

GREECE - 2012 NATIONAL QUALITY REPORT

DRAFT VERSION PRE-FILLED BY EUROSTAT

This is the pre-filled version of your 2012 National Quality Report. Figures are mainly coming from Eurostat databases. Regarding the information on practices and methods, the main source is the 2011 National Quality Report.

Please answer questions or update, wherever necessary, the pre-filled information/figures. When going through the report, please note that we are expecting figures or information only in cells shaded in blue. Cells shaded in yellow are available for corrections/supplementary information/optional comments. They should be filled in only if you consider it as necessary.

Contents

Contact Information

Contact details on Member State and Eurostat side

I. Intra-EU trade data

Table including all the quality items listed in docMET 1000 - Version 2011 and compiled from intra-EU trade data

II. Extra-EU trade data

Table including all the quality items listed in docMET 1000 - Version 2011 and compiled from extra-EU trade data

Annex 1 - Information on methods and practices

Tables to be checked and completed wherever necessary in order to document the methods and practices

Annex 2 - Quality items to be documented

List and definition of the quality items to be documented and rules to be applied for the 2011 assessment reports.

Guidelines

In order to facilitate your work, some colour guidelines have been introduced in the questionnaire:



Box mandatory to fill in.



Box for corrections/supplementary information/optional comments, to be filled in only when necessary.

Notes

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Sources: Eurostat databases and Quality/Method Questionnaires

Note: Regarding figures, the Quality questionnaires are the data sources only for indicators not possible to compile from data available in Eurostat.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Contact details on Member State side

Please correct or complete contact information if necessary

Member State: GREECE

First contact

Administration: Hellenic Statistical Authority, ELSTAT

Name: Pandi Eleni

E-mail: <u>elenpand@statistics.gr</u>

Phone number: +30 2104852042

Second contact

Administration: Hellenic Statistical Authority, ELSTAT

Name: Evagelia Anifadi
E-mail: anifadie@statistics.gr
Phone number: +30 2104852392

Contact details on Eurostat side

Administration: **EUROSTAT - G4 - Global transactions**

First contact

Name: Anne Berthomieu

E-mail: <u>anne.berthomieu-cristallo@ec.europa.eu</u>

Phone number: + 352 43 01 33 616

Second contact

Name: Karo Nuortila

E-mail: <u>Karo.Nuortila@ec.europa.eu</u>

Phone number: + 352 43 01 34 802

I. QUALITY INDICATORS FOR INTRA-EU TRADE DATA

Please report the missing information.

Please introduce the necessary changes in case the information reported by Eurostat do not appear to be correct or complete.

ITEN	IS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
1. R	ELEVANCE							
1,1	Statistical information not currently available but ne	eded by users		<u>s</u>				
		Aggregated data - Number of missing variables	0	0	0	0		
		List of variables	-	-	-	-		
1,2	Statistical information required by the legislation but not or partially provided	Detailed data - Number of missing variables	0	0	0	0		
		List of variables	-	-	-	-		
		TEC data - Number of missing tables		4 Tables 7.1a, 7.2.a,				NO AVAILABILITY OF MISSING DATA
		List of missing tables		7.1b, 7.2b				
2. A	CCURACY - Coverage							
		Arrival Number of enterprises successfully matched Dispatch						
2,1	Trade by enterprise characteristics - Matching rate							
2,1	between trade and business registers	Arrival Trade value successfully matched						
	Dispa							
2,2	Measures taken in order to ensure the data exhaust	tiveness			See Table 2.2 I in annex			
2,3	Share of collected data in detailed data	Arrival	97,9%	97,6%	96,7%	97,1%	96,8%	
		Dispatch	98,7%	97,3%	96,4%	97,5%	97,9%	
2,4	Share of estimated trade below the exemption three	Arrival	1,1%	1,6%	1,7%	1,9%	2,5%	
		Dispatch	0,6%	0,8%	0,9%	0,9%	1,2%	
		Product and partner breakdown	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	
2,5	Method to compile adjustment for trade below the exemption threshold	Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)	only value	only value	only value	only value	only value	
		Other aspects			See Table 2.5-I in annex			
0.0	Share of estimated trade for non/late response Arrival Dispatch		1,0%	0,9%	1,1%	0,6%	0,6%	
2,6			0,7%	1,8%	1,9%	1,3%	0,8%	
	Product and partner breakdown		CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	CN2 partner country level	
2,7	Method to compile adjustment for non/late/partial response	Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)	only value	only value	only value	only value	only value	

ITEN	IS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
		Other aspects				See Table 2.7-I in annex	<u>(</u>		
		"Total statistical value" adjustment: Discrepancy between the total statistical value and the total	Arrival					1,57%	
		invoiced value	Dispatch					1,60%	
		"Statistical value collected" adjustment Discrepancy between the total statistical value and the total	Arrival	no statistical value threshold					
2.8	Adjustments for statistical value	invoiced value reported by the non exempted PSIs	Dispatch	no statistical value threshold					
		"Statistical value estimated" adjustment: Adjustment applied to the invoiced value reported by the	Arrival	Statistical value is collected from all PSI's					
		exempted PSIs in order to estimate their statistical value	Dispatch	Statistical value is collected from all PSI's					
2,9	Method to compile adjustment for statistical value					See Table 2.9 in annex			
2.10	Method to compile aggregated data					See Table 2.10 in annex			
2.44	A serve acted data versus last version of datailed data	_	Arrival	4,7%	2,8%	1,4%	0,9%		
2,11	Aggregated data versus last version of detailed data	a	Dispatch	5,1%	3,6%	2,9%	2,8%		
2. A	CCURACY - Revision								
		Annual revision rate	Arrival	4,5%	3,2%	1,5%	0,9%		
			Dispatch	7,2%	4,8%	1,9%	2,1%		
		MAPE1	Arrival	4,5%	3,2%	1,5%	0,9%		
2,12	Last version of detailed data versus first version	(mean absolute percentage error)	Dispatch	7,4%	4,8%	2,0%	2,1%		
		Upward revisions	Arrival	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,58		
		Directional reliability	Dispatch	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,67		
			Arrival	0,83	1,00	1,00	1,00		
		, ,	Dispatch	0,75	0,92	0,92	1,00		
		Annual revision rate	Arrival	5,1%	3,8%	1,9%	1,0%		
			Dispatch	7,5%	5,5%	2,1%	2,3%		
		MAPE2	Arrival	1,5%	0,7%	0,1%	0,0%		
		(median absolute percentage error)	Dispatch	1,5%	0,7%	0,1%	0,0%		
2.13	Revision rates at detailed level	Upward revisions	Arrival	0,63	0,58	0,47	0,35		
		•	Dispatch	0,63	0,58	0,47	0,35		
		Directional reliability	Arrival	0,94	0,97	0,98	0,99		
		,	Dispatch	0,94	0,97	0,98	0,99		
		Code coverage	Arrival	0,99	1,00	1,00	1,00		
			Dispatch	0,99	1,00	1,00	1,00		
2.14	Revision policy for detailed data				See Table 2.14 in annex				
2. A	CURACY - Confidentiality								
		Number of CN8 codes affected	Arrival	12	12	15	11		

ITE	MS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
		INUMBER OF CINO COUCS AFFECTED	Dispatch	12	13	10	11		
			Arrival	0,2%	0,1%	0,0%	0,0%		
2,15	Confidentiality in detailed data - Impact in figures	Share of confidential records in total trade value	Dispatch	2,9%	1,6%	2,9%	3,1%		
			Arrival	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Share of confidential records in total net mass	Dispatch	0,7%	0,5%	0,9%	1,1%		
2,16	Confidentiality practices for detailed data		Diopatori	0,170		See Table 2.16 in annex	1,170		
2,10		T				See Table 2.10 III allilex			
		All tables			0,7%				
		Trade and Business Registers			Trade Register				
		2.a Number of enterprises by activity sector and enterprise size class	2.1.a Arrival		0,0%				
		SIZE CIASS	2.2.a Dispatch		0,0%				
		2.b Trade value by activity sector and enterprise size class	2.1.b Arrival		0,0%				
			2.2.b Dispatch		0,0%				
		Concentration of trade value by activity sector	3,1 Arrival		0,0%				
		· · ·	3,2 Dispatch		0,0%				
		4.a Number of enterprises by partner countries and activity	4.1.a Arrival		1,8%				
		sector	4.2.a Dispatch		1,8%				
2,17	Confidentiality in TEC data - Share of confidential cells	4.b Trade value by partner countries and activity sector	4.1.b Arrival		3,6%				
	cells	, , ,	4.2.b Dispatch		3,6%				
		5.a Number of enterprises by number of partner countries	5.1.a Arrival		12,1%				
		and activity sector	5.2.a Dispatch		0,0%				
		5.b Trade value by number of partner countries and activity	5.1.b Arrival		12,1%				
		sector	5.2.b Dispatch		12,5%				
		Trade value by commodity and activity sector	6,1 Arrival		0,0%				
		o. Trade value by commonly and delivity code	6,2 Dispatch		0,0%				
		7.a Number of enterprises by activity sector and enterprise	7.1.a Arrival		n.a. (no data sent)				
		size class for traders below the exemption threshold	7.2.a Dispatch		n.a. (no data sent)				
		7.b Trade value by activity sector and enterprise size class	7.1.b Arrival		n.a. (no data sent)				
		for traders below the exemption threshold	7.2.b Dispatch		n.a. (no data sent)				
2,18	Confidentiality practices for TEC data					See Table 2.18 in annex			
2. A	CCURACY - Control procedures								
2,19	Control procedures					See Table 2.19 in annex			
			Arrival	70,3%	73,8%	78,2%	82,3%	90,7%	
2.20	Share of electronic declarations in total trade		Dispatch	75,2%	78,4%	82,2%	85,3%	91,9%	
3. T	MELINESS AND PUNCTUALITY		-1	,				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3,1	Time lag between end of reference period and date	of dissemination of first results by Eurostat		48 days	48 days	46 days	46 days	46 days	
	Aggregated data - Average monthly time lag			40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	
3,2	Time lag between end of reference period and date of transmission of first results to Eurostat	Detailed data - Average monthly time lag		56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)		
		Trade by enterprise characteristics			18 months & 4 days for tables 1-6				
		Aggregated data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries	i	0	2	1	0		

