are defined by the population size intervals 0-999, 1000-4999, 5000-29999, 30000 and over. The number of final strata in the thirteen regions was 50. The two major city agglomerations were further partitioned into 31 and 9 substrata (administrative subsections), respectively, on the basis of the city blocks of the municipalities that constitute them. Thus, the total number of strata for this survey was 90.



3. Statistical unit(s), scope and target population

3.1	Statistical unit Please indicate whether the statistical unit follows recommendations by ticking Yes or No (and specify the deviations, if any):		
		Yes	No (please specify the deviations)
	Module A in the Eurostat model questionnaire: households with at least one member aged 16 to 74	x	
	Modules B to E in the Eurostat model questionnaire: individuals aged 16 to 74	x	

3.2	Age groups covered Please indicate the age scope (in the <i>Yes</i> column), or	tick <i>No</i> if not applicable	
		Yes (please specify, e.g. 12-15 or 75)	No
	Individuals younger than 16 ?		x
	Individuals aged 16 to 74 ?	X (compulsory)	
	Individuals older than 74 ?		x

3.3 Territorial coverage

If applicable, indicate the parts of the country that are not included as well as an estimate of the resulting percentage of undercoverage (non-covered population compared to total country population).

All private households of the country and the members of them are covered in the survey, independently of their size or any socio-economic characteristics they may have.

Excluded are collective households such as hotels, hospitals, military camps, nursing homes, etc. As collective households were also considered households with more than 5 lodgers. Households having as members foreigners in diplomatic missions.

	Universe	Households	Individuals
3.4	Target population The number of households and individuals in the target population (scope, universe). Please restrict the numbers to the Eurostat scope (if additional age groups are covered in the national survey, these can be reported separately between brackets). If not directly available, please provide an estimate (e.g. based on other social surveys). If not applicable, please indicate why.	3.760.329	8.386.491
3.5	Non-target population The approximate number of households and individuals outside the general scope of the survey (e.g. individuals younger than 16 or older than 74; households with all members over 74 years old), i.e. the difference between the total population (in terms of households or individuals) in the country and the target population). If not applicable, please indicate why.	378.471	2.646.399



4. Questionnaire

4.1 Adoption of *MANDATORY* questions and items from the Eurostat model questionnaire 2012

The questions listed below and its items reflect the required coverage of subjects and characteristics of Annex 2 of the **Commission Regulation (EC) No 937/2011 of 21 September 2012.** Please indicate in the table possible comments on the question/item coverage in your national questionnaire, e.g. insertion of additional items, different reporting periods, deviations in the routing of ordering of the questions and/or items (see also $\S4.4$), differences in definitions or classifications, alternative sources used (esp. in the background characteristics).

Where applicable, please report on the coverage of the questions for age groups beyond the standard scope, i.e. for respondents younger than 16 or for respondents older than 74. Possible comments on this issue can be added to the general column on deviations.

	Question	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	Covere		other oups?
				<16	>74
	Module A : Access to selected ICTs			→ if no applica please blank	
A1	Does the household via one of its members has access to a computer at home?	х			
A2	Does any member of this household have access to the Internet at home?	х			
А3	What types of Internet connection are used at home?	х			
A4	What are the reasons for not having access to the Internet at home?	x			
	Module B : Use of computer			→ if no applica please blank	
B1	When did you last use a computer?	x			
B2	How often on average have you used a computer in the last 3 months?	х			
В3	Which of the following computer related activities have you ever carried out?	х			
B4	Did you use a mobile phone or smart phone in the last 3 months?	х			
	Module C : Use of the Internet			→ if no applica please blank	
C1	When did you last use the Internet?	x			
C2	On average how often did you use the Internet in the last 3 months?	х			
C3	For which of the following activities did you use the Internet in the last 3 months for private use?	X			
	Module D : Mobile use of the Internet				
D1	Did you use any of the following portable computers to access the Internet away from home or work in the last 3 months?	х			
D2	Which of the following networks did you use to connect the portable computer to the Internet away from home or work in the last 3 months?	х			