20 1	ITEI	ITEMS TO BE REPORTED SUB-ITEMS			2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
Part	3,3		Detailed data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries	0	0	1	0		
2-1 Part Comment of the Control of the Contro			Trade by enterprise characteristics - Number of delayed tables		4				
Table in managina class tails - Armagina and of the shield lake Company Community Selection Se			Aggregated data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	n.a. (no late delivery)	4 day(s)	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)		
Models accompanies Community data of valued and selection of a Community data of valued and valued and valued and valued and valued and valued and val	3,4	Punctualiy of data transmission - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	Detailed data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)		
Comparation for many of form carry prices of section of the control and section of the control form of the control form of the control and section of the			Trade by enterprise characteristics - Average delay of the delayed tables						
Boo Table 4.54.61 in brooks	4. A	CCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY			,				
Accordance Acc	4,1	Dissemination channels of Community data at natio	onal level			T-bla 44040 in ann			
Residue any surpropriet inter-Et centrol return Department Depar	4,2	Metadata accompanying Community data at national		<u>3</u>	ee Table 4.1&4.2 III anne	<u>ex</u>			
Section of the property of the PET of the PET of property of the PET of	5. C	OMPARABILITY - Over space							
Depart 79.5 9 9.59 77.50 72.15 2.3 Remote segmentary in inter-EU agricultural price state-EU agricultural price s	5.1		Arrival	65,6%	66,1%	63,5%	64,8%		
So. Memocroagical reasons for temper Li aggregated alla Collegator in the Collegator		(EL flow versus mirrored flow)	Dispatch	78,9%	81,9%	77,9%	78,1%		
Despetit	5.2	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU aggregated data	Arrival	-6,1%	-5,8%	-9,8%	-12,0%		
See Table 6.4 in Memorological reasons for discrepancies between national and Community data 5. CoMPARABILITY - Over time 5. Changes in concepts and definitions with an inspect on Community statistics 5. Changes in concepts and definitions with an inspect on Community statistics 5. CoMPARABILITY - Arouse domains 5. Comparability - Arouse domains 5. Comparability - Arouse domains 6. Comparability - Arouse domains 7. A See Saster of discrepancies between trade data of different statistics comains 7. A See Saster of User Needs And Perceptions 7. A See Saster of User Needs And Perceptions 7. Performance, Cost and Respondent Burdons 8. Ferrollon fivesholds 8. Arival 8. Arival 8. Arival 8. On No	0,2	(EL flow versus mirrored flow)	Dispatch	3,2%	-0,2%	-4,6%	-4,1%		
S. COMPARABILITY - Over time 5.5 Changes in noneconstatures 5.6 Changes in noneconstatures 5.7 Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact on Community statistics 5.7 Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains 5.7 Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains 6. COMPARABILITY - Across domains 7.7 Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains 8. COMPARABILITY - Across domains 8. Earn to be documented by Eurostat 8. COMPARABILITY - Across domains 8. Earn to be documented by Eurostat 9. Earn to be documented by Eurostat	5,3	Methodological reasons for intra-EU asymmetries			Item				
S.5. Changes in nonnentatures Item to be occumented by Eurostat	5,4	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between	national and Community data			See Table 5.4 in annex			
5.6 Charges in concepts and definitions with an impact on Community statistics 5. COMPARABILITY - Across domains 5. COMPARABILITY - Across domains 6. COHERENCE 6. Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources 7. ASSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS 7. I Degree of users' satisfaction 8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN Arrival Arrival Thresholds in national currency Simplification thresholds Arrival No No No No No No No No No N	5. C	OMPARABILITY - Over time							
S. COMPARABILITY - Across domains 6.7 Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains 6. COHERENCE 6.1 Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources 7. ASSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS 7.1 Degree of users' statisfaction 8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN Exemption thresholds Dispatch Arrival Arrival No	5,5	Changes in nomenclatures			Item				
Second Responsibility Seco	5,6	Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact	t on Community statistics		Item				
6. COHERENCE 6.1 Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources 7. ASSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS 7.1 Degree of users' satisfaction 8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN Exemption thresholds Dispatch 65.000 75.000 No No No No No No No No No	5. C	OMPARABILITY - Across domains							
Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources Item to be documented by Eurostat	5,7	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between	trade data of different statistical domains		ltem				
7. A SESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS	6. C	OHERENCE							
Degree of users' satisfaction See Table 1.18.7.1 in annex					ltem	to be documented by Eu	rostat		
8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN Arrival 75.000 100.000 130.000 130.000 115.000			S		9	oo Tablo 1 187 1 in anno	av.		
Arrival 75.000 100.000 130.000 115.000 Exemption thresholds Dispatch 65.000 75.000 90.000 90.000 90.000 Arrival No No No No No No Simplification thresholds Dispatch threshold threshold threshold threshold threshold threshold threshold threshold			·N		<u>5</u>	ee rable i.io./.i III anne	<u>~</u>		
Exemption thresholds Dispatch 65.000 75.000 90.000 90.000 90.000 90.000 No	0.1			75 000	100,000	120,000	120,000	115,000	
8,1 Thresholds in national currency No No No No No No No N				75.000	100.000	130.000	130.000	115.000	
Simplification thresholds Dispatch Arrival No No No No No No No No No N			Dispatch	65.000	75.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	
Dispatch threshold thresho	8,1	Thresholds in <u>national currency</u>	No	No	No	No	No		
			threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold		
Statistical value thresholds				No	No	No	No	No	
Dispatch exemption exemption exemption exemption exemption exemption				exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption	

ITEN	IS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations below the simplification threshold		Arrival	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8,2	Share in total trade of intrastat declarations below t			n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8,3	Share in total trade of Intractat declarations above t	are in total trade of Intrastat declarations above the statistical value threshold		n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
0,3	Share in total trade of intrastat declarations above t			n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8,4	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations including	ng the statistical value	Arrival	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
0,4	hare in total trade of Intrastat declarations including the statistical value		Dispatch	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
		A		50,3%	57,9%	64,0%	63,3%	61,3%	
8,5	Percentage of intra-EU traders exempted from Intra	astat declarations	Dispatch	58,1%	62,0%	64,7%	63,1%	62,6%	
			Total	52,4%	59,0%	64,2%	63,2%	61,7%	
			Arrival	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8,6			Dispatch	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
			Total	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
			Arrival	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
8,7	Percentage of PSI exempted from the reporting of	the statistical value	Dispatch	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Total	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Simplification applied to all/part/none of the CN8 codes with a sur	pplementary unit	None	None	None	None		
8,8	National practice in terms of net mass	Share in total trade of records with estimated net mass	Arrival	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
	Share in total trade of records with estimated he		Dispatch	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Estimation method and other information			<u></u>	ee Table 8.8&8.9 in anno	<u></u>		
8,9	List of all simplification measures		See Table 8.8&8.9 in annex						
8.10	st of optional data				<u> </u>				

: Information not available

n.a.: Non applicable or not relevant

TEC: Trade by Enterprise Characteristics

QUALITY ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED IN THE 2012 REPORTING AND ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE

Note: New or amended indicators/requirements are flagged with "*".

		ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED	Data flows	Explanatory notes
1	. RELI	EVANCE		
	1,1	Statistical information not currently available but needed by users		This item describes the users' statistical needs not currently satisfied. The information source should be the satisfaction survey as well as the users' requests addressed to Eurostat or to the National Statistical Authorities.
	1,2	Statistical information required by the legislation but not or partially provided	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows in which extent the Member States are compliant with the legislation in terms of statistical information to be supplied. The information source should be Eurostat's data processing system which includes controls for data completeness as well as validity and credibility checks.
2	. ACC	URACY - Coverage		
*	2,1	Trade by enterprise characteristics - Matching rate between trade and business registers	TEC data	This item shows the share of traders (in total number and in total trade) with valid id-codes succesfully matched with the Business Register.
*	2,2	Measures taken in order to ensure the data exhaustiveness	Detailed data	This item shows in which extent the data exhaustiveness is ensured.
	2,3	Share of collected data in detailed data	Detailed data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the collected data accounts for. This share is compiled by using the information transmitted in section 2 of doc MET 400 data files. The collected data are flagged with the codes 1 or 2.
	2,4	Share of estimated trade below the threshold (exemption threshold for Intrastat and statistical threshold for Extrastat)	Detailed data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the estimated trade below the threshold accounts for. This share is compiled by using the information transmitted in section 2 of doc MET 400 data files. The estimated trade below the threshold is flagged with the code 3.
	2,5	Method to compile adjustment for trade below the threshold (exemption threshold for Intrastat and statistical threshold for Extrastat)	Detailed data	This item refers to the way adjustments are compiled in order to estimate the data missing because of the exemption threshold for Intrastat and of the statistical threshold for Extrastat. Recommendation: If data are adjusted at CN8 level, also quantities (net mass and supplementary quantity should be estimated in order to avoid distorting unit values.
	2,6	Share of estimated trade for non/late response for Inrastat and delayed or incomplete records for Extrastat	Detailed data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the estimated trade for non/late response accounts for. This share is compiled by using the information transmitted in section 2 of doc MET 400 data files. The estimated trade below the threshold is flagged with the code 4.
	2,7	Method to compile adjustment for non/late response for Intrastat and delayed or incomplete records for Extrastat	Detailed data	This item refers to the way adjustments are compiled in order to estimate the data missing because of the non/late response for Intrastat and of incorrect/incomplete records for Extrastat. Recommendation: If data are adjusted at CN8 level, also quantities (net mass and supplementary quantity should be estimated in order to avoid distorting unit values.
	2,8	Adjustments for statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item refers to the adjustments compiled by the National Statistical Authorities in order to estimate the statistical value wherever non collected from PSIs.
	2,9	Method to compile adjustment for statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item refers to the way adjustments are compiled by the National Statistical Authorities in order to estimate the statistical value when only the invoiced value is reported by the PSI.
	2.10	Method to compile aggregated data	Detailed data	This item refers to the aggregated data to be delivered to Eurostat within 40 days after the reference month and to the way they are compiled: detailed data completed by adjustments or time series analysis, method to break down the total trade values by SITC groups, etc.
	2,11	Aggregated data versus last version of detailed data	Detailed data	This item refers to a comparison between the total trade values transmitted within 40 days after the reference period ("aggregated data" flow) and the total trade values compiled from the last version of the detailed statistics.
	Accura	cy - Revision		
	2,12	Last version of detailed data versus first version	Detailed data	This item refers to a comparison between the total trade values compiled from the first delivery of detailed statistics and the total trade values compiled from the last data delivery.
	2,13	Revision rates at detailed level	Detailed data	This item refers to the magnitude of the revisions recorded at detailed level when comparing data corresponding to the first and last deliveries.
	2,14	Revision policy for detailed data	Detailed data	This item refers to the national practice in terms of revisions of intra and extra-EU trade data: revision frequency and transmission of revised figures to Eurostat, availability of final or quasi final figures, etc.
	Accura	cy - Confidentiality		
*	2,15	Confidentiality in detailed data - Impact in figures	Detailed data	This item shows the impact of the confidentiality practices on detailed statistics in terms of: - number of CN8 codes affected, i.e. number of 8-digit codes for which the real information is not or partially disseminated, - trade value, i.e. global trade value of the CN8 codes for which the indicator "trade value" has been hidden, - net mass, i.e. global net mass of the CN8 codes for which the indicator "net mass" has been hidden.
*	2,16	Confidentiality practices for detailed data	Detailed data	The Member States should describe their practices in terms of confidentiality rules applied to intra and extra-EU trade data: criteria on the basis of which the confidentiality is granted and rules fixing the dissemination degree.
*	2,17	Confidentiality in TEC data - Share of confidential cells	TEC data	This item shows the percentage of cells made confidential in each table to be provided.
*	2,18	Confidentiality practices for TEC data	TEC data	The Member States should describe their practices in terms of confidentiality rules applied to data by enterprise characteristics: criteria on the basis of which the confidentiality applies and rules fixing the dissemination degree.
	ا Accura	cy - Control procedures		
	2,19	Control procedures	Detailed data	The Member States should describe their control procedures: checks for completeness, validity and credibility checks.
	2,20	Share of electronic declarations	Detailed data	This item shows how much of the Intrastat data are captuted through electronic data collection means.

	ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED	Data flows	Explanatory notes
3. TIME	LINESS AND PUNCTUALITY		
3,1	Time lag between end of reference period and date of dissemination of first results by Eurostat	Aggregated data	This item refers to the dissemination delay of Eurostat's monthly press release.
3,2	Time lag between end of reference period and date of transmission of first results to Eurostat	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows in which extent the data - aggregated, detailed and TEC statistics - to be transmitted to Eurostat are provided in due time, i.e. within the legal deadlines.
3,3	Punctuality of data transmission - Number of delayed data deliveries	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows: - for intra and extra-EU detailed or aggregated data: how many times out of the 12 months of the reference year data were delivered late, - for TEC data: whether or not the annual figures were delivered in due time.
3,4	Punctuality of data transmission - Average delay of the delayed data deliveries	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows: - for intra and extra-EU detailed or aggregated data: the average delay (out of the 12 months of the reference year) of the delayed deliveries, - for TEC data: the delay in case of late delivery of the annual figures.
4. ACCI	ESSIBILITY AND CLARITY		
4,1	Dissemination channels of Community data at national level		The Member States should describe their dissemination channels of Community data.
4,2	Metadata accompanying Community data at national level		The Member States should list all the relevant documents (in particular, the methodological documents) and kinds of support supplied to the users of Community data.
	PARABILITY rability - Over space		
5,1	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU detailed data	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows the magnitude of the intra-EU asymmetries recorded at detailed level.
5,2	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU aggregated data	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows the magnitude of the intra-EU asymmetries recorded at total level.
5,3	Methodological reasons for intra-EU asymmetries	Detailed intra-EU trade data	List of asymmetry causes linked to the concepts and definitions or to non harmonised practices regarding the collection or compilation of intra-EU trade statistics.
5,4	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and Community data - Description and quantitative impact		List of all the methodological reasons for discrepancies between the statistics compiled according to the national concept and the ones compiled according to the Community concept (for instance, general trade system versus special trade system or different treatment of the quasi transit). These reasons should be described and quantify as accurately as possible.
Compa	rability - Over time		
5,5	Changes in nomenclatures		Any changes in nomenclatures should be reported. In practice, all the nomenclatures are concerned but a particular attention should be paid to those used for data dissemination (Combined Nomenclature, Geonomenclature, statistical procedure, preferences, etc.).
5,6	Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact on Community statistics		List of all changes in concepts and definitions impacting the Community data
Compa	rability - Across domains		
5,7	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains		Other statistical domains use the international trade statistics but with the adaptations required by their own concepts and definitions. These statistical domains are: National Accounts, Balance of Payments and Business statistics. The methodological reasons for discrepancies between the international trade data and the trade results published by these three statistical domains should be listed.
6. COH	ERENCE		
6,1	Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources		This item refers to an assessment of the consistency between the international trade statistics and the trade data collected by other statistical domains (like, for instance, PRODCOM). The main reasons for discrepancies should be listed.
7 ASSE	ESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS		
	COMENT OF OCEN NEEDS AND FERGER HONG		
7,1	Degree of users' satisfaction		This item shows in which extent the trade statistics answer the users' needs in their current definitions and availability: statistical variables, level of details, dissemination means, frequency of the revisions, etc.
8. PERI	FORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN		
8,1	Thresholds	trade data	For Intrastat, this item refers to the level of the statistical thresholds: are the exemption, simplification and statistical value thresholds fixed at the adequate level? The exemption threshold should be assessed on the basis of the item 2.4, the simplification threshold on the basis of the item 8.2 and the statistical value threshold on the basis of the item 8.3.
		Detailed extra-EU trade data	For Extrastat, this item refers to the limit expressed in value or net mass under which results are not compiled at the most detailed level.
8,2	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations below the simplification threshold	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the simplified declarations account for.
8,3	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations above the statistical value threshold	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the declarations above the statistical value threshold account for.
8,4	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations including the statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the statistical values reported by the PSIs – whatever whether or not they are above the statistical value threshold - account for. This share is at least equal to the share compiled under the item 8.3 because of the possible phenomena of voluntary reporting from PSIs below the statistical value threshold.
8,5	Percentage of intra-EU traders exempted from Intrastat declarations	Detailed intra-EU trade data	Rather than the percentage, the following ratio should be reported considering the total trade but also the trade flows - arrivals and dispatches - separately: Total number of exempted intra-EU traders / Total number of intra-EU traders.
8,6	Percentage of PSI allowed to use Intrastat simplified declarations	Detailed intra-EU trade data	Rather than the percentage, the following ratio should be reported considering the total trade but also the trade flows - arrivals and dispatches - separately: Total number of PSIs allowed to use Intrastat simplified declarations / Total number of intra-EU traders
8,7	Percentage of PSI exempted from the reporting of the statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	Rather than the percentage, the following ratio should be reported considering the total trade but also the trade flows - arrivals and dispatches - separately: Total number of PSIs exempted from the reporting of the statistical value / Total number of intra-EU traders
* 8,8	National practice in terms of net mass	Detailed intra-EU trade data	If National Statistical Authorities grant PSIs with a simplification to not report net mass when supplementary unit needs to be reported for the given CN code, then net mass need to be estimated.
8,9	List of simplification measures	Detailed data	List of all the simplification measures implemented in the Intrastat and Extrastat systems (thresholds, simplified reporting, etc.)
* 8.10	List of optional data	Detailed data	List of data collected at national level but not required by the EU legislation
1			<u> </u>



ANNEX 1

INFORMATION ON METHODS AND PRACTICES

II. QUALITY INDICATORS FOR EXTRA-EU TRADE DATA

Please report the missing information.

Please introduce the necessary changes in the case the information reported by Eurostat do not appear to be correct or complete.