	Question	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire		for other groups?
			<1	l6 >74
D3	On average, how often did you access the Internet using a portable computer away from home or work in the last 3 months?	x		
D4	Did you use any of the following handheld devices to access the Internet away from home or work in the last 3 months?	x		
D5	Which of the following networks did you use to connect the handheld device to the Internet away from home or work in the last 3 months?	x		
D6	On average, how often did you access the Internet using a handheld device away from home or work in the last 3 months?	x		
D7	For which of the following activities did you use the Internet via a handheld device in the last 3 months for private purpose?	x		
D8	Did you use Internet applications (apps) on a handheld device exploiting the information about where you are (e.g. GPS) in the last 3 months?	x		
D9	Did you use a portable computer or handheld device to access the Internet for professional purposes (to perform work related tasks) away from home or work in the last 3 months?	x		
D10	In the last 3 months, did you encounter any of the following problems when using a portable computer or handheld device away from home or work to access the Internet?	x		
D11	What are the reasons for not accessing the Internet with a portable computer or handheld device away from home or work in the last 3 months?	x		
	Module E : E-Government			→ if not applicable, lease leave blank
E1	Did you interact with public authorities over the internet for private purposes in the last 12 months for the following activities?	х		
E2	What were the reasons for not sending filled-in forms to public authorities over the internet in the last 12 months?	х		
	Module F : E-Commerce			→ if not applicable, lease leave blank
F1	When did you last buy or order goods or services for private use over the Internet (excluding manually typed e-mails)?	х		
F2	What types of goods or services did you buy or order over the Internet for private use in the last 12 months?	x		



	Question				d for other ge groups?		
				<16	>74		
F3	Did you buy or order goods or services for private use over the Internet using a handheld device in the last 12 months (connection to web browser or web app; excluding SMS, MMS and manually typed e-mails)?	X					
F4	What types of goods or services did you buy or order for private purpose using a handheld device in the last 12 months?	X					
F5	From whom did you buy or order goods or services for private purpose over the Internet in the last 12 months?	X					
	Socio-demographic background variables			applio please	not cable, e leave ank		
G1	Age	X					
G2	Sex	x					
G3	Country of birth	x					
G4	Country of citizenship	x					
G7	Educational level (according to ISCED)	x					
G8	Employment situation – mandatory variables -	x					
G9	Occupation (according to ISCO)	x					
G10	Region of Residence, NUTS 1	x					
G12	Geographical location (former y/n Objective 1 : from 2007 corresponding to « Convergence region « and all others, i.e. « Regional Competiveness and Employment region «)	X					
G13	Type of locality (degree of urbanisation)	x					
G14	Number of members in the household – mandatory variable -	х					
G15	of which, number of children under 16 – mandatory variable -	х					

4.2 Adoption of *OPTIONAL* questions and items from the Eurostat model questionnaire 2012

Please indicate in the table below if and which $\underline{\text{optional}}$ variables and questions were included in the national questionnaire.

For each question or item, an "x" in the column named Question included means that it was included in the national questionnaire. Where applicable, please report also on the coverage of the questions for age groups beyond the standard scope, i.e. for respondents younger than 16 or for respondents older than 74.

	Question / Item	Question included ?	Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	Covered for othe age groups		
					<16	>74
B3h	Modifying or verifying the configuration parameters of software applications (except internet browsers)	Yes				
B5	Use of mobile phone or smart phone for professional purposes	Yes				



	Question / Item		Any deviations from question / items in Model Questionnaire	Cove	red for age gr	
					<16	>74
G5	Legal marital status	Yes				
G6	De facto marital status	No				
G8	Full time employment	Yes				
G8	Part time employment	Yes				
Cö	Employee	Vac				
C8	Employee permanent	No				
Cδ	Employee temperary	No				
C8	Salf-amployed (incl. family workers)	Vac				
C8	Franchic sector (10 items)	No				
G8	Status for other, not in the labour force	Yes				
G9	Coverage of all ISCO-08 2-digit	Yes				
C11	Pagion of Pasidance MITS 2	No				
-	Region of Residence, NUTS 3 for production of new regional breakdowns	No				
C1/	Number of persons aged 16-24	Vac				
G14	Number of students aged 16-24	Yes				
G14	Number of persons aged 25-64	Yes				
G14	Number of persons aged more than or	Yes				
G14	Number of persons aged from 14 to 15	Yes				
G14	Number of persons aged from 5 to 13	Yes				
G14	Number of persons aged less than or	Yes				
G16	Household income in quartiles	Yes				
G16	Household income (equivalised) in quintiles	No				

G14	Number of persons aged less than or	Yes			
G16	Household income in quartiles	Yes			
G16	Household income (equivalised) in quintiles	No			
4.3	Additional questions introduced in th	e national que	estionnaire, if any		
4.4	Effects of deviations from the routing	used in the E	urostat model questionnaire	, if a	ny



5. Sampling frame

5.1 Name and short description of the sampling frame or register used

The sample of households for the ICT survey of the year **2012** was consisted of a sub-sample of the sample used in EU-SILC survey of the years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009.

The multi-stage stratified area sampling was adopted for the survey. The primary sampling units are the areas (one or more unified city blocks) participating in the EU-SILC survey of the years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009.

The secondary sampling units are the sampling households of the EU-SILC survey containing individuals aged 16-74 years old and belonging to the selected primary sampling units.

The final sampling unit is one person randomly selected among the household members aged sixteen to seventy four years.