ITEN	IS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
1. RI	ELEVANCE						•	
1,1	Statistical information not currently available but ne	eded by users		<u>s</u>	See Table 1.1&7.1 in annex			
		Aggregated data - Number of missing variables	0	0	0	0		
		List of variables	-	-	-	-		
	Statistical information required by the legislation	Detailed data - Number of missing variables	0	0	0	0		
1,2	but not or partially provided	List of variables						
		TEC data - Number of missing tables						
		List of missing tables						
2. A	CCURACY - Coverage							
2,2	Measures taken in order to ensure the data exhaust			See Table 2.2 E in annex				
		Import	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
2,3	Share of collected data in detailed data Export		100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
2,4	Share of estimated trade below the statistical thresh	Import	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
2,4	Chare of estimated trade below the statistical trives	Export		n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
		Product and partner breakdown	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
2,5	Method to compile adjustment for trade below the statistical threshold	Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
		Other aspects			See Table 2.5-E in annex			
2,6	Share of estimated trade for late and partial records	Import	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
		Export	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
		Product and partner breakdown	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
2,7	Method to compile adjustment for late and partial records	Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)	n.a. (no adj.)		
		Other aspects			See Table 2.7-E in annex			
2.10	Method to compile aggregated data	1			See Table 2.10 in annex			
2,11	Aggregated data versus last version of detailed data	Import	44,1%	41,7%	68,1%	44,7%		
۷,۱۱	Aggregated data versus last version of detailed data	Export	0,9%	0,1%	5,5%	11,7%		

ITEN	IS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
2. A	CCURACY - Revision								
		Annual revision rate	Import	44,0%	41,6%	68,0%	44,7%		
		Annual revision rate	Export	0,7%	0,1%	5,5%	11,7%		
		MAPE1	Import	44,1%	43,7%	69,8%	46,4%		
0.40		(mean absolute percentage error)	Export	0,7%	0,4%	4,2%	11,6%		
2,12	Last version of detailed data versus first version		Import	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00		
		Upward revisions	Export	1,00	0,83	0,75	1,00		
		Directional reliability	Import	0,25	1,00	0,25	0,67		
		Directional reliability	Export	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,92		
		Annual revision rate	Import	44,5%	42,5%	69,1%	44,8%		
		Annual revision rate	Export	0,8%	0,6%	5,7%	11,7%		
		MAPE2	Import	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		(median absolute percentage error)	Export	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
2 12	Povision rates at detailed level	Lipward ravisions	Import	0,11	0,10	0,07	0,07		
2.13	P.13 Revision rates at detailed level Upward revisions Directional reliability	opward revisions	Export	0,11	0,10	0,07	0,07		
		Directional reliability	Import	0,99	1,00	1,00	1,00		
		Directional reliability	Export	0,99	1,00	1,00	1,00		
		Code coverage	Import	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00		
		Code Coverage	Export	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00		
2.14	Revision policy for detailed data					See Table 2.14 in annex	<u> </u>		
2. A	CCURACY - Confidentiality								
			Import	11	10	10	10		
		Number of CN8 codes affected	Export	12	12	15	15		
			Import	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
2,15	Confidentiality in detailed data - Impact in figures	Share of confidential records in total trade value	Export	1,5%	1,5%	1,7%	1,6%		
			Import	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Share of confidential records in total net mass	Export	0,4%	0,1%	0,2%	0,2%		
2,16	Confidentiality practices for detailed data	1				See Table 2.16 in annex			
2. A	CCURACY - Control procedures								
2,19	Control procedures					See Table 2.19 in annex			
3. T	MELINESS AND PUNCTUALITY								
3,1	Time lag between end of reference period and date	of dissemination of first results by Eurostat		48 days	48 days	46 days	46 days	46 days	
3,2	Aggregated data - Average monthly time lag Time lag between end of reference period and date			40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)		
3,2	of transmission of first results to Eurostat	Detailed data - Average monthly time lag		40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)		
33	Punctuality of data transmission - Number of	Aggregated data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries		0	2	1	0		

ITEN	MS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	MS's optional comments
- 0,0	delayed deliveries	Detailed data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries	1	0	0	1		
2.4	Punctualiy of data transmission - Average delay of	Aggregated data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	n.a. (no late delivery)	4 day(s)	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)		
3,4	the delayed deliveries	Detailed data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	3 day(s)		
4. A	CCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY			'				
4,1	4,1 Dissemination channels of Community data at national level			Se	e Table 4.1&4.2 in anne	x		
4,2	Metadata accompanying Community data at national	al level						
5. C	OMPARABILITY - Over space							
5,4	4 Methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and Community data			<u> </u>	See Table 5.4 in annex			
5. C	OMPARABILITY - Over time							
5,5	Changes in nomenclatures		Item to	be documented by Eur	ostat			
5,6	Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact	t on Community statistics		Item to	o be documented by Eur	ostat		
5. C	OMPARABILITY - Across domains							
5,7	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between	trade data of different statistical domains		Item to				
6. C	OHERENCE							
6,1	Consistency between international trade statistics a	and statistics originating from other sources		Item to				
7. A	SSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTION	s						
7,1	1 Degree of users' satisfaction			<u>Se</u>	e Table 1.1&7.1 in anne	<u>x</u>		
8. P	ERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDE	EN CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO						
8,1	Thresholds in <u>euro</u>	Statistical thresholds ('No threshold' means that all Customs declarations are processed in detail)	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	
8,9	List of all simplification measures		See Table 8.8&8.9 in annex					
8.10	3.10 List of optional data			Se				

: Information not available

n.a.: Non applicable or not relevant

TEC: Trade by Entreprise Characteristics

Table 1.1&7.1 - Statistical information needed but not available and users' satisfaction

GREECE	2008 (Source: 2009 Quality Report)	2009	2010	2011	2012
Consultation of users or user satisfaction surveys					
1.1 Regular survey? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
1.1.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the periodicity	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.2 Latest survey - Date and contents	No	No	No	No	No
2. Estimated degree of user satisfaction* *: between 0 for totally unsatisfied up to 5 for fully satisfied	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3. Reasons for dissatisfaction	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4. Statistical information requested by the users	Data are additionally disseminated according the user's requests	Data are additionally disseminated according the user's requests	Data are additionally disseminated according the user's requests	Data are additionally disseminated according the user's requests	Data are additionally disseminated according the user's requests
5. Supplementary information or comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
6. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results					Elaboration fo the user's neet for the further improvement of the Portal of ELSTAT by predifined tables
7. Optional comments					

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.2.I - MEASURES IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE EXHAUSTIVENESS OF INTRA-EU TRADE DATA