5.2 Known shortcomings of the sampling frame, if any

Shortcomings in terms of timeliness (e.g. time lag between last update of the sampling frame and the moment of the actual sampling), geographical coverage, coverage of different subpopulations, etc.

Only the private households participate in the survey. Individuals who permanently reside in collective houses (as hospitals, hotels, asylums, houses of old people, orphanages etc) are not covered by the survey. These individuals are - as a rule - members of institutional households. If however we subtract from this population the conscripts and the imprisoned, the actual percentage not covered by the survey procedure, accounts for 2% of the total population, and in its major part concerns economically non-active persons.



6. Sampling design

6.1 Sampling method

Please give a description of the sampling method used (e.g. stratified random sample, quota sampling, cluster sampling; one-stage or two-stage sampling; if not directly selected from the register, how are individuals selected within the household; one or all individuals within a household; etc.) and the method used for determining the sample size and sample selection. If stratification was used, please specify which variables were used to stratify, the categories of those variables and the final number of stratums.

The sample of households for the ICT survey of the year **2012** was consisted of a sub-sample of the samples used in the EU-SILC of the years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009.

The multi-stage stratified area sampling was adopted for the survey. The primary sampling units are the areas (one or more unified city blocks) participating in the EU-SILC of the years 2008 and 2009, while the secondary sampling units are the households of the EU-SILC containing members belonging to the target population (individuals aged 15-74 years old). The final sampling unit is one person randomly selected among the household members of age sixteen to seventy four years.

The stratification variables of the survey will be the:

- a. Region (NUTS 2)
- b. Degree of urbanization.

In each Region (NUTS 2), the stratification of primary units was conducted by allocating the Municipal and Local Communities according to the degree of urbanization. Except for the former two Major City Agglomerations (Athens and Thessaloniki), the created strata according to the degree of urbanization are:

1	Municipal Communities with 30.000 inhabitants or more
2	Municipal Communities with 5.000 to 29999 inhabitants
3	Municipal or Local Communities with 1.000 to 4.999 inhabitants
4	Local Communities up to 999 inhabitants

The former Greater Athens Area was divided into 31 strata of about equal size (equal number of households) on the basis of the lists of city blocks of the Municipalities that constitute it and taking into consideration socio-economic criteria. Similarly, the former Greater Thessaloniki Area was divided into 9 equally sized strata. Thus, the total number of strata of the survey was 90. The two former Major City Agglomerations account for about 38% of total population and for even larger percentages in certain socio-economic variables.

The sampling fraction in each of the 90 strata (Stratum= Region x Degree of urbanization) is

equal to $f = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{n}{N} \cong 1,73$ %, where n = 6.500 is the total sample size of households

and N=3.760.329 is the total number of households belonging to the target population, for the 2^{nd} quarter of the year 2011.

The number of the sampling households in each of the 90 strata (let $\it h$) was defined by applying the proportional allocation as follows:

$$n_h = n \cdot \frac{N_h}{N}$$

where:

 $N_{\scriptscriptstyle h}$: the population size of the stratum $\,h$.

Stages of probability sampling

The sample of households for the ICT survey of the year 2012 was selected from the sample used in the the EU-SIL of the years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009. Although the sample of households for the ICT survey of the year 2012 was selected from the sample used in the EU-SILC, the following measures were taken in order to improve the selection probabilities, so that the requirements of the ICT survey to be met. The selection probabilities were defined as follows:

1st stage of sampling

In this stage, for any ultimate stratum (Stratum= Region x Degree of urbanization), say stratum h, a_h primary units were drawn with probabilities proportional to their sizes. The number a_h of draws is approximately proportional to the population stratum size N_h , as defined above.

The primary unit of order i in stratum h has probability of being drawn proportional to the population size as follows:

$$P_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi}}{N_h} \tag{1}$$

where:

 $N_{\scriptscriptstyle hi}$: the updated (from the the EU-SILC) target population of households in the $\it hi$ primary unit

2nd stage of sampling

In the hi primary unit, a sample of n_{hi} out of N_{hi} households was selected with equal probabilities. Each one of the n_{hi} households had the same chance to be selected, equal to:

$$\frac{n_{hi}}{N_{hi}}$$
 (2)

The total number of households to be interviewed of the a_b sampling primary units is:

$$\boldsymbol{n}_h = \sum_{i=1}^{a_h} \boldsymbol{n}_{hi}$$

Within each primary sampling unit the calculation of the sampling interval $\delta_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}}$ was carried out, so that the following two desired conditions to be satisfied.

a) The expectation of the fraction $\frac{n_{\scriptscriptstyle h}}{N_{\scriptscriptstyle h}}$ was constant in each stratum. That is:

$$E\left(\frac{n_h}{N_h}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = 1,73 \,\% \quad (3) \text{ and}$$



b) The estimator of the stratum total Y_h (for any characteristic) will be self-weighting. In other words, the estimate of the survey characteristics is derived as product of the sum of the values of the characteristics over the n_h sample households by the overall raising factor λ , which is equal in each stratum.

The conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied when:

$$\frac{1}{a_h} \cdot \frac{1}{P_{hi}} \cdot \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} = \lambda \quad (4) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a_h} \cdot \frac{1}{P_{hi}} \cdot \delta_{hi} = \lambda \Rightarrow \delta_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} = \lambda \cdot a_h \cdot P_{hi} \quad (5)$$

From the relations (1) and (5) \Rightarrow

$$\frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} = \lambda \cdot a_h \cdot \frac{N_{hi}}{N_h} \Rightarrow n_{hi} = \frac{N_{hi} \cdot N_h}{\lambda \cdot a_h \cdot N_{hi}} \Rightarrow n_{hi} = \frac{N_h}{\lambda \cdot a_h}$$
(6)

From the relation (3), it is deducted that:
$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{n_h}{N_h} \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{N_h}{n_h}$$
 (7)

From the relations (6) and (7), we have:
$$n_{hi} = \frac{n_h}{a_h}$$
 (8)

3rd stage of sampling

In this stage from each household one individual (member of household belonging to the target population) was selected with equal probabilities.

Let $p_{\scriptscriptstyle hii}$ is the selection probability of the $\it hij$ individual, which belongs to the $\it hi$ household.

As one individual was selected with equal probabilities out of m_{hi} members belonging to

target population, the p_{hij} was defined as: $p_{hij} = \frac{1}{m_{hij}}$



6.2 Additional measures taken at the time of sampling design to improve representativeness

If any, and if not covered under §6.1. E.g. corrections for sampling frame under-coverage, etc.

The initial selection probabilities of the sampling households of EU-SILC were based on the population sizes (from the Greek General Population Census of the year 2001), which differ considerably from the new population sizes that better suit the demands of the current ICT survey. Additionally, the target populations of EU-SILC and ICT do not coincide. The measures of EU-SILC were based on all persons, but the current sample for ICT was restricted to the households with individuals aged 16 to 74 years old. Thus, although the sample of households for the ICT survey of the year 2012 was selected of the sample used in the Greek Survey of Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC of the years 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009), the following measures were taken for improving the representativeness:

- a. The 1st stage probabilities of selection of primary units were modified taking into consideration the updated target population size in each stratum using estimated data from Labour Force Survey with reference period the 2nd quarter of the year 2011
- b. The 2nd stage probabilities of selection of households were modified taking into consideration the updated register of households in the primary sampling units.
- c. The allocation of sampling households in each separate stratum was carried out proportionally to the target population size, which was estimated from data coming from Labour Force Survey with reference period the 2nd quarter of the year 2011.

After the application of the above measures, the sampling households for the ICT have no the same probability of selections (1st and 2nd) with the sampling households for EU-SILC, after changing the selection probabilities of the EU-SILC households so that the probabilities of ICT households, to be determined on the updated target population.

	Sample size	Households	Individuals (aged 16 to 74)	Individuals (younger than 16)	Individuals (older than 74)
6.3	Gross sample size The number of households/individuals initially selected from the sampling frame (if not applicable, please indicate why). Please restrict the numbers in the first two columns to the <i>Eurostat scope</i> (if additional age groups are covered, these can be reported separately in the last two columns).	6.500	6.500	→ if not application in the second s	able, please
6.4	Net sample size The number of households/individuals that can be used in the final database (if not applicable, please indicate why).		To be filled in	_	



7. Response and non-response

(quality report)

Note: This chapter only deals with non-response error. Other non-sampling error such as frame errors, measurement and processing errors or model assumption errors are discussed elsewhere or outside the scope of this methodological report.

UNIT NON-RESPONSE

Unit non-response occurs when not all elements (households and/or individuals) of the gross sample (i.e. the initial sample drawn from the reference sampling frame) participate in the survey and are thus not included in the net sample.

However, not all types of non-response are taken into account when calculating the response rate (in $\S7.D$) as they can be rather related to the quality of e.g. the sampling frame than to the quality of the survey data.

Note: In this report - for reasons of comparability across countries - all non-contacts are considered to be *non-response of eligible cases* (where in reality some of the non-contacts may concern ineligible cases).

If additional age groups were covered, please report separately for individuals in the general scope (16-74), and any additional age groups covered (see the last two columns).

If no additional age groups were covered (see also §3.2 and §4.1), the last two columns can be left blank.