ODEFOR	2000	2000	2040	0044	0040
GREECE 1. INWARD PROCESSING	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Can you assure that your national customs provides you with the statistical information on arrivals/dispate	thes of goods which are covered by the customs procedure	of inward processing (art 5 para 2 Reg (FC) no 6	38/2004)		
1.1 moving while covered through a "Single Authorisation"? Yes/No	n.a since it was not introduced in Greece	n.a since it was not introduced in Greece	no	no	no
1.1.1 If yes, please specify also the data and the delays	That shilled it was not introduced in Crosses	The shoot it was not introduced in Grosse	110	110	110
1.1.2 <u>If no,</u> please explain the shortcomings/reasons			The ammount of trade is negligible. A separate data collection has not yet established	The ammount of trade is negligible. A separate data collection has not yet established	The ammount of trade is negligible. A separate data collection has not yet established
1.2 moving while covered through the customs transit procedure? Yes/No	n.a in Greece	n.a in Greece	n.a in Greece	n.a in Greece	n.a in Greece
1.2.1 If <u>yes</u> , please specify also the data and the delays	That in Orecoo	That it Greece	Tha in Greece	That in Greece	That it Greece
1.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons					
1.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results					
1.4 Optional comments					
2. SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS					
2.1 GAS					
2.1.1 What is the data source?	PSI's	PSI's	PSI's	PSI's	PSI's
2.1.2 On arrival, are you able to identify the Member State of consignment of the gas? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.1.3 On dispatch, are you able to identify the Member State of destination? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.1.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece
2.1.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's
2.1.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's
2.1.7 How do you compile the net mass?	The supplementary net mass is declared by PSI's	The supplementary net mass is declared by PSI's	The supplementary net mass is declared by PSI's	The supplementary net mass is declared by PSI's	The supplementary net mass is declared by PSI's
2.2 ELECTRICITY					
2.2.1 What is the data source?	PSI's	PSI's	PSI's	PSI's	PSI's
2.2.2 On arrival, are you able to identify the Member State of consignment of the electricity? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.2.3 On dispatch, are you able to identify the Member State of destination? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.2.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	Transit trade is not possible in Greece
2.2.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	Statistical value is declared by PSI's
2.2.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's
2.3 MILITARY GOODS					
Are the goods intented for military use included in data transmitted to Eurostat (at least total monthly statistical value of dispatches and arrivals)? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.4 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	The exhaustiveness of the data were investigated with the relevant National Authorities. The issue has been concluded within December 2013 (JOSGAP, ACTION PLAN FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS)	IMPROVEMENT OF EXTERNAL TRADE	The exhaustiveness of the data were investigated with the relevant National Authorities. The issue has been concluded within December 2013 (JOSGAP, ACTION PLAN FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EXTERNAL TRADE	with the relevant National Authorities. The issue has been concluded within December 2013 (JOSGAP, ACTION PLAN FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EXTERNAL TRADE	The exhaustiveness of the data were investigated with the relevant National Authorities. The issue has been concluded within December 2013 (JOSGAP, ACTION PLAN FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EXTERNAL TRADE
2.5 Optional comments		STATISTICS)	STATISTICS)	STATISTICS)	STATISTICS)
3. PSIs - MONITORING AND SUPPORT					
3.1 Have you implemented an Intrastat register? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.1.1 If yes, please specify how this register is managed (update, linkage to other registers, etc)	The Intrastat register is based from the Information submitted by the PSI's through Intrastat Declaration	The Intrastat register is based from the Information submitted by the PSI's through Intrastat Declaration	The Intrastat register is based from the Information submitted by the PSI's through Intrastat Declaration	In the framework of the Action Plan fiscal data regarding VIES recapulative statements along with VAT register data are transmitted in order to update Intrastat Register on a monthly basis. For the threshold's estimation, for VIES adj for	In the framework of the Action Plan fiscal data regarding VIES recapulative statements along with VAT register data are transmitted in order to update Intrastat Register on a monthly basis. For the threshold's estimation, for VIES adj for
3.1.2 <u>If yes</u> , how this register is used for quality purposes?	The register was used for insuring completeness of data	The register was used for insuring completeness of data	The register was used for insuring completeness of data	both flows, for reminding procedures and	both flows, for reminding procedures and
3.1.3 If no, please explain briefly why and specify any action plan.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	ensuring coverage of data. n.a.	ensuring coverage of data. n.a.
3.2 Submission deadline for Intrastat declarations - In number of days					
(Please specify if the deadline is expressed in calendar days or working days.)					
Deadline for paper declarations	T+30	T+30	T+30	T+30	T+30
Deadline for electronic declarations	T+26	T+26	T+26	T+26	T+26 There was not an automatic reminder system, but
3.3 Have you implemented a automatic reminder system? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	There was not an automatic reminder system, but reminders were reminded by phone
3.3.1 If yes, please describe briefly how and when (after how many days) this system reacts and precise whether some PSIs are specifically targeted.	No	No	No	No	No
3.3.2 If yes, how many reminders were sent?	No	No	No	No	No
3.3.3 If no, please explain briefly why and specify any action plan.	No availability of technical abilities, shortcomings of IT	No availability of technical abilities, shortcomings	No availability of technical abilities, shortcomings	No availability of technical abilities, shortcomings	No availability of technical abilities, shortcomings
3.4 Do you have other procedures than automatic reminders to assure fulfilment of the Intrastat reporting obligations (phone calls, visit to companies, etc)? Yes/No	Svstem No	of IT System No	of IT System No	of IT System No	of IT System Yes
3.4.1 If <u>ves</u> , please describe briefly these procedures and their purposes. Please precise whether some PSIs are specifically targeted. In particular, do you ensure a specific follow-up for particular PSIs like for instance the biggest ones?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	The most significantt PSI's were contacting by phone and remended for their statistical obligations
3.5 Have you implemented a penalty system? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
3.5.1 If yes, how many proceedings have been initiated?	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
3.5.2 If yes, how many fines were imposed?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.5.3 If no, please explain briefly why and specify any action plan.	Partially this is performed by the Fiscal Authorities under the framework of auditing	Partially this is performed by the Fiscal Authorities under the framework of auditing	Partially this is performed by the Fiscal Authorities under the framework of auditing	Partially this is performed by the Fiscal Authorities under the framework of auditing	Partially this is performed by the Fiscal Authorities under the framework of auditing
3.6 Which kind of support is provided to PSIs?	Helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquires by telephone	Helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquires by telephone	Helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquires by telephone	Helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquires by telephone	Helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquires by telephone
	by telephone				
3.7 Any other measure implemented? Yes/No - If yes, please specify.	Guidelines on the web site	Guidelines on the web site	Guidelines on the web site	Guidelines on the web site	Guidelines on the web site
	Guidelines on the web site n.a.	Guidelines on the web site n.a.	Guidelines on the web site n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.7 Any other measure implemented? Yes/No - If yes, please specify.					

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
4.1 Do you have access to VAT data return? Yes/No	For these years not yet collected	Yes, is accessible but not used in production since VIES data are available for arrivals and dispatches			
4.1.1 If yes, with which periodicity (monthly, quarterly, etc)?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.1.2 If yes, how many days after taxable period?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.1.3 If yes, do you compare Intrastat data with VAT data for quality purposes? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.2 Do you have access to national VIES data? Yes/No	Yes, since the year 2012 data became available for the years 2004 and onwards.	Yes, since the year 2012 data became available for the years 2004 and onwards.	Yes, since the year 2012 data became available for the years 2004 and onwards.	Yes, since the year 2012 data became available for the years 2004 and onwards.	Yes, since the year 2012 data became available for the years 2004 and onwards.
4.2.1 If yes, with which periodicity (monthly, quarterly, etc)?	quarterly	quarterly	monthly	monthly	monthly
4.2.2 If yes, how many days after taxable period?	T+32	T+32	T+32	T+32	T+32
4.2.3 If yes, do you have data on both VIES supplies and acquisitions? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.2.4 If yes, do you compare Intrastat data with VIES data for quality purposes? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.3 Do you have access to non-national VIES data (i.e. VIES data relating to your partner Member States' supplies)? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
4.3.1 If yes, with which periodicity (monthly, quarterly, etc)?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.3.2 If yes, how many days after taxable period?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.4 Do you use other information than VAT or VIES data to monitor and control data? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
4.4.1 If yes, please describe briefly the information used.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.5 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results					
4.6 Optional comments					

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 2.2.E - MEASURES IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE EXHAUSTIVENESS OF EXTRA-EU TRADE DATA

1.1 1.2									
1.1.1 Enc. places septian the control religious sources declaration to account of the control religious sources declaration to the complete of processor values.									
1.2. Can you seasore that all exports risking to carbon before the state of the sta	Greece								
121									
1.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results 1.4 Optional comments 1.5 Optional comment	Greece								
1. Optional comments									
2. Trade for which the Customs declaration is defined as data source (Art. 4 para 1 Reg. (EC) No 471/2009; thus excluding specific movements) 2.1 CUSTOMS DECLARATION - Do you exclusively use the customs declaration as data source for the goods falling under the scope of Estrastat and which are cleared for the customs procedures mentioned in article 3 para 1 of Regulation (EC) no 471/2009? 2.1.1 If mp. please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.2 On import? Yes/No 2.1.2 If mp. please explain the shortcomings/reasons 2.2.1 Decisions TAKEN BY CUSTOMS - Can you assure that decisions taken by customs and annewaling or changing statistical data are transmitted to you? 2.2.1 Decisions taken during the customs clearance process 2.2.1 On expur? Yes/No 2.2.1.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons Yes 2.2.1.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons Yes 2.2.1.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.1.2 On import? Yes/No 2.2.1.2.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.1.2 On import? Yes/No 2.2.1.2.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.2.1 Decisions taken during the customs clearance process Yes 2.2.1.1.2 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.2.1.2 Decision taken during the customs clearance process Yes 2.2.1.1.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.2.1.2 Decision taken during the customs clearance process Yes 2.2.1.2.1 If me, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.2.1.2 Decision taken during the customs clearance process Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes									
2.1 CUSTOMS DECLARATION - Do you exclusively use the customs deciaration as data source for the goods falling under the scope of Extrastata and which are cleared for the customs procedures mentioned in article 3 para 1 of Regulation (EC) no 471/2009? 2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 2.1.2 co import? Yea/No 2.1.2.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 2.2 DECISIONS TAKEN BY CUSTOMS - Can you assure that decisions taken by customs and ammentary or changing statistical data are transmitted to you? 2.2.1 Decisions taken during the customs clearance process 2.2.1.1 If no popert? Yea/No 2.2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y									
2.1.1 On export? Yes/No									
2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.a.									
2.1.2 If no. please explain the shortcomings/reasons									
2.1 If In please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. n.a									
2.2 Decisions taken BY CUSTOMS - Can you assure that decisions taken by customs and amending or changing statistical data are transmitted to you? 2.2.1 Decisions taken during the customs clearance process 2.2.1.1.1 [I yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No 2.2.1.1.1 [I yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No 2.2.1.1.1 [I no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. 2.2.1.2 [I no yelease explain the shortcomings/reasons ves Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ye									
2.2.1 Decisions taken during the customs clearance process 2.2.1.1.0 nexport? Yes/No Yes Yes Yes Yes 2.2.1.1.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No Yes Yes Yes Yes 2.2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. yes									
Yes	2.2 DECISIONS TAKEN BY CUSTOMS - Can you assure that decisions taken by customs and amending or changing statistical data are transmitted to you?								
2.2.1.1.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No 2.2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a.									
process? Yes/No 2.2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a.									
2.2.1.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a.									
2.2.1.2 On import? Yes/No 2.2.1.2.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No 2.2.1.2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.a.									
2.2.1.2.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No 2.2.1.2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.1.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons 1.2.1.2.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons									
process? Yes/No 2.2.1.2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a.									
2.2.1.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									
2.2.2. Decisions taken after the sustame clearance process. (places consider only decisions no later than 2 years after the goods into the austema procedure)									
2.2.2 Decisions taken after the customs clearance process (please consider only decisions no later than 2 years after the release of the goods into the customs procedure)									
2.2.2.1 On export? Yes/No Yes Yes Yes Yes									
2.2.2.1.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No Yes Yes Yes Yes									
2.2.2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									
2.2.2.1.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									
Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes									
2.2.2.2.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No Yes Yes Yes Yes									
2.2.2.2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									
2.2.2.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									
2.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									
2.4 Optional comments n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a. n.a.									

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
3. SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS					
3.1 GAS					
3.1.1 What is the data source?	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations
3.1.2 On import are you able to identify the country of origin of the gas? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.1.3 On export are you able to identify the country of destination? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.1.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit is not applicable in Greece	Transit is not applicable in Greece	Transit is not applicable in Greece	Transit is not applicable in Greece	Transit is not applicable in Greece
3.1.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations
3.1.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations
3.1.7 How do you compile the net mass?	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations
3.2 ELECTRICITY			•		
3.2.1 What is the data source?	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations
3.2.2 On import are you able to identify the country of origin of the electricity? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.2.3 On export are you able to identify the country of destination? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.2.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit is excluded by Customs	Transit is excluded by Customs	Transit is excluded by Customs	Transit is excluded by Customs	Transit is excluded by Customs
3.2.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations
3.2.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations	Statistical value is mandatory field in Customs Declarations
3.3 MILITARY GOODS			•		
Are the goods intented for military use included in data transmitted to Eurostat (at least total monthly statistical value of exports and imports)? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.4 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.5 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.5-I - INTRA-EU TRADE - Adjustment for trade below the exemption threshold

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. COMPILATION METHOD					
1.1 Brief description	The Adj. Method has been applied since 2012 and backwards for the years 2004-2011, is based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values below the threshold are allocated according to the pattern of small companies transactions	The Adj. Method has been applied since 2012 and backwards for the years 2004-2011, is based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values below the threshold are allocated according to the pattern of small companies transactions	The Adj. Method has been applied since 2012 and backwards for the years 2004-2011, is based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values below the threshold are allocated according to the pattern of small companies transactions	The Adj. Method has been applied since 2012 and backwards for the years 2004-2011, is based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values below the threshold are allocated according to the pattern of small companies transactions	The Adj. Method has been applied since 2012 and backwards for the years 2004-2011, is based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values below the threshold are allocated according to the pattern of small companies transactions
1.2 Data sources and purposes	VIES data for both flows				
2. RESULTS					
2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	CN2 partner country level				
2.2 Indicators	value	value	value	value	value
2.3 Community data including less details in terms of products or indicators?	no difference between community and national data				
2.4 Adjustments compiled according to other nomenclatures? Yes/No	SITC1 partner country level				
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD					
3.1 Monitoring of the quality	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain
3.2 Advantage(s)	VIES data are available for both flows				
3.3 Inconvenient(s)	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results					
4.2 Optional comments					

^{: =} Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation) N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.5-E - EXTRA-EU TRADE - Adjustment for trade below the statistical value threshold

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. ADJUSTMENTS FOR TRADE BETWEEN CUSTOMS AND STATISTICAL THRESHOLD					
1.1 COMPILATION METHOD					
1.1.1 Compilation of adjustments? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
1.1.2 Brief description of the method	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.3 Data sources (reported data, specific survey, others sources) and purposes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.2 RESULTS					
1.2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.2.2 Indicators	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.3 EVALUATION OF THE METHOD					
1.3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.3.1.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2. ADJUSTMENTS FOR TRADE BELOW THE CUSTOMS THRESHOLD					
2.1 COMPILATION METHOD					-
2.1.1 Compilation of adjustments? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
2.1.2 Brief description of the method	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.1.3 Data sources (reported data, specific survey, others sources) and purposes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.2 RESULTS					
2.2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.2.2 Indicators	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.3 EVALUATION OF THE METHOD					
2.3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.3.1.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.2 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.7-I - INTRA-EU TRADE - Adjustment for non/late response

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. COMPILATION METHOD					
1.1 Brief description	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. Backwards estimates compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold since non response relates mostly to small companies.	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. Backwards estimates compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold since non response relates mostly to small	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. Backwards estimates compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold since non response relates mostly to small	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. Backwards estimates compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold since non response relates mostly to small	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. Backwards estimates compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold since non response relates mostly to small
1.2 Data sources and purposes	VIES data for both flows	VIES data for both flows	VIES data for both flows	VIES data for both flows	VIES data for both flows
1.3 Do you compile adjustments for partial response? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
1.4 Do you compile adjustment for VAT fraud?	No	No	No	No	No
2. RESULTS					
2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	CN 2 per partner country	CN 2 per country	CN 2 per country	CN 2 per country	CN 2 per country
2.2 Indicators	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
2.3 Community data including less details in terms of products or indicators?	Community and national data are the same	Community and national data are the same	Community and national data are the same	Community and national data are the same	Community and national data are the same
2.4 Adjustments compiled according to other nomenclatures (SITC, CPA, etc.)? Yes/No	SITC 1 and partner country level	SITC 1 and partner country level	SITC 1 and partner country level	SITC 1 and partner country level	SITC 1 and partner country level
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD					
3.1 Monitoring of the quality	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain
3.2 Advantage(s)	VIES data are available for both flows	VIES data are available for both flows	VIES data are available for both flows	VIES data are available for both flows	VIES data are available for both flows
3.3 Inconvenient(s)	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	No possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.2 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.7-E - EXTRA-EU TRADE - Adjustment for non/late response

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
1. COMPILATION METHOD	2000	2003	2010	2011	2012		
1.1 Compilation of adjustments? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No		
1.2 Brief description of the method	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
1.3 Data sources (reported data, specific survey, others sources) and purposes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
2. RESULTS	·						
2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
2.2 Indicators	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD							
3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
3.1.1 If yes, please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION							
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
4.2 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.9 - INTRA-EU TRADE - Collection and estimation of the statistical value

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. COMPILATION METHOD					
1.1 Exemption of some or all PSIs? All/Part/None	None	None	None	None	None
1.2 Compilation of adjustments for non-collected data? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
1.3 Brief description of the method	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.4 Data sources (reported data, specific survey, others sources) and purposes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.5 Information used to determine coefficients: flow, product, partner country, mean of transport, delivery terms, others?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.6 Update of coefficients: please describe your practice (frequency, etc)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2. RESULTS					
2.1 Which is the level of coefficients?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD					
3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.1.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
4.2 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.10 - COMPILATION OF AGGREGATED DATA

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. INTRA-EU TRADE			20.10		20.2
1.1 COMPILATION METHOD					
1.1.1 Please describe your method and specify in which extent it takes into account the detailed data available when the compilation process starts.	No specific method for the compilation of aggregated data is needed since collected data almost reach 97% in terms of value while the missing part is estimated.	No specific method for the compilation of aggregated data is needed since collected data almost reach 97% in terms of value while the missing part is estimated	No specific method for the compilation of aggregated data is needed since collected data almost reach 97% in terms of value while the missing part is estimated	No specific method for the compilation of aggregated data is needed since collected data almost reach 97% in terms of value while the missing part is estimated	No specific method for the compilation of aggregated data is needed since collected data almost reach 97% in terms of value while the missing part is estimated
1.1.2 Please specify the data sources and purposes.	Data source is the same as for detailed data	Data source is the same as for detailed data	Data source is the same as for detailed data	Data source is the same as for detailed data	Data source is the same as for detailed data
1.1.3 When does the compilation process start? Please specify the delay in terms of number of calendar days	The compilation process starts as soon as data are provided form the PSI's (t+27)	The compilation process starts as soon as data are provided form the PSI's (t+27)	The compilation process starts as soon as data are provided form the PSI's (t+27)	The compilation process starts as soon as data are provided form the PSI's (t+27)	The compilation process starts as soon as data are provided form the PSI's (t+27)
1.2 RESULTS					
1.2.1 According to which nomenclature and at which level of details are the results compiled?	CN8 and country	CN8 and country	CN8 and country	CN8 and country	CN8 and country
1.3 EVALUATION OF THE METHOD					
1.3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? Yes/No	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated
1.3.1.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.4 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.5 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2. EXTRA-EU TRADE					
2.1 COMPILATION METHOD		_			
2.1.1 Please describe your method and specify in which extent it takes into account the detailed data available when the compilation process starts.	Aggregate data are compliled from the records of detailed data which are provided by customs administration.	Aggregate data are compliled from the records of detailed data which are provided by customs administration.	Aggregate data are compliled from the records of detailed data which are provided by customs administration.	Aggregate data are compliled from the records of detailed data which are provided by customs administration.	Aggregate data are compliled from the records of detailed data which are provided by customs administration.
2.1.2 Please specify the data sources and purposes.	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations	Customs Declarations
2.1.3 When does the compilation process start? Please specify the delay in terms of number of calendar days	T+27	T+28	T+29	T+30	T+31
2.2 RESULTS					
2.2.1 According to which nomenclature and at which level of details are the results compiled?	CN8 and country and all mandatory variables	CN8 and country and all mandatory variables	CN8 and country and all mandatory variables	CN8 and country and all mandatory variables	CN8 and country and all mandatory variables
2.3 EVALUATION OF THE METHOD	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,	,	,	
2.3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.3.1.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated
2.3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
2.3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
2.4 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
2.5 Optional comments	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a