		Number of households	Number of individuals (aged 16-74)	Number of individuals (<16)	Number of individuals (>74)
7.A	Gross sample size The number of households/individuals initially	6500	6500		pplicable, ave blank
	selected from the sampling frame (if not applicable, please indicate why).				

	Type of unit non-response (ineligible cases)	Number of households	Number of individuals (aged 16-74)	Number of individuals (<16)	Number of individuals (>74)
7.1	Ineligible: out-of-scope E.g. selected household is not in the target population because all members are over 75 years old.	34	34		pplicable, ave blank
7.2	Other ineligible E.g. no dwelling exists at the selected address or selected individual has died between the reference data of the sampling frame (cf. §5.2) and the moment of the interview.	-	-		

7.B	Number of eligible elements	6466	6466	
	I.e. the gross sample size corrected for the ineligible cases.			
	► [§7.B] = [§7.A] - [§7.1] - [§7.2]			

	Type of unit non-response (eligible cases)	Number of households	Number of individuals (aged 16-74)	Number of individuals (<16)	Number of individuals (>74)
7.3	Non-contact E.g. no one was home or postal survey was never sent back.	1905	1905		pplicable, ave blank



7.4	Refusal E.g. selected household or individual was contacted but refused to take part in the survey.	453	453	
7.5	Inability to respond E.g. selected household or individual was unable to participate due to language barriers or cognitive or physical incapacity to respond.	-	-	
7.6	Rejected interviews E.g. the selected household/individual did take part but the survey form cannot be used (poor quality - e.g. strong inconsistencies; unacceptable item-response - e.g. individual left most of the questions unanswered; survey form got lost and interview cannot be repeated; etc.).	-	-	
7.7	Other non-response Please specify the other types of non-response encountered. Note: please add the other non-response related to ineligibility of the selected elements under §7.2.	-	-	

7.C	Net sample size	4108	4108	
	The number of households/individuals that can be used in the final database (if not applicable, please indicate why). This notion corresponds to the <i>final sample</i> in the Tabulation Scheme.			
	► [§7.C] = [§7.B] - [§7.3] - [§7.4] - [§7.5] - [§7.6] - [§7.7]			

		Households	Individuals (aged 16- 74)	Individuals (<16)	Individuals (>74)
7.D	Unit response rate	63,53	63,53		pplicable, ave blank
	The unit response rate is the ratio of the <i>number</i> of in-scope respondents (= the number of achieved interviews or the net sample size, see §7.C) to the <i>number</i> of eligible elements selected from the sampling frame (see §7.B). The number of eligible elements equals the gross sample size (see §7.A) minus the ineligible cases (see §7.1 and §7.2). • [§7.D] = [§7.C] / [§7.B]				

7.8	Comments on the unit response rate, if any



7.9 Methods used for minimizing unit non-response

Where applicable, give a description of measures taken to reduce the unit non-response:

- advance notification in the form of a letter or phone call;
- system of reminders, number of visits, number of attempts for phone calls, etc.
- showing respondents how the data they are providing are being used;
- etc.

An advanced notification letter was sent to all households, approximately two months before the survey conduct, among others providing information on how the data collected are being used. In cases where the households couldn't be approached, mainly due to temporary absence, a number of attempts for phone calls (up to three) were used.

7.10 Methods used for dealing with unit non-response

Indicate whether imputations are made for unit non-response and give a short description of the methods used (e.g. correction factor in the weighting procedure, imputation based on background characteristics known from the sampling frame, etc.).

Correction factor in the weighting procedure: In each stratum h, the inverse of the initial

inclusion probabilities of the respondents are multiplied by the inverse of the response rate Γ_h of the stratum h. Consequently, the extrapolation factor takes into account not only the selection probability of the sampling units but also the inverse of response rate in each stratum.

7.11 Proxy answers

Please indicate whether the instructions to interviewers allow for proxy interviews (another person in the household than the one who was randomly selected can answer the questions).

If yes, give an estimate of the percentage of proxy interviews (compared to the total number of interviews).

Not-applicable

ITEM NON-RESPONSE

Item non-response occurs when a respondent provides some, but not all, of the requested information, or if the reported information is not useable (note that entirely non-useable questionnaire are already counted in the *unit* non-response, see §7.6).

It may occur for a variety of reasons. Items may be missing because the respondent broke off the interview after partially completing it (but enough data were provided so that the questionnaire is not classified as a unit non-response). Items may be missing because the respondent inadvertently skipped an item, a module or a page (especially in self-administered mail surveys). Or a respondent may simply not have the information on the question (and no don't know option is foreseen) or refuse to give the requested information.

As item non-response usually goes hand-in-hand with systematic bias (e.g. the proportion of *No* answers may be higher among people with item non-response compared to those who did answer on a specific item), it is useful to assess the degree and impact of this type of non-response.

7.12 Questions or items with item response rates below 90%

If any, identify the items with low response rates (the cut-off value to be used is 0.90) and indicate their respective response rates. The item non-response rate should of course be calculated taking into account the routing and filtering in the questionnaire.



7.13 Methods used for dealing with item hon-respons	7.13	Methods used for dealin	g with item non-response
---	------	-------------------------	--------------------------

Indicate whether imputations are made for item non-response and give a short description of the methods used (e.g. nearest-neighbour imputation, hot deck imputation, mode imputations within classes, etc.).

The data entry program didn't allow for missing items.

7.14 Other comments relating to the item non-response

If any, please use this box to inform on additional issues on the non-response calculation (e.g. method used in national publications, etc.).

8. Grossing-up procedures

(quality report)

Please give a description of the extrapolation or weighting procedures used to gross up the *households* ($\S 8.1$) and the *individuals* ($\S 8.2$) in the net sample to the (target) population, discussing the different steps taken or factors applied to the design weighting to take into account the (post)stratification, balancing for unit non-response, etc. In case similar methods are used for grossing-up the net samples of households and individuals, the discussion can be integrated under one heading.

8.1 Grossing-up procedures for households

Let h be one of the final strata of households (Final stratum = Region x Degree of Urbanization), then this will take the following values: h=1,2,...,H (where H=90). In each of the final strata (let h), if statistical information was selected from a sample of n_h' households, the extrapolation factor of the household of order i belonging to the PSU of order i was defined as:

$$W_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{a_h \cdot N_{hi}} \cdot \frac{N_{hi}}{n_{hi}} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{a_h \cdot n_{hi}} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij}$$
(9)

From relations (8) in paragraph 6.1 and (9), we have:

$$W_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{a_h} \cdot \frac{1}{n_h} t_{hij} \Rightarrow W_{hij} = \frac{N_h}{n_h} \cdot \frac{1}{r_h} t_{hij}$$
 (10)

where:

 N_h : the target population size in the h stratum according to LFS of the 2nd quarter 2011

 n_h : the initial sample size in the h stratum

 $\frac{N_h}{n_h}$: the inverse of the initial inclusion selection probability of the sampling households in the the

h stratum, as the the estimator of the stratum total Y_h (for any characteristic) is self-weighting,

$$r_h = \frac{n'_h}{n_h}$$
 is the response rate in the h stratum

 t_{hij} : Factor, which adjusts the sample weights of households so that the sample totals conform to the population totals on a cell-by-cell basis (Population Weighting Adjustment). The auxiliary variable used at household level is the household size (1,2,3,4 or 5+ members) for the definition of cells or classes.

The distribution of households by size class is estimated from EU-SILC, with reference period the year 2011.

8.2 Grossing-up procedures for individuals

In each of the final strata of households (let h), if statistical information was selected from a sample of m_h individuals, the extrapolation factor of the individual of order k belonging to the hij household is defined as follows:

$$W_{hijk} = W_{hij} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{hijk}} \cdot g_{hijk}$$
 (8.2)

where:

 $\mathcal{W}_{\mathit{hiik}}$: The extrapolation factor of the hij household in which the hijk individual belongs

 $p_{_{hijk}}$: The selection probability of the hijk individual, which belongs to the hij household. As one individual was selected with equal probabilities out of m_{hij} members belonging to the target population, the

$$p_{\scriptscriptstyle hijk}$$
 is defined as: $p_{\scriptscriptstyle hijk} = \frac{1}{m_{\scriptscriptstyle hij}}$

 $g_{\it hijk}$: Factor, which adjusts the sample weights of individuals, so that the sample distribution conform to the population distribution across a set of classes. The classes are 24, which are defined by crossing sex by age groups (2 sex categories $^{\times}$ 12 age groups). The age groups are defined by the year intervals: 16-19, 20-24, 25-29,30-34, 35-39,40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59,60-64, 65-69 and 70-74. The population distribution of individuals by sex and age groups is estimated from data coming from the EU-SILC, with reference period the year 2011.



9. Sampling error (quality report)

Standard error (for a selection of indicators)

The sampling error reflects the fact that only a particular sample was surveyed rather than the entire population. It is estimated by the standard error and can be expressed by the square root of the estimate of the sampling variance ($\hat{\sigma}_{(\hat{\sigma})}$). The estimation of the sampling variance should ideally take into account the sampling design (e.g. the stratification).

Please comment on the approach for calculating sampling errors in §9.6. In case the standard errors are derived using the variance formula for simple random sampling and incorporating a factor which reflects the multi-stage, clustered nature of the sampling design, please provide more detailed information in §9.6 d and e.

Please indicate below the number of respondents (absolute value for *Yes* answers), the estimated value of the proportion (in %) as well as the respective *standard error* (in percentage points) for the indicators and subindicators mentioned.

Please note that the accuracy measure used, i.e. the STANDARD DEVIATION, was also addressed in the 2006-2011 report templates but differs from the 2004 and 2005 report templates (where the *coefficient of variation* was used).

The section 9.7 should be completed with comments on reliability and representativeness of results and completeness of dataset. The two questions should be left blank if not applicable, i.e. if standard errors found were adequate or if subgroups of the population had always a sufficient number of respondents.

	Indicator or subindicator	Normalia and a C	Estimated proportion	Standard
	- on households and individuals in the general scope (16-74) and related subgroups -	Number of respondents	(%)	error (% points)
9.1	Proportion of households having access to the Internet at home (item 'Yes' in variable A2 of the 2012 model questionnaire)	2.014.849	53,6	0,9
9.2	Proportion of households using a broadband connection (a 'Yes' on option a, b, c, d or e in variable A3 of the 2012 model questionnaire)	1.899.124	50,5	0,9
9.3	Proportion of individuals regularly using the Internet: overall (indiv. who ticked option 1 or 2 in variable C2 of the 2012 model questionnaire)	4.234.160	50,5	1,0
9.3.1	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: males (as % of all men)	2.186.522	52,9	1,4
9.3.2	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: females (as % of all women)	2.047.639	48,2	1,4
9.3.3	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 16-24 years (as % of all individuals aged 16-24 years)	964.742	86,8	2,2
9.3.4	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 25-34 years (as % of all individuals aged 25-34 years)	1.259.783	77,0	2,2
9.3.5	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 35-44 years (as % of all individuals aged 35-44 years)	1.063.388	63,3	2,1
9.3.6	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 45-54 years (as % of all individuals aged 45-54 years)	633.743	41,8	2,0
9.3.7	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 55-64 years (as % of all individuals aged 55-64 years)	249.458	19,8	1,7
9.3.8	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: age group 65-74 years (as % of all individuals aged 65-74 years)	63.045	5,3	0,9
9.3.9	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: low educational level (as % of all individuals with low education)	392.533	14,5	1,3
9.3.10	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: medium educat. level (as % of all individuals with medium education)	2.025.821	58,3	1,5
9.3.11	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: high educational level (as % of all individuals with high education)	1.815.807	82,0	1,4
9.3.12	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: students (as % of all students)	746.128	96,1	1,2

9.3.13	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: employees or self-employed (as % of all employees or self-employed)	2.314.103	64,6	1,5
9.3.14	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: unemployed (as % of all unemployed)	759.189	58,8	2,7
9.3.15	Proportion of ind. regularly using the Internet: retired, other inactive (as % of all retired and other inactive)	414.740	15,2	1,1
9.4	Proportion of individuals having downloaded official forms in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked item b in variable E1 of the 2012 model questionnaire)	1.445.144	17,2	0,9
9.5	Proportion of individuals having ordered goods or services for private use over the internet in the last 12 months (individuals who ticked option 1 and 2 in variable F1 of the 2012 model questionnaire)	1.708.668	20,4	0,8

9.6 Calculation of the standard error

There exist different methods by which the standard error of an estimated proportion can be assessed based on the distribution in the sample. Please, describe below the approach which you have followed. This information will help Eurostat to evaluate the comparability of the standard errors supplied in the previous section by the different statistical institutes participating in the survey.

a) Name and brief description of the applied estimation approach

Let \mathcal{W}_{hijk} (>0) stand for the survey weight attached to the sample individual k (k=1, as one individual is surveyed, in each sampling household) belonging to the sampling household of order j ($j=1,...,p_{hi}$), belonging to the selected cluster of order i, of the stratum h.

Estimation of survey characteristics

Let $y_{{\scriptscriptstyle hijk}}$ be the value of the characteristic y of the ultimate unit (individual) of the household of order j, belonging to the hi primary sampling unit (cluster). Moreover, Y stands for the total population, which is derived by adding the characteristic y of all ultimate units included in all strata h. The form of the estimator on the basis of the two-stage design is:

$$\widehat{Y}_{h} = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{a_{h}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot y_{hijk}$$
 (9.6.1)

Estimation of a ratio

Let $\chi_{\it hijk}$ be the value of the characteristic x of the ultimate unit of the household of order j, belonging to the hi primary sampling unit (cluster). Moreover, X stands for the total population, which is derived by adding the characteristic y of all ultimate units included in all strata h. The form of the estimator \widehat{R} on the basis of the two-stage design is:

$$\widehat{R} = \frac{\widehat{Y}}{\widehat{X}} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{a_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{hijk}}{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{a_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot \mathcal{X}_{hijk}}$$
(9.6.2)

b) Basic formula

Variance estimation

In order to calculate the variance of the estimated characteristics, the following steps should be followed:

a) For every selected primary sampling unit (cluster) i of the stratum h, we calculate the quantity T_{hi} using the following formula:

$$T_{hi} = a_h \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot y_{hikj}$$
 (9.6.3)

b) Since T_{hi} has been calculated for every primary sampling unit (cluster) i $(i=1,...,\mathcal{Q}_h)$ of the stratum h, then $V(\widehat{Y})$ is calculated as (Rao, 1988):

$$V(\widehat{Y}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{a_h \cdot (a_h - 1)} \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} T_{hi}^2 - \frac{\begin{pmatrix} a_h \\ \sum _{i=1}^{h} T_{hi} \end{pmatrix}^2}{a_h} \right]$$
(9.6.4)

For the estimation of the variance and the coefficient of variation of a ratio $R=\frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{X}}$ additional steps should be followed, below:

a) For every selected primary sampling unit (cluster) i of the stratum h, we calculate the quantity F_{hi} using the following formula:

$$F_{hi} = a_h \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} W_{hijk} \cdot \chi_{hijk}$$
 (9.6.5)

b) Since T_{hi} and F_{hi} have been calculated for every primary sampling unit (cluster) i ($i=1,2,...,a_h$) of the stratum h, then $V(\widehat{X})$ is calculated as:

$$V(\hat{X}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{a_h \cdot (a_h - 1)} \cdot \left| \sum_{i=1}^{a_h} F_{hi}^2 - \frac{\begin{pmatrix} a_h \\ \sum i = 1 \end{pmatrix}^2}{a_h} \right|$$
(9.6.6)

The variance of \widehat{R} can be calculated using the following formula:

$$V(\hat{R}) = \frac{V(\hat{Y}) + \hat{R}^{2} \cdot V(\hat{X}) - 2 \cdot \hat{R} \cdot Cov(\hat{Y}, \hat{X})}{\hat{X}^{2}}$$
(9.6.7)

where:

$$Cov(\widehat{Y},\widehat{X}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{a_h \cdot (a_h - 1)} \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} T_{hi} \cdot F_{hi} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} T_{hi}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{a_h} F_{hi}\right)}{a_h} \right] (9.6.8)$$

c) Main reference in the literature

Rao, J.N.K (1988). Variance Estimation in Sample Surveys. In Handbook of Statistics, Vol. 6, (Eds. P.R. Krishnaiah and C.R. Rao). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 427-447

d) How has the stratification been taken into account?

As a multistage stratified sampling design is applied, the variance estimation procedure pools stratum variance estimates to compute the overall variance estimate. Additionally, for a multistage sample design, in which the first-stage sampling fraction is small and the first-stage sample is drawn with replacement, the variance estimation method depends only on the first stage of the sample design. Thus, the required input for variance estimates includes only first-stage cluster (PSU) and first-stage stratum identification. You do not need to input design information about any additional stages of sampling.

e) Which strata have been considered?

The overall variance estimate comes from the sum of stratum variance estimates. These strata were created using as stratification variables (a) the Region (NUTS 2) and (b) the degree of urbanization (see paragraph 6.1)

9.7 Comments on reliability and representativeness of results and completeness of dataset

These comments should reflect on the standard errors reported for the indicators and subgroups in 9.1 to 9.5 above as well as on the other indicators and breakdowns. The estimated standard error shall not exceed 2% points for the overall proportions and shall not exceed 5% points for the proportions relating to the different subgroups of the population where these subgroups constitute at least 10% of the total population in the scope of the survey. If problems were found, these could have implications for future surveys (e.g. need to improve sampling design or to increase sample sizes for households or individuals).

Indicators and breakdowns in sections 9.1 to 9.5 above:

Other indicators and breakdowns:



FOR ALL INDICATORS AND BREAKDOWNS in transmitted aggregate date	ta: If ap	plicable, please tic
If significant sampling errors were found, were data cells	Yes	No
suppressed in the transmitted aggregate dataset and flagged as unreliable?		



10. Closing remarks

	These comments can relate to methodological issues as well as to the questionnaire itself (item construction, clarity of definitions to interviewers and respondents, routing and filtering, outcome of pre-tests, etc.)			
10.2	Other comments, if any			
	outer commence, it any			

11. Annexes

Note: Please also provide the annexes in a computer-readable format and in English

11.1	Questionnaire in national language - Yes
11.2	Questionnaire in English - Yes
11.3	Interviewer instructions (if available) – Yes in national language
11.4	National reports on methodology (if available)
11.5	Analysis of key results, backed up by tables and graphs (if available)
11.6	Other annexes Please give an overview of other annexes (whether or not referred to in the preceding chapters of this report)
	•
	•