^{: =} Information not available

Table 2.14 - NATIONAL PRACTICES IN TERMS OF REVISION

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
1. REVISION POLICY APPLIED FOR NATIONAL PURPOSES (dissemination of national data)							
1.1 Same revision policy for intra and extra-EU trade data? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Revision frequency and periodicity	Intra and extra-EU data are in principle revised only once when their final version is made available.	Intra and extra-EU data are in principle revised only once when their final version is made available.	Intra and extra-EU data are in principle revised only once when their final version is made available.	Revisions on a monthly basis if necessary	Revisions on a monthly basis if necessary		
1.3 Final revision	No fixed date for final revision	No fixed date for final revision	No fixed date for final revision	T+10 months	T+10 months		
1.4 In which delay are late declarations taken into account in the dissemination to users?							
1.4.1 For intra-EU trade data?	No fixed delay	No fixed delay	No fixed delay	month data dissemination	late declarations are incorportated as soon as they become available and are disseminated with the next month data dissemination		
1.4.2 For extra-EU trade data?	No fixed delay	No fixed delay	No fixed delay	late declarations are incorportated as soon as they become available and are disseminated with the next month data dissemination	late declarations are incorportated as soon as they become available and are disseminated with the next month data dissemination		
1.5 Do you make exceptional revisions - i.e. unscheduled revisions of definitive data - possible? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1.5.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify the possible time limits (e.g. up to two years backwards).	not specified	not specified	not specified	not specified	not specified		
1.5.2 If yes, do you have a threshold in value to determine if a change should be made and revised figures	No threshold define, each case is analysed	No threshold define, each case is analysed					
published? Yes/No	separetely	separetely	separetely	separetely	separetely		
1.5.2.1 If yes, please specify this threshold.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
1.5.3 <u>If yes</u> , do you have a threshold in net mass / supplementary units to determine if a change should be made and revised figures published? Yes/No	no	no	no	no	no		
1.5.3.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify this threshold.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
1.5.4 <u>If yes</u> , do you have a threshold based on the percentage change at a given level of commodity/partner aggregation? Yes/No	no	no	no	no	no		
1.5.4.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify this threshold.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
1.5.5 If yes, Do you have a threshold based on both a change in value and change in percentage at a given level of commodity/partner aggregation? Yes/No	no	no	no	no	no		
1.5.5.1 If yes, please specify this threshold.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
1.5.6 <u>If yes</u> , do you use any other thresholds or criteria (e.g. user feedback, methodological issues)? Yes/No	no	no	no	no	no		
1.5.6.1 If yes, please specify.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
1.6 Correction applied to the correct reference month?							
1.6.1 For intra-EU trade data? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1.6.2 For extra-EU trade data? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2. REVISION POLICY APPLIED TO COMMUNITY DATA							
2.1 Same revision policy for national and Community figures? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2.1.1 If no, please specify the main differences.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
2.2 When national figures are revised, in which delay - after the revision - Community figures are revised and transmitted to Eurostat (number of calendar days or months)							
2.2.1 For intra-EU trade data?	The same day as national data are published	The same day as national data are published	The same day as national data are published	The same day as national data are published	The same day as national data are published		
2.2.2 For extra-EU trade data?	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION							
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 2.16 - CONFIDENTIALITY PRACTICES FOR DETAILED DATA

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS								
1.1 Principle applied	Passive confidentiality	Passive confidentiality	Passive confidentiality	Passive confidentiality	Passive confidentiality			
1.2 Initiation of the procedure by the trader: timing and information to be provided (e.g. for how long the confidentiality is requested, for which data, etc)	one year	one year	one year	one year	one year			
1.3 Rules applied to decide whether or not the confidentiality should be granted	The main criterion is wether the trader is dominant	The main criterion is wether the trader is dominant	The main criterion is wether the trader is dominant	The main criterion is wether the trader is dominant	The main criterion is wether the trader is dominant			
1.4 Are the needs for confidentiality regularly checked? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
1.4.1 <u>If γes</u> , please specify how and when.	At the beginning of the year confidential products are reconsidered for extending the confidentiality period	At the beginning of the year confidential products are reconsidered for extending the confidentiality period	At the beginning of the year confidential products are reconsidered for extending the confidentiality period	At the beginning of the year confidential products are reconsidered for extending the confidentiality period	At the beginning of the year confidential products are reconsidered for extending the confidentiality period			
2. DISSEMINATION								
2.1 Minimum level of dissemination (except for military goods): by HS2 codes if the confidentiality is thereby ensured.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
2.2 Rules applied to determine the dissemination degree (which product level, which indicator, etc)	We disseminate information at the next product level which does not allow to identify the trader. Value and quantity is disseminated.	We disseminate information at the next product level which does not allow to identify the trader. Value and quantity is disseminated.	We disseminate information at the next product level which does not allow to identify the trader. Value and quantity is disseminated.	We disseminate information at the next product level which does not allow to identify the trader. Value and quantity is disseminated.	We disseminate information at the next product leve which does not allow to identify the trader. Value and quantity is disseminated.			
2.3 Disseminate the maximum of information at product and partner level	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
2.4 Raising confidentiality after a certain period? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No			
2.4.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify after which period.	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a			
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a							
3.2 Optional comments	n.a							

^{: =} Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 2.18 - CONFIDENTIALITY PRACTICES FOR TEC DATA

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS								
1.1 Principle applied (active/passive)		Passive	Passive	Passive	Passive			
1.2 Which criteria are used to determine confidential cells (number of enterprises, dominance, etc.)?		no criteria is defined						
1.3. Are the criteria same for all other business related statistics or are they specific for TEC? Same/Specific		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
1.4 Are the same criteria applied for each dataset (tables 2 to 6)? Yes/No		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
1.4.1 If no, please specify the differences.		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
1.5 Is secondary confidentiality applied? Yes/No		No	No	No	No			
1.5.1 If yes, please specify the criteria to determine to which cells secondary confidentiality is applied.		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
2. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
2.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.			
2.2 Optional comments		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	Confedentialty criteria are under construction			

^{: =} Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)
N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.19 - NATIONAL PRACTICES IN TERMS OF DATA CONTROL

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. CHECKS FOR COMPLETENESS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Checks for completeness refers to measures aiming at ensuring that the reported figures represent the	e complete trade				
	·	Yes, comparison with VIES data is performed since	Yes, comparison with VIES data is performed since	Yes, comparison with VIES data is performed since	Yes, comparison with VIES data is performed since
1.1 Do you have checks to ensure data completeness (e.g. comparison between VAT/VIES data)? Yes/No	Yes, comparison with VIES data is performed since 2013 on a monthly regular basis and adjustment method was developed. Further more backwards estimations have been introduced to the timeserie 2004 - 2016 based on the VIES data to ensure data quality of the timeserie.	2013 on a monthly regular basis and adjustment method was developped. Further more backwards estimations have been introduced to the timeserie 2004 - 2016 based on the VIES data to ensure data quality of the timeserie.	2013 on a monthly regular basis and adjustment method was developped. Further more backwards estimations have been introduced to the timeserie 2004 - 2016 based on the VIES data to ensure data quality of the timeserie.	2013 on a monthly regular basis and adjustment method was developped. Further more backwards estimations have been introduced to the timeserie 2004 - 2016 based on the VIES data to ensure data quality of the timeserie.	2013 on a monthly regular basis and adjustment method was developped. Further more backwards estimations have been introduced to the timeserie 2004 - 2016 based on the VIES data to ensure data quality of the timeserie.
1.2 Besides the comparison between VAT/VIES data, do you have other checks to ensure data completeness? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
1.2.1 If yes, please specify the methods and data sources.	Comparison of historical data values per VAT Number. Through the web declarations all mandatory fields is validated	Comparison of historical data values per VAT Number. Through the web declarations all mandatory fields is validated	Comparison of historical data values per VAT Number. Through the web declarations all mandatory fields is validated	Comparison of historical data values per VAT Number. Through the web declarations all mandatory fields is validated	Comparison of historical data values per VAT Number. Through the web declarations all mandatory fields is validated
2. CHECKS FOR VALIDITY		THAT MALEY TRIBLE TO VALUE TO THE TAIL TO THE TAIL THE THE TAIL TH	THAIRMAN VIIIMO IN VAIIMANNA	I IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	THE MAKE Y TORKS IN YMMAKA
Checks for validity refer to measures aiming at detecting missing or incorrect variables or codes					
2.1 Please specify which type of checks are performed to detect invalid data.	Checks of records of all mandatory fields, eg.: CN8, Country, Nature of Transaction, Transport mode etc	Checks of records of all mandatory fields, eg.: CN8, Country, Nature of Transaction, Transport mode etc	Checks of records of all mandatory fields, eg.: CN8, Country, Nature of Transaction, Transport mode etc	Checks of records of all mandatory fields, eg.: CN8, Country, Nature of Transaction, Transport mode etc	Checks of records of all mandatory fields, eg.: CN8, Country, Nature of Transaction, Transport mode etc
2.2 Please specify how erroneous or suspicious records are processed by answering the following qu	estions:				
2.2.1 Are they automatically corrected at the most detailed level?	Yes, all erroneous are corrected at the most detailed level. On Electronic Submission for Intra EU trade all fields are mandatory validated. On paper declaration if CN8 code is missing is corrected according to the historical data of the company. Analogical validation controls are developed for Extra EU trade. Paper declarations are checked on a monthly basis by the Unit.	Yes, all erroneous are corrected at the most detailed level. On Electronic Submission for Intra EU trade all fields are mandatory validated. On paper declaration if CN8 code is missing is corrected according to the historical data of the company. Analogical validation controls are developed for Extra EU trade. Paper declarations	Yes, all erroneous are corrected at the most detailed level. On Electronic Submission for Intra EU trade all fields are mandatory validated. On paper declaration if CN8 code is missing is corrected according to the historical data of the company. Analogical validation controls are developped for Extra EU trade. Paper declarations	Yes, all erroneous are corrected at the most detailed level. On Electronic Submission for Intra EU trade all fields are mandatory validated. On paper declaration if CN8 code is missing is corrected according to the historical data of the company. Analogical validation controls are developed for Extra EU trade. Paper declarations	Yes, all erroneous are corrected at the most detailed level. On Electronic Submission for Intra EU trade all fields are mandatory validated. On paper declaration if CN8 code is missing is corrected according to the historical data of the company. Analogical validation controls are developed for Extra EU trade. Paper declarations
2.2.2 Are they deleted and considered as missing data to be estimated in the same way than the late/non response?	No they are not deleted. The incorrect records are corrected. In addition to standard validation controls all values above 1 mio euro are visually validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics.	No they are not deleted. The incorrect records are corrected. In addition to standard validation controls all values above 1 mio euro are visually validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics	No they are not deleted. The incorrect records are corrected. In addition to standard validation controls all values above 1 mio euro are visually validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics	No they are not deleted. The incorrect records are corrected. In addition to standard validation controls all values above 1 mio euro are visually validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics	No they are not deleted. The incorrect records are corrected. In addition to standard validation controls all values above 1 mio euro are visually validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics
2.2.3 Are revised figures immediately requested from the operator?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3. CREDIBILITY CHECKS					
Checks for credibility refer to measures aiming at detecting implausible values, codes or ratios					
3.1 Please specify which type of checks are performed to detect implausible data.	For credibility checks we perform combination checks between the different varilables (e.g. product code with partner country, product code by transport code, etc.) in addition the max and minimum range for unit value prices, as well as average unit values are contolled.	For credibility checks we perform combination checks between the different varilables (e.g. product code with partner country, product code by transport code, etc.) in addition the max and minimum range for unit value prices, as well as	For credibility checks we perform combination checks between the different varilables (e.g. product code with partner country, product code by transport code, etc.) in addition the max and minimum range for unit value prices, as well as	For credibility checks we perform combination checks between the different varilables (e.g. product code with partner country, product code by transport code, etc.) in addition the max and minimum range for unit value prices, as well as	For credibility checks we perform combination checks between the different varilables (e.g. product code with partner country, product code by transport code, etc.) in addition the max and minimum range for unit value prices, as well as
3.2 Please specify how erroneous or suspicious records are processed by replying the following ques	tions:				
3.2.1 Are they automatically corrected at the most detailed level? Yes/No	The are not automatically corrected by individually	The are not automatically corrected by individually	The are not automatically corrected by individually	The are not automatically corrected by individually	The are not automatically corrected by individually
3.2.2 Are they deleted and considered as missing data to be estimated in the same way than the late/non response? Yes/No	No they are not deleted and incorrect records are corrected. All values above 1 mio euro are validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics.	No they are not deleted and incorrect records are corrected. All values above 1 mio euro are validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics.	No they are not deleted and incorrect records are corrected. All values above 1 mio euro are validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics.	No they are not deleted and incorrect records are corrected. All values above 1 mio euro are validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics.	No they are not deleted and incorrect records are corrected. All values above 1 mio euro are validated on monthly basis for Intra EU and Extra EU trade statistics.
3.2.3 Are revised figures immediately requested from the operator? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	All are dibility and validity about a program to discuss and Edward Edward	All are distilled and validity of a least and a little of	All and dibility and validity about a second and the	All and distility and validity as a superior of the	
4.2 Optional comments	All credibility and validity checks are applied for Intra and Extra EU Trade the same way	All credibility and validity checks are applied for Intra and Extra EU Trade the same way	All credibility and validity checks are applied for Intra and Extra EU Trade the same way	All credibility and validity checks are applied for Intra and Extra EU Trade the same way	All credibility and validity checks are applied for Intra and Extra EU Trade the same way

^{: =} Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 4.1&4.2 - NATIONAL PRACTICES IN TERMS OF COMMUNITY DATA DISSEMINATION

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1. Do you publish your Community data? Yes/No	Press releases in T+40 and T+56	Press releases in T+40 and T+56	Press releases in T+40 and T+56	Press releases in T+40 and T+56	Press releases in T+40 and T+56
1.1 If yes, with which periodicity and by which means?	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly
1.2 If yes, please specify the accompanying metadata.	Short Metadata are provided along with the Press Release while more detailed metadata are provided on ELSTAT's website.	Short Metadata are provided along with the Press Release while more detailed metadata are provided on ELSTAT's website.	Short Metadata are provided along with the Press Release while more detailed metadata are provided on ELSTAT's website.	Short Metadata are provided along with the Press Release while more detailed metadata are provided on ELSTAT's website.	Short Metadata are provided along with the Press Release while more detailed metadata are provided on ELSTAT's website.
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION					
2. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results					
3. Optional comments	National Data and Community data are the same	National Data and Community data are the same	National Data and Community data are the same	National Data and Community data are the same	National Data and Community data are the same

^{: =} Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)
N/C = No new information or no change

Table 5.4 - METHODOLOGICAL REASONS FOR DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY FIGURES

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
1. National concept = Community concept? Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
1.1 If no, please specify the methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and community figures									
1.1.1 General Trade System for national statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.2 Exclusion of quasi transit from national statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.3 Repairs included in national statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.4 Criterion of country of origin for national arrivals	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.5 Criterion of country of consignment for national extra-EU statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.6 Imports published FOB	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.7 Further exclusions from national statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.8 Further integrations in national statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.9 Other(s) - Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.1.9.1 <u>If yes</u> , please specify	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION									
2. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
3. Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				

^{: =} Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 8.8&8.9 - SIMPLIFICATION MEASURES IMPLEMENTED WITHIN INTRASTAT AND EXTRASTAT

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
1. INTRASTAT									
1.1 Are PSIs exempted from reporting of statistical value? Yes/Partly/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.2 Optional data threshold implemented? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.2.1 If yes, please specify the optional variables.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.3 Simplification threshold implemented? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.3.1 If yes, please specify if PSIs can report only a maximum of 10 of the detailed relevant subheadings of the CN and regroup the other products under 99500000.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.4 Small transaction threshold implemented? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.4.1 If yes, please specify its value.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.5 Net mass not collected from PSIs if supplementary unit is requested? Yes/Partly/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.5.1 If yes or partly, are missing values estimated? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.5.1.1 If yes, do you use Eurostat coefficients? Yes/Partly/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.5.1.2 If yes, please describe briefly your estimation method.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.5.2 If yes or partly, do you have some voluntary reporting from PSIs? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.5.2.1 If yes, do you keep the information voluntarily reported? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.6 Simplified reporting for motor vehicle and aircraft parts allowed? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.7 Simplified reporting for industrial plants allowed? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.8 Other simplification measures implemented? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				
1.8.1 If yes, please specify briefly these measures.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
1.9 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results									
1.10 Optional comments									
2. EXTRASTAT									
2.1 Simplified reporting for industrial plants allowed? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No				

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
2.2 Other simplification measures implemented? Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No
2.2.1 If yes, please specify briefly these measures.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2.4 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

^{: =} Information not available

Table 8.10-I - INTRA-EU TRADE - Optional and other national Intrastat data

GREECE	Information source: Methods Questionnaires								
GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Comments provided in the Methods Questionnaires			
I. OPTIONAL INTRASTAT DATA									
1.1 Country of origin on arrival	No	No	No	No	No				
1.2 Delivery terms; 1st subdivision	No	No	No	No	No				
1.3 Delivery terms; 2nd subdivision	No	No	No	No	No				
1.4 Mode of transport	С	С	С	С	С				
1.5 More detailed level than CN8	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
1.6 Nature of transaction (2nd digit)	С	С	С	С	С				
1.7 Region of destination on arrival	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
1.8 Region of origin on dispatch	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
1.9 Statistical procedure	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
1.10 Statistical value	С	С	С	С	С				
2. OTHER NATIONAL DATA									
2.1 Air(port) of (un)loading	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
2.2 Airway bill / bill of landing number	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
2.3 Code for specific goods / movements	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
2.4 Country of final destination outside EU (indirect exports)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
2.5 Country of origin on dispatch	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				
2.6 Currency	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC				

ODEFOR	Information source: Methods Questionnaires							
GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Comments provided in the Methods Questionnaires		
2.7 Description of goods	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC			
2.8 Nationality of the means of transport at the border (arrivals)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC			
2.9 Supplier's / consignee's VAT ID number	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC			
2.10 Transport document ID	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC			
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3.2 Optional comments								

C: Collected PC: Partially collected NC: Not collected

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 8.10-E - EXTRA-EU TRADE - Optional Extrastat data

GREECE	Information source: Methods Questionnaires								
GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Comments provided in the Methods Questionnaires			
1. MS of destination	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	It will be available since 2014			
2. MS of actual export	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	It will be available since 2015			
3. Nature of transaction	С	С	С	С	С	2nd digit level (one digit code collected and two digit code available at Customs).			
4. Invoicing currency on import	С	С	С	С	С				
5. Invoicing currency on export	С	С	С	С	С				
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION									
6 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
7 Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				

C: Collected PC: Partially collected NC: Not collected

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

^{: =} Information not available



ANNEX 2

QUALITY ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED