



GREECE - 2016 NATIONAL QUALITY REPORT

DRAFT VERSION PRE-FILLED BY EUROSTAT

This is the pre-filled version of your 2016 National Quality Report. Please answer the questions and update/correct the information wherever necessary.

When going through the report, you should keep in mind that we are expecting figures or information only in cells shaded in blue. Cells shaded in yellow are available for corrections, supplementary information or optional comments; they should be filled in only if you consider it as necessary. Cells shaded in grey should be left empty.

Contents

Contact Information

Recommendations (source: Compilers Guide on European ITGS - 2015 edition)

I. Intrastat - Quality indicators relating to the Intrastat legislation

II. Extrastat - Quality indicators relating to the Extrastat legislation

Annex 1 - Information on methods and practices

Annex 2 - Quality items to be documented

Guidelines

In order to facilitate your work, some colour guidelines have been introduced in the report:



Cell to be filled in



Cell to be used for corrections, supplementary information or optional comments



Cell to be left empty

Notes

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Sources: Eurostat databases (extraction dates: 30/08/2016 for detailed statistics and 30/11/2016 for TEC data), previous national quality reports and thresholds questionnaire.

[Go back to Cover Page](#)

CONTACT INFORMATION

Contact details on country side

Please correct or complete contact information if necessary

Member State: GREECE

First contact

Administration: Hellenic Statistical Authority, ELSTAT

Name: Pandi Eleni

E-mail: e.pandi@statistics.gr

Phone number: +30 2104852042

Second contact

Administration: Hellenic Statistical Authority, ELSTAT

Name: Evagelia Anifadi

E-mail: e.anyfanti@statistics.gr

Phone number: +30 2104852392

Contact details on Eurostat side

Administration: EUROSTAT Unit G-5: Goods - production and international trade

First contact

Name: Anne Berthomieu

E-mail: anne.berthomieu-cristallo@ec.europa.eu

Phone number: + 352 43 01 33 616

Second contact

Name: Maria Gonzalez

E-mail: maria-del-carmen.gonzalez@ec.europa.eu

Phone number: + 352 43 01 32 334

List of recommendations Source: Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods - 2015 edition	No of § in the Compilers Guide	References to the legislation and other documents	Applied from year	Assessed under		Application according to reference year			Action plans/ optional comments	Type of trade	Possible question
				Sheet	Item	2013	2014	2015			
2. THE FRAMEWORK											
R1. It is recommended that NSAs instruct trade operators to correctly fill in Intrastat declarations, provide them with the necessary manuals and maintain a helpdesk.	174, 336, 411, 790		2014	Table 2.2 I (a)	2.6.1					I	Do you instruct trade operators to correctly fill in Intrastat declarations? If yes, do you provide your PSIs with the necessary manuals?
				Table 2.2 I (a)	2.6.1.1					I	If yes, do you provide your PSIs with the necessary manuals?
	255		2014	Table 2.2 I (a)	2.6.2					I	Do you maintain a helpdesk for the trade operators to correctly fill in Intrastat declarations?
R2. It is recommended that NSAs update as frequently as possible, at least once per month, the information about the liability of the companies to report for Intrastat.	255		2014	Table 2.2 I (a)	2.1.1.1					I	Please specify whether the information about the liability of the companies to report for Intrastat is updated at least once per month.
R23. It is recommended that NSAs estimate the total trade below the exemption threshold by using the most reliable data sources — current month's or historical administrative data (VIES or VAT) — available at the time the estimation process should be launched.	281		New 2015	Table 2.14	1.5.2					I&E	If yes, do you make exceptional revisions when the revision is significant for the interpretation of the data?
R29. It is recommended that NSAs estimate the total trade below the exemption threshold by using the most reliable data sources — current month's or historical administrative data (VIES or VAT) — available at the time the estimation process should be launched.	257		New 2016	Table 2.5-I	1.2.1					I	Please specify whether the total trade below the exemption threshold is estimated by using the most reliable data sources — current month's or historical administrative data (VIES or VAT) — available at the time the estimation process should be launched.
R30. It is recommended that NSAs estimate the total trade of PSIs late in submitting their Intrastat declarations by using the most reliable data sources — current month's or historical administrative data (VAT or VIES), current month's or historical Intrastat data — available at the time the estimation process should be launched.	258		New 2016	Table 2.7-I	1.2.1					I	Please specify whether the total trade of PSIs late in submitting their Intrastat declarations is estimated by using the most reliable data sources — current month's or historical administrative data (VAT or VIES), current month's or historical Intrastat data — available at the time the estimation process should be launched.
R31. It is recommended that NSAs allocate the estimated total trade below the exemption threshold by product and partner Member State by using data collected from the most similar traders above the exemption threshold. Most similar traders should be understood as traders with a most similar activity or/and of most similar size. Traders with a most similar activity means 'traders with the same NACE activity code or with a NACE activity code as similar as possible'. Traders of most similar size means 'just-above-threshold traders'. As regards the allocation by partner Member State, an alternative to the use of most similar traders' data would consist in applying the pattern captured from VIES data.	259		New 2016	Table 2.5-I	1.2.2					I	Please specify whether data collected from the most similar traders above the exemption threshold are used to allocate the estimated total trade below the exemption threshold.
				Table 2.5-I	1.2.2.1					I	For the allocation by product.
				Table 2.5-I	1.2.2.2					I	For the allocation by partner Member State.
				Table 2.5-I	1.2.2.2.1					I	If no, please specify whether the pattern captured from VIES data is applied for the allocation by partner Member State.
R32. As regards the PSIs late in submitting their Intrastat declarations, it is recommended that NSAs allocate the estimated total trade by product and partner Member State by using their historical Intrastat data or, if not available or not relevant, by using Intrastat data collected from the most similar traders. Most similar traders should be understood as traders with a most similar activity or/and of most similar size. Traders with a most similar activity means 'traders with the same NACE activity code or with a NACE activity code as similar as possible'. Traders of most similar size means 'traders with most similar trade value or turnover'. As regards the allocation by partner Member State, an alternative to the use of the PSI's historical Intrastat data or of similar traders' data would consist in applying the pattern captured from VIES data.	260		New 2016	Table 2.7-I	1.2.2					I	Please specify whether historical Intrastat data of the PSIs late in submitting their Intrastat declarations or, if not available or not relevant, Intrastat data collected from the most similar traders are used to allocate the estimated total trade.
				Table 2.7-I	1.2.2.1					I	For the allocation by product.
				Table 2.7-I	1.2.2.2					I	For the allocation by partner Member State.
				Table 2.7-I	1.2.2.2.1					I	If no, please specify whether the pattern captured from VIES data is applied for the allocation by partner Member State.
R33. It is recommended that, to the extent possible, NSAs avoid estimating the main PSIs' trade by taking any necessary measures to get their Intrastat declarations in due time.	256		New 2016	Table 2.2 I (a)	2.4.1.1					I	Please specify whether the necessary measures are taken to get the Intrastat declarations of the main PSIs in due time.
3. THE DATA											
R3. It is recommended that when using additional data sources for specific movements, if possible NSAs assign to an economic operator the identification number which is linked to the VAT ID number system.	320		2014	Table 2.2 I (b)	6.1					I	When using additional data sources for specific movements, do you assign to an economic operator the identification number which is linked to the VAT ID number system?
R4. It is recommended that NSAs estimate the net mass at CN subheading level for those CN codes for which information is not collected from PSIs.	433		2014	Table 8.8&8.9	1.5.1					I	If not collected, is the net mass estimated at CN8 level?
R5. It is recommended that NSAs establish a correlation table linking customs procedures to special (and if needed to general) trade systems and to statistical procedures.	459		2014	Table 2.2 E (a)	2.3.2					E	Have you established a correlation table linking customs procedures to special (and if needed to general) trade systems and to statistical procedures?
R6. It is recommended that Customs transmit all transactions with all customs procedures applicable to the NSAs, allowing for full statistical control of relevant transactions.	459		2014	Table 2.2 E (a)	2.3.1					E	Are all transactions with all customs procedures applicable transmitted to you by Customs, allowing for full statistical control of relevant transactions?
4. SPECIFIC GOODS OR MOVEMENTS											
R9. It is recommended that NSAs introduce, at national level, the obligation to complete an Intrastat declaration for trade transactions involving changes of economic ownership, whatever the contractual arrangements.	582		2014	Table 2.2 I (b)	4.1					I	Have you introduced, at national level, the obligation to complete an Intrastat declaration for trade transactions involving changes of economic ownership, whatever the contractual arrangements?
R10. It is recommended that NSAs agree on a regular information exchange on entries into and removals from the ships and aircraft registers with competent national authorities.	583		2014	Table 2.2 I (b)	4.2					I	Have you agreed on a regular information exchange on entries into and removals from the ships and aircraft registers with competent national authorities?
				Table 2.2 E (b)	4.1					E	Have you agreed on a regular information exchange on entries into and removals from the ships and aircraft registers with competent national authorities?
R24. It is recommended that NSAs establish methodologies for the estimation of transit trade of gas where the collection of data is not possible.	701		New 2016	Table 2.2 I (b)	1.4.1					E	Please specify whether you have established methodologies for the estimation of transit trade of gas where the collection of data is not possible.
				Table 2.2 E (b)	1.4.1					E	Please specify whether you have established methodologies for the estimation of transit trade of gas where the collection of data is not possible.
R25. It is recommended that NSAs compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies.	705		New 2015	Table 2.2 I (b)	1.8					I	Gas - Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies?
				Table 2.2 I (b)	2.7					I	Electricity - Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies?
				Table 2.2 E (b)	1.8					E	Gas - Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies?
				Table 2.2 E (b)	2.7					E	Electricity - Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies?
R34. It is recommended that NSAs acquaint themselves with information on how their national legislation defines the accounting standards, in particular those related to leasing arrangements, as they can be very helpful in determining a change in economic ownership [former E20].	555		2015	Table 2.2 I (b)	4.3					I	Have you acquainted yourself with information on how your national legislation defines the accounting standards, in particular those related to leasing arrangements, as they can be very helpful in determining a change in economic ownership?
				Table 2.2 E (b)	4.2					E	Have you acquainted yourself with information on how your national legislation defines the accounting standards, in particular those related to leasing arrangements, as they can be very helpful in determining a change in economic ownership?
R35. It is recommended that NSAs use Intrastat system for collection of data on intra-EU supplies to vessels and aircraft only in case the Customs is not providing with the necessary data.	615		New 2016	Table 2.2 I (b)	5.1					I	Do you use Intrastat system for collection of data on intra-EU supplies to vessels and aircraft only in case the Customs is not providing with the necessary data?
5. PARTICULAR TRADE FLOWS											
R11. It is recommended that NSAs do not collect information on arrivals in case of quasi-export from traders or from declarants, whenever the information provided in the customs declaration is sufficient to derive an adequate arrival record in Intrastat.	740		2014	Table 8.8&8.9	1.8.1					I	If yes, please specify whether information on arrivals is not collected in case of quasi-export from traders or from declarants, whenever the information provided in the customs declaration is sufficient to derive an adequate arrival record in Intrastat.
6. THRESHOLDS WITHIN INTRASTAT SYSTEM											
R12. It is recommended that NSAs set the exemption threshold in line with certain quality requirements, i.e. NSAs should examine whether the exemption of the PSIs below the threshold will lead to a considerable lack of information or to biased information as regards the flow, the trade with certain partner Member States and certain commodities.	754		2014	Table 8.1	1.1					I	When setting the exemption threshold, do you examine whether the exemption of the PSIs below the threshold will lead to a considerable lack of information or to biased information as regards the flow, the trade with certain partner Member States and certain commodities?
R13. It is recommended that NSAs determine the exemption threshold in such a way that the largest number of traders is exempted from providing information to the Intrastat system, to reduce burden, and by simultaneously keeping the quality of the collected information.	755		2014	Table 8.1	1.2					I	Do you determine the exemption threshold in such a way that the largest number of traders is exempted from providing information to the Intrastat system, to reduce burden, and by simultaneously keeping the quality of the collected information?
R14. It is recommended that NSAs when calculating the total trade use cumulative total value based on the most recent data available at the time of calculation of thresholds over a period of at least 12 months taking into account additional information provided in the paragraph 756.	756	Reg. No 1962/2004, Art. 13(1)	2014	Table 8.1	1.3.1					I	When calculating the total trade, do you use cumulative total value based on the most recent data available at the time of calculation of thresholds over a period of at least 12 months?
				Table 8.1	1.3.2					I	Do you take into account additional information provided in the paragraph 756 of the Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods?
R15. It is recommended that NSAs use the procedure described in paragraph 757 for the determination of the level of the exemption threshold and in paragraph 767 for the simplification threshold.	757, 767		2014	Table 8.1	1.4					I	Do you use the procedure described in paragraph 757 of the Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods for the determination of the level of the exemption threshold?
				Table 8.1	2.1					I	Do you use the procedure described in paragraph 767 of the Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods for the determination of the level of the simplification threshold?
8. QUALITY											
R16. It is recommended that, within the limit of available human resources, NSAs regularly monitor the asymmetries related to the main partner countries and major/key products and react as quickly as possible to unexpectedly high or new asymmetries by checking the accuracy and completeness of the available national statistical data sources.	793		2014	Table 2.19	4.1.1					I	Within the limit of available human resources, do you regularly monitor the asymmetries related to the main partner countries and major/key products?
				Table 2.19	4.1.1.1					I	If yes, please specify whether you react as quickly as possible to unexpectedly high or new asymmetries by checking the accuracy and completeness of the available national statistical data sources.

List of recommendations Source: Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods - 2015 edition	No of § in the Compilers Guide	References to the legislation and other documents	Applied from year	Assessed under		Application according to reference year			Action plans/ optional comments	Type of trade	Possible question
				Sheet	Item	2013	2014	2015			
9. CONFIDENTIALITY											
R17. It is recommended that the NSAs grant confidentiality only for a limited time (e.g. the request has to be renewed periodically/annually) and only for as few data elements as possible (value or quantity, product or partner).	804		2014	Table 2.16	1.4.1					I&E	Is the confidentiality granted only for a limited time (e.g. the request has to be renewed periodically/annually)?
				Table 2.16	2.2.1					I&E	Please specify whether the confidentiality is granted only for as few data elements as possible (value or quantity, product or partner).
R18. It is recommended that NSAs establish national instructions which clarify application form and procedures, decision making process and time limits to keep the data confidential.	804		2014	Table 2.16	1.2.1					I&E	Please specify whether you have established national instructions which clarify the following aspects: Confidentiality application form and procedures.
				Table 2.16	1.2.1.1					I&E	Decision making process (e.g. rules for confidentiality analysis and criteria for granting, deadlines for the approval or refusal).
				Table 2.16	1.2.1.2					I&E	Setting time limits to keep the data confidential (historical data are much less sensitive for companies).
R19. It is recommended that NSAs suppress a product in such a way that as much information as possible on the commodity is published whilst still guaranteeing the confidentiality of the PSI.	807		2014	Table 2.16	2.3.1					I&E	Please specify whether you suppress a product in such a way that as much information as possible on the commodity is published whilst still guaranteeing the confidentiality of the PSI.
10. DATA TRANSMISSION											
R20. It is recommended that NSAs closely monitor that the aggregated results do not deviate from the totals of the final detailed results transmitted to Eurostat afterwards.	818		2014	Table 2.10	1.3.1.2					I	If yes, do you check that the aggregated results do not deviate from the totals of the final detailed results transmitted to Eurostat afterwards?
				Table 2.10	2.3.1.2					E	If yes, do you check that the aggregated results do not deviate from the totals of the final detailed results transmitted to Eurostat afterwards?
R21. It is recommended that NSAs apply the transmission format and rules as defined in Doc MET 400 valid for a given reference year and thus implement all amendments of the rules in the national compilation systems when agreed by the ITGS Steering Group.	814		2014	Table 2.19	4.2.1					I&E	Do you apply the transmission format and rules as defined in Doc MET 400 valid for a given reference year and thus implement all amendments of the rules in your national compilation system when agreed by the ITGS Steering Group?
R22. It is recommended that NSAs send the final revision for all the months of year N no later than October N+1.	830		2014	Table 2.14	2.3					I&E	Do you send the final revision of European figures for all the months of year N no later than October N+1?
				Table 2.14	2.4					I&E	Do you send a pre-announcement to Eurostat of revisions of a certain size between two subsequent data transmissions?
				Table 2.14	2.4.1					I&E	If yes, for revisions that are known in advance (e.g. methodological changes), please specify whether you send the pre-announcement up to 1 month before the data delivery.
				Table 2.14	2.4.2					I&E	If yes, for revisions that occur as part of the routine monthly data delivery cycle, please specify whether you send the pre-announcement...
				Table 2.14	2.4.2.1					I&E	... usually up to 1 week before the data delivery.
				Table 2.14	2.4.2.2					I&E	... at the latest on the day the data is sent.
R27. When estimates for missing intra-EU trade are compiled at CN8 level, it is recommended that NSAs estimate and transmit to Eurostat not only the statistical value but as well the net mass and the supplementary quantity wherever relevant.	827		New 2016	Table 2.5-1	2.2.1					I	Trade below the exemption threshold - When estimates for missing intra-EU trade are compiled at CN8 level, please specify whether you estimate not only the statistical value but as well the net mass and the supplementary quantity wherever relevant.
				Table 2.5-1	2.3.1					I	Trade below the exemption threshold - If yes, please specify which information is not provided to Eurostat.
				Table 2.7-1	2.2.1					I	Non/late response - When estimates for missing intra-EU trade are compiled at CN8 level, please specify whether you estimate not only the statistical value but as well the net mass and the supplementary quantity wherever relevant.
				Table 2.7-1	2.3.1					I	Non/late response - If yes, please specify which information is not provided to Eurostat.
R28. It is recommended that NSAs compile distinct estimates for trade below threshold and estimates for non/late response and keep this distinction in the data transmissions to Eurostat by using the threshold indicators 3 (trade below the exemption threshold) and 4 (non/late-response) instead of indicator 8 (no distinction between trade below threshold and non/late response).	826		New 2016	Table 2.19	4.2.2					I	Do you compile distinct estimates for trade below threshold and for non/late response?
				Table 2.19	4.2.2.2					I	If yes, please specify whether you keep this distinction in the data transmissions to Eurostat by using the threshold indicators 3 (trade below the exemption threshold) and 4 (non/late-response) instead of indicator 8 (no distinction between trade below threshold and non/late response).

Reporting country: GREECE

I. QUALITY INDICATORS RELATING TO THE INTRASTAT LEGISLATION

Please report the missing information.

Please introduce changes only if the information needs to be corrected, updated or completed.

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED		SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments	
1. RELEVANCE													
1.1	Statistical information not currently available but needed by users		See Table 1.1&7.1 in annex										
1.2	Statistical information required by the legislation but not or partially provided	Aggregated data - Number of incomplete monthly datasets over the reference year		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Identification of incomplete datasets		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Aggregated data - Data completeness rate		100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	
		Identification of missing cells		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Detailed data - Number of missing variables		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		List of variables		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	TEC data -	Number of missing mandatory datasets			0	0	0	0	0	0			
		List of missing datasets			-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2. ACCURACY - Coverage													
2.1	Trade by enterprise characteristics - Matching rate between trade and business registers	Number of enterprises successfully matched	Arrival		63,5%	89,2%	99,6%	99,0%	99,8%	99,6%			
			Dispatch		75,6%	93,2%	99,7%	99,4%	99,8%	99,6%			
		Trade value successfully matched	Arrival		87,6%	98,4%	98,7%	96,8%	96,7%	95,8%			
			Dispatch		84,3%	98,5%	96,0%	97,9%	97,9%	97,6%			
2.2	Measures taken in order to ensure the data exhaustiveness		See Table 2.2 I (a) in annex										
	Measures and practices for specific goods or movements		See Table 2.2 I (b) in annex										
2.3	Share of collected data in detailed data	Arrival	97,9%	97,6%	96,9%	97,1%	96,9%	96,5%	96,2%	95,7%			
		Dispatch	98,7%	97,3%	97,0%	97,6%	98,0%	98,0%	97,7%	97,2%			
2.4	Share of estimated trade below the exemption threshold	Arrival	1,1%	1,6%	2,1%	2,3%	2,5%	2,7%	3,1%	3,6%			
		Dispatch	0,6%	0,8%	1,1%	1,2%	1,2%	1,2%	1,4%	1,4%			
2.5	Method to compile estimates for trade below the exemption threshold	Product and partner breakdown		HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC		
		Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)		v	v	v	v	v	v	v	v		
		Other aspects		See Table 2.5-I in annex									
2.6	Share of estimated trade for non/late response	Arrival	1,0%	0,9%	1,1%	0,6%	0,6%	0,9%	0,8%	0,7%			
		Dispatch	0,7%	1,8%	1,9%	1,3%	0,8%	0,8%	0,9%	1,4%			
2.7	Method to compile estimates for non/late response	Product and partner breakdown		HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC	HS2/PC		
		Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)		v	v	v	v	v	v	v	v		
		Other aspects		See Table 2.7-I in annex									
2.8	Statistical value estimation	"Total statistical value" adjustment:		Arrival	0,4%	1,2%	1,3%	1,5%	1,5%	1,6%	1,8%	1,5%	
		Discrepancy between the total statistical value and the total invoiced value		Dispatch	1,8%	1,9%	1,8%	1,7%	1,4%	1,6%	1,4%	1,5%	
		"Statistical value collected" adjustment:		Arrival	0,4%	1,2%	1,3%	1,5%	1,6%	1,6%	1,8%	1,5%	
		Discrepancy between the total statistical value and the total invoiced value reported by the non exempted PSIs		Dispatch	1,8%	1,9%	1,8%	1,7%	1,4%	1,6%	1,8%	1,5%	

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED		SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments		
2,17	Confidentiality in TEC data - Percentage of confidential cells	1. Trade by activity sector and type of trader	Arrival		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%				
			Dispatch		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%				
		2. Trade by activity sector and enterprise size class	Arrival		0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%			
			Dispatch		0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%			
		3. Concentration of trade value by activity sector	Arrival		0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%			
			Dispatch		0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%			
		4. Trade by partner country and activity sector	Arrival		0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Dispatch		0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		5. Trade by number of partner countries and activity sector	Arrival		6,3%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Dispatch		3,1%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		6. Trade by commodity and activity sector	Arrival		0,0%	0,0%	8,4%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
Dispatch			0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%				
7. Trade by activity sector and enterprise size class for traders below the exemption threshold	Arrival		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	0,0%	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
	Dispatch		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	0,0%	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
8. Trade by activity sector and type of ownership	Arrival		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
	Dispatch		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
9. Trade by exports intensity	Arrival		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
	Dispatch		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
10. Trade by activity sector	Arrival		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
	Dispatch		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
11. Trade by partner country and size-class	Arrival		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
	Dispatch		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)				
2,18	Confidentiality practices for TEC data		See Table 2.18 in annex											
2. ACCURACY - Control procedures														
2,19	Control procedures		See Table 2.19 in annex											
2,20	Share of electronic declarations in total trade (in terms of value)	Arrival	70,3%	73,8%	78,2%	82,3%	90,7%	95,4%	97,9%	98,3%				
		Dispatch	75,2%	78,4%	82,2%	85,3%	91,9%	92,3%	93,5%	94,8%				
3. TIMELINESS AND PUNCTUALITY														
3,1	Time lag between end of reference period and date of dissemination of first results by Eurostat		48 days	48 days	48 days	48 days	46 days	46 days	46 days	46 days				
3,2	Time lag between end of reference period and date of transmission of first results to Eurostat	Aggregated data - Average monthly time lag		40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	38 day(s)	38 day(s)			
		Detailed data - Average monthly time lag		56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)	56 day(s)	38 day(s)			
		Trade by enterprise characteristics			18 months & 4 days	19 months & 0 days	18 months & 1 days	18 months & 18 days	18 months	17 months & 27 days				
3,3	Punctuality of data transmission - Number of delayed deliveries	Aggregated data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries		0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0			
		Detailed data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0			
		Trade by enterprise characteristics - Number of delayed data deliveries			1	1	1	1	0	0				
3,4	Punctuality of data transmission - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	Aggregated data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries		n.a. (no late delivery)	4 day(s)	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)			
		Detailed data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries		n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)			
		Trade by enterprise characteristics - Average delay of the delayed deliveries			4 day(s)	30 day(s)	1 day(s)	18 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)				
4. ACCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY														
4,1	Dissemination channels of European ITGS at national level		See Table 4.1&4.2 in annex											
4,2	Metadata accompanying European ITGS at national level													
5. COMPARABILITY - Over space														
5,1	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU detailed data (EL flow versus mirrored flow)	Arrival	64,8%	65,0%	62,3%	61,4%	61,8%	61,0%	60,2%	63,1%				
		Dispatch	81,0%	80,7%	77,6%	77,5%	72,1%	73,6%	67,0%	70,1%				
5,2	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU aggregated data		Arrival	-0,7%	0,8%	-1,8%	-2,2%	-0,2%	-2,0%	-1,7%	-1,7%			

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED		SUB-ITEMS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments		
5.2		(EL flow versus mirrored flow - The negative sign means that the mirror flow value is higher.)	Dispatch	11,9%	9,2%	7,7%	5,8%	2,9%	6,0%	9,7%	10,7%		
5.3		Methodological reasons for intra-EU asymmetries	Item documented by Eurostat (see Quality Handbook Section on reconciliation of intra-EU asymmetries)										
5.4		Methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and European ITGS	See Table 5.4 in annex										
5. COMPARABILITY - Over time													
5.5		Changes in nomenclatures	Item to be documented by Eurostat										
5.6		Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact on European ITGS	Item to be documented by Eurostat										
5. COMPARABILITY - Across domains													
5.7		Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains	Item to be documented by Eurostat										
6. COHERENCE													
6.1		Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources	Item to be documented by Eurostat										
7. ASSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS													
7.1		Degree of users' satisfaction	See Table 1.1&7.1 in annex										
8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN													
8.1	Thresholds	Exemption thresholds (in national currency)	Arrival	75.000	100.000	130.000	130.000	115.000	100.000	100.000	150.000		
			Dispatch	65.000	75.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	
		Simplification thresholds (in national currency)	Arrival	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
			Dispatch	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	threshold	
		Up to 2013 - Statistical value thresholds From 2014 onwards - Optional variables thresholds (in national currency)	Arrival	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Dispatch	exemption		exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption	exemption			
		Calculation method	See Table 8.1 in annex										
8.2	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations below the simplification threshold	Arrival	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
		Dispatch	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8.3	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations above the statistical value threshold	Arrival	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
		Dispatch	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8.4	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations including the statistical value	Arrival	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
		Dispatch	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
8.5	Percentage of intra-EU traders exempted from Intrastat declarations	Arrival	63,8%	70,2%	77,3%	79,0%	79,0%	79,7%	81,4%	85,3%			
		Dispatch	66,6%	68,9%	74,6%	75,1%	75,2%	76,5%	78,8%	78,8%			
		Total trade	66,4%	71,7%	78,1%	79,3%	79,4%	80,2%	82,0%	84,7%			
8.6	Percentage of PSI allowed to use Intrastat simplified declarations	Arrival	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
		Dispatch	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
		Total trade	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)	n.a. (no thres.)		
8.7	Percentage of PSI exempted from the reporting of the statistical value	Arrival	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Dispatch	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Total trade	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
8.8	National practice in terms of net mass	Simplification applied to all/part/none of the CN8 codes with a supplementary unit		None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None		
		Share in total trade of records with estimated net mass	Arrival			0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Dispatch			0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		Estimation method and other information		See Table 8.8&8.9 in annex									
8.9		List of all simplification measures	See Table 8.8&8.9 in annex										
8.10		List of optional data	See Table 8.10-1 in annex										

: Information not available

n.a. : Non applicable or not relevant

TEC: Trade by Enterprise Characteristics

Reporting country: GREECE

II. QUALITY INDICATORS RELATING TO THE EXTRASTAT LEGISLATION

Please report the missing information.

Please introduce changes only if the information needs to be corrected, updated or completed.

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED		SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments	
1. RELEVANCE													
1,1	Statistical information not currently available but needed by users		See Table 1.1&7.1 in annex										
1,2	Statistical information required by the legislation but not or partially provided	Aggregated data -	Number of incomplete monthly datasets over the reference year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
			Identification of incomplete datasets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Aggregated data -	Data completeness rate	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	
			Identification of missing cells	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Detailed data -	Number of missing variables	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			List of variables	Internal mode of transport	Internal mode of transport	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	TEC data -	Number of missing mandatory datasets				0	0	0	0	0			
		List of missing datasets				-	-	-	-	-	-		
	TIC data -	Number of missing records (out of 32)				0		0		0			
		Identification of missing records				-		-		-			
	TIC data -	Data completeness rate			100,0%			100,0%		100,0%			
		Identification of missing cells			-			-		-			
2. ACCURACY - Coverage													
2,1	Trade by enterprise characteristics - Matching rate between trade and business registers	Number of enterprises successfully matched	Import			64,1%	93,9%	91,8%	88,6%	92,7%			
			Export			73,7%	95,5%	91,1%	83,0%	91,2%			
		Trade value successfully matched	Import			90,7%	99,8%	99,1%	99,5%	97,5%			
			Export			92,9%	97,3%	97,3%	98,7%	98,9%			
2,2	Measures taken in order to ensure the data exhaustiveness		See Table 2.2 E (a) in annex										
	Measures and practices for specific goods or movements		See Table 2.2 E (b) in annex										
2,3	Share of collected data in detailed data	Import		100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
		Export		100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%		
2,4	Share of estimated trade below the statistical threshold	Import		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)		
		Export		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)		
2,5	Method to compile estimates for trade below the statistical threshold	Product and partner breakdown		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)		
		Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	
		Other aspects		See Table 2.5-E in annex									
2,6	Share of estimated trade for delayed and incomplete records	Import		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)		
		Export		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)		
2,7	Method to compile estimates for delayed and incomplete records	Product and partner breakdown		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)		
		Indicators estimated (v: value; n: net mass; su: supplementary quantity)		n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	n.a. (no est.)	
		Other aspects		See Table 2.7-E in annex									
2,10	Method to compile aggregated data		See Table 2.10 in annex										

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED		SUB-ITEMS		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments	
2.11	Aggregated data versus detailed data	Aggregated data versus last version of detailed data	Import	44,1%	43,8%	71,7%	63,3%	39,7%	2,3%	2,2%	-0,3%		
			Export	38,4%	38,8%	65,3%	15,5%	16,6%	3,8%	-0,4%	0,3%		
		Aggregated data versus first version of detailed data	Import	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	
			Export	0,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	
2. ACCURACY - Revision													
2.12	Last version of detailed data versus first version	Annual revision rate	Import	44,0%	43,8%	71,7%	63,3%	39,7%	2,3%	2,2%	-0,3%		
			Export	38,1%	38,8%	65,3%	15,5%	16,7%	3,8%	-0,4%	0,4%		
		MAPE1 (mean absolute percentage error)	Import	44,4%	46,1%	73,8%	67,8%	45,3%	3,2%	2,4%	1,0%		
			Export	38,8%	38,9%	66,2%	16,5%	17,5%	3,9%	0,6%	0,4%		
		Upward revisions	Import	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,50	0,67	0,33		
			Export	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,33	0,92		
		Directional reliability	Import	0,25	1,00	0,25	0,58	0,50	0,92	1,00	1,00		
			Export	0,42	0,83	0,25	0,83	0,75	1,00	1,00	1,00		
		Number of months concerned by level 2 revisions	Import	12	12	12	11	10	1	0	0		
			Export	9	12	12	4	2	0	0	0		
		Number of months concerned by level 1 revisions	Import	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0		
			Export	3	0	0	3	7	1	0	0		
Number of months concerned by level 0 revisions	Import	0	0	0	0	1	11	12	12				
	Export	0	0	0	5	3	11	12	12				
2.13	Revision rates at detailed level	Annual revision rate	Import	47,5%	45,3%	74,7%	63,7%	39,8%	2,3%	2,2%	0,6%		
			Export	38,1%	39,2%	65,3%	15,5%	17,3%	3,8%	3,6%	1,9%		
		MAPE2 (median absolute percentage error)	Import	0,5%	0,4%	0,3%	0,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,2%	0,0%		
			Export	0,4%	0,4%	0,3%	5,7%	2,2%	0,0%	0,3%	0,1%		
		Upward revisions	Import	0,73	0,67	0,65	0,70	0,49	0,40	0,79	0,47		
			Export	0,73	0,67	0,71	0,91	0,76	0,52	0,17	0,24		
		Directional reliability	Import	0,96	0,98	0,96	0,97	0,99	1,00	0,99	0,99		
			Export	0,96	0,96	0,85	0,86	0,94	0,95	0,95	0,96		
Code coverage	Import	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00			
	Export	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00			
2.14	Revision policy for detailed data	See Table 2.14 in annex											

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED	SUB-ITEMS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments		
2. ACCURACY - Confidentiality												
2.15	Confidentiality in detailed data - Impact in figures	Number of 8-digit codes affected	Import	10	10	9	10	8	10	31	23	
			Export	12	12	15	15	14	12	14	12	
		Share of confidential records in total trade value	Import	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,5%	0,0%	
			Export	1,1%	1,3%	1,2%	1,7%	1,1%	1,1%	1,2%	1,6%	
		Share of confidential records in total net mass	Import	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	
			Export	0,3%	0,2%	0,2%	0,2%	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%	0,1%	
2.16	Confidentiality practices for detailed data	See Table 2.16 in annex										
2.17	Confidentiality in TEC data - Percentage of confidential cells	All datasets	Import		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		1. Trade by activity sector and type of trader	Import		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		2. Trade by activity sector and enterprise size class	Import		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		3. Concentration of trade value by activity sector	Import		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		4. Trade by partner country and activity sector	Import		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		5. Trade by number of partner countries and activity sector	Import		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		6. Trade by commodity and activity sector	Import		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
			Export		n.a. (no data)	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%		
		7. Trade by activity sector and enterprise size class for traders below the exemption threshold	Import		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
			Export		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
		8. Trade by activity sector and type of ownership	Import		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
			Export		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
		9. Trade by exports intensity	Import		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
			Export		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
		10. Trade by activity sector	Import		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
			Export		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	
11. Trade by partner country and size-class	Import		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)			
	Export		n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)	n.a. (no data)			
2.18	Confidentiality practices for TEC data	See Table 2.18 in annex										
2. ACCURACY - Control procedures												
2.19	Control procedures	See Table 2.19 in annex										

ITEMS TO BE REPORTED		SUB-ITEMS	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Optional comments
3. TIMELINESS AND PUNCTUALITY											
3,1	Time lag between end of reference period and date of dissemination of first results by Eurostat		48 days	48 days	48 days	48 days	46 days	46 days	46 days	46 days	
3,2	Time lag between end of reference period and date of transmission of first results to Eurostat	Aggregated data - Average monthly time lag	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	38 day(s)	38 day(s)	
		Detailed data - Average monthly time lag	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	40 day(s)	38 day(s)	38 day(s)	
		Trade by enterprise characteristics			19 months & 0 days	18 months & 1 days	18 months & 18 days	18 months	17 months & 27 days		
		Trade by invoicing currency			98 day(s)		90 day(s)		89 day(s)		
3,3	Punctuality of data transmission - Number of delayed deliveries	Aggregated data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
		Detailed data - Number of monthly delayed data deliveries	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
		Trade by enterprise characteristics - Number of delayed data deliveries			1	1	1	0	0		
		Trade by invoicing currency - Number of delayed data deliveries			1		0		0		
3,4	Punctuality of data transmission - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	Aggregated data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	n.a. (no late delivery)	4 day(s)	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	
		Detailed data - Average delay of the delayed deliveries	1 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	3 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)	
		Trade by enterprise characteristics - Average delay of the delayed deliveries			30 day(s)	1 day(s)	18 day(s)	n.a. (no late delivery)	n.a. (no late delivery)		
		Trade by invoicing currency - Average delay of the delayed deliveries			9 day(s)		n.a. (no late delivery)		n.a. (no late delivery)		
4. ACCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY											
4,1	Dissemination channels of European ITGS at national level		See Table 4.1&4.2 in annex								
4,2	Metadata accompanying European ITGS at national level										
5. COMPARABILITY - Over space											
5,4	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and European ITGS		See Table 5.4 in annex								
5. COMPARABILITY - Over time											
5,5	Changes in nomenclatures		Item to be documented by Eurostat								
5,6	Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact on European ITGS		Item to be documented by Eurostat								
5. COMPARABILITY - Across domains											
5,7	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains		Item to be documented by Eurostat								
6. COHERENCE											
6,1	Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources		Item to be documented by Eurostat								
7. ASSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS											
7,1	Degree of users' satisfaction		See Table 1.1&7.1 in annex								
8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN											
8,1	Thresholds	Statistical threshold (No threshold' means that all customs declarations are processed in detail)	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	No threshold	
8,9	List of all simplification measures		See Table 8.8&8.9 in annex								
8,10	List of optional data		See Table 8.10-E in annex								

: Information not available

n.a.: Non applicable or not relevant

TEC: Trade by Enterprise Characteristics

TIC: Trade by Invoicing Currency

ANNEX 1

INFORMATION ON METHODS AND PRACTICES

Table 1.1&7.1 - Statistical information needed but not available and users' satisfaction

Please report only the changes compared with the previous year

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. Consultation of users or user satisfaction surveys								
1.1 Regular survey? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.1.1 If yes, please specify the periodicity	n.a.	N/C	N/C	Annual	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 Latest survey - Date and contents	No	N/C	N/C	2016	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. Estimated degree of user satisfaction* *: between 0 for totally unsatisfied up to 5 for fully satisfied	n.a.	N/C	N/C	High degree	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. Reasons for users' dissatisfaction	n.a.	N/C	N/C	No dissatisfaction has been expressed	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4. Statistical information requested by the users	Users request are satisfied by electronic data transmission	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	ELSTAT's portal had been enriched with additional detailed tables per CN codes by country data. Additionally users request are satisfied by electronic data transmission	ELSTAT's portal had been further enriched with additional detailed tables per CN codes by country data	ELSTAT's portal had been further enriched with additional detailed tables per CN codes by country data
5. Supplementary information or comments	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
6. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Elaboration of the user's need for the further improvement of the Portal of ELSTAT by predefined tables	Elaboration of the user's needs for the further improvement of the Portal of ELSTAT by predefined tables	Elaboration of the user's needs for the further improvement of the Portal of ELSTAT by predefined tables	Elaboration of the user's needs for the further improvement of the Portal of ELSTAT by predefined tables
7. Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.2.1 (a) - MEASURES IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE EXHAUSTIVENESS OF TRADE MOVEMENTS COVERED BY THE INTRASTAT LEGISLATION

GREECE	Please report only the changes									
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
1. INWARD PROCESSING										
Can you assure that your national customs provides you with the statistical information on arrivals/dispatches of goods which are covered by the customs procedure of inward processing (art. 5 para 2 Reg. (EC) no 638/2004)...										
1.1. ... moving while covered through a "Single Authorisation"? Yes/No	n.a since it was not introduced in Greece	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C
1.1.1 If yes, please specify also the data and the delays	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No delays	N/C
1.1.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2. ... moving while covered through the customs transit procedure? Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C
1.2.1 If yes, please specify also the data and the delays	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No delays, the data are transmitted in the framework of the monthly data transmission	N/C
1.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results										
1.4 Optional comments										
2. PSIs - MONITORING AND SUPPORT										
2.1 Have you implemented an Intrastat register? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.1 If yes, please specify how this register is managed (update, linkage to other registers, etc)	The Intrastat register is based from the information submitted by the PSI's through Intrastat Declaration	N/C	N/C		In the framework of the Action Plan fiscal data regarding VIES recapitulative statements along with VAT register data are transmitted in order to update Intrastat Register on a monthly basis	The data are updated on a monthly basis from Intrastat register, VIES and VAT register, Customs Register	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.1.1 Please specify whether the information about the liability of the companies to report for Intrastat is updated at least once per month. Yes/No					Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.2 If yes, how this register is used for quality purposes?	The register was used for insuring completeness of data	N/C	N/C		For the threshold's estimation, for VIES adj for both flows, for reminding procedures and for ensuring coverage of data.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.3 If no, please explain briefly why and specify any action plan.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 Submission deadline for Intrastat declarations - in number of days (Please specify if the deadline is expressed in calendar days or working days.)										
Deadline for paper declarations	T+30	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
Deadline for electronic declarations	T+26	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	T+30 since May 2014	N/C
2.3 Have you implemented an automatic reminder system? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		There was not an automatic reminder system, but reminders were reminded by phone	N/C	A monthly reminding system has been developed and implemented	N/C
2.3.1 If yes, please describe briefly how and when (after how many days) this system reacts and precise whether some PSIs are specifically targeted.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	T+33 to PSI's above threshold which have submitted a VIES declaration and not an Intrastat declaration. Once per year to all PSI's above threshold in order to remind their obligation to report to Intrastat	N/C
2.3.2 If yes, how many reminders were sent?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Once per month and one per year, totally thirteen	N/C
2.3.3 If no, please explain briefly why and specify any action plan.	No availability of technical abilities, shortcomings of IT System	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	n.a.	N/C
2.4 Do you have other procedures than automatic reminders to assure fulfilment of the Intrastat reporting obligations (phone calls, visit to companies, etc)? Yes/No										
2.4.1 If yes, please describe briefly these procedures and their purposes. Please precise whether some PSIs are specifically targeted. In particular, do you ensure a specific follow-up for particular PSIs like for instance the biggest ones?	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes		N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4.1.1 Please specify whether the necessary measures are taken to get the Intrastat declarations of the main PSIs in due time. Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	The most significant PSI's were contacting by phone and reminded for their statistical obligations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4.1.1.1 Please specify whether the necessary measures are taken to get the Intrastat declarations of the main PSIs in due time. Yes/No						Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.5 Have you implemented a penalty system? Yes/No										
2.5.1 If yes, how many proceedings have been initiated?	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.5.2 If yes, how many fines were imposed?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.5.3 If no, please explain briefly why and specify any action plan.	Partially this is performed by the Fiscal Authorities under the framework of auditing	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6 Which kind of support is provided to PSIs?										
2.6 Support provided to PSIs	Helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquiries by telephone	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6.1 Do you instruct trade operators to correctly fill in Intrastat declarations? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6.1.1 If yes, do you provide your PSIs with the necessary manuals? Yes/No	Yes, helpdesk both for the Web application and for the inquiries by telephone	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6.2 Do you maintain a helpdesk for the trade operators to correctly fill in Intrastat declarations? Yes/No	Replies by email	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6.3 Which other kind of support is provided to PSIs?	Guidelines on the web site	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.7 Any other measure implemented? Yes/No - If yes, please specify.										
2.8 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results										
2.9 Optional comments										

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
3. VAT/VIES DATA - ACCESS AND USE								
3.1 Do you have access to VAT data return? Yes/No	For these years not yet collected	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes, is accessible but not used in production since VIES data are available for arrivals and dispatches	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.1.1 If yes, with which periodicity (monthly, quarterly, etc)?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.1.2 If yes, how many days after taxable period?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.1.3 If yes, do you compare Intrastat data with VAT data for quality purposes? Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2 Do you have access to national VIES data? Yes/No	Yes, since the year 2012 data became available for the years 2004 and onwards	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2.1 If yes, with which periodicity (monthly, quarterly, etc)?	Quarterly	N/C	Monthly	N/C	Monthly	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2.2 If yes, how many days after taxable period?	T+32	N/C	N/C	N/C	T+31	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2.3 If yes, do you have data on both VIES supplies and acquisitions? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2.4 If yes, do you compare Intrastat data with VIES data for quality purposes? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.3 Do you have access to non-national VIES data (i.e. VIES data relating to your partner Member States' supplies)? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.3.1 If yes, with which periodicity (monthly, quarterly, etc)?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.3.2 If yes, how many days after taxable period?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.4 Do you use other information than VAT or VIES data to monitor and control data? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.4.1 If yes, please describe briefly the information used.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.5 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3.6 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.2.1 (b) - INTRASTAT - Measures and practices for specific goods or movements

GREECE		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. GAS									
1.1 What is the data source?	PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 On arrival, are you able to identify the Member State of consignment of the gas? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 On dispatch, are you able to identify the Member State of destination? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4.1 Please specify whether you have established methodologies for the estimation of transit trade of gas where the collection of data is not possible. Yes/No									
1.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.7 How do you compile the net mass?	The supplementary net mass is declared by PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.8 Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. ELECTRICITY									
2.1 What is the data source?	PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 On arrival, are you able to identify the Member State of consignment of the electricity? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 On dispatch, are you able to identify the Member State of destination? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit trade is not possible in Greece	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Statistical value is declared by PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	The supplementary quantity is declared by PSI's	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.7 Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. MILITARY GOODS									
3.1 Are the goods intended for military use included in data transmitted to Eurostat (at least total monthly statistical value of dispatches and arrivals)? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4. VESSELS AND AIRCRAFT									
4.1 Have you introduced, at national level, the obligation to complete an intrastat declaration for trade transactions involving changes of economic ownership, whatever the contractual arrangements? Yes/No	n.a	N/C	Yes, partially	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	In the framework of the better implementation of the concept of economic ownership on trade in ships, ELSTAT has undertaken specific actions.
4.2 Have you agreed on a regular information exchange on entries into and removals from the ships and aircraft registers with competent national authorities? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	ELSTAT has undertaken an investigation for the availability of data provided by administrative sources as well as commercial data sources (national and international). Communication with Lloyd's data base, IHS data base as well as Ministry of Maritime has been realised in order to better elaborate the availability of the data required under the
4.3 Have you acquainted yourself with information on how your national legislation defines the accounting standards, in particular those related to leasing arrangements, as they can be very helpful in determining a change in economic ownership? Yes/No	n.a	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	
5. GOODS DELIVERED TO VESSELS AND AIRCRAFT									
5.1 Do you use Intrastat system for collection of data on intra-EU supplies to vessels and aircraft only in case the Customs is not providing with the necessary data? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
6. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION									
6.1 When using additional data sources for specific movements, do you assign to an economic operator the identification number which is linked to the VAT ID number system? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
6.2 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	The exhaustiveness of the data were investigated with the relevant National Authorities. The issue has been concluded within December 2013 (JOSGAP, ACTION PLAN FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF EXTERNAL TRADE STATISTICS)	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C			
6.3 Optional comments									

: = Information not available
n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)
N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.2.E (a) - MEASURES IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE EXHAUSTIVENESS OF TRADE MOVEMENTS COVERED BY THE EXTRASTAT LEGISLATION

GREECE		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Please report only the changes									
1. SASP (Single Authorisation for Simplified Procedures) - Where you are authorising Member State (= the SASP-authorisation was issued by your customs)...									
1.1. ... Can you assure that all imports relating to customs declarations covered by SASP are included in your data submissions to Eurostat? Yes/No	SASP is not applicable in Greece	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C
1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2. ... Can you assure that all exports relating to customs declarations covered by SASP are included in your data submissions to Eurostat? Yes/No	SASP is not applicable in Greece	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C
1.2.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results									
1.4 Optional comments									
2. Trade for which the Customs declaration is defined as data source (Art. 4 para 1 Reg. (EC) No 471/2009, thus excluding specific movements)									
2.1 CUSTOMS DECLARATION - Do you exclusively use the customs declaration as data source for the goods falling under the scope of Extrastat and which are cleared for the customs procedures mentioned in article 3 para 1 of Regulation (EC) no 471/2009?									
2.1.1 On export? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.2 On import? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.1.2.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 DECISIONS TAKEN BY CUSTOMS - Can you assure that decisions taken by customs and amending or changing statistical data are transmitted to you?									
2.2.1 Decisions taken during the customs clearance process									
2.2.1.1 On export? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.1.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.1.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.2 On import? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.2.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2 Decisions taken after the customs clearance process (please consider only decisions no later than 2 years after the release of the goods into the customs procedure)									
2.2.2.1 On export? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.1.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.1.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.1.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.2 On import? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.2.1 If yes, do you systematically include such information in the compilation process? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.2.1.1 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.2.2.2 If no, please explain the shortcomings/reasons	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 Correlation table of customs procedures to statistical procedures									
2.3.1 Are all transactions with all customs procedures applicable transmitted to you by Customs, allowing for full statistical control of relevant transactions? Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
2.3.2 Have you established a correlation table linking customs procedures to special (and if needed to general) trade systems and to statistical procedures? Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C
2.4 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
2.5 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.2.E (b) - EXTRASTAT - Measures and practices for specific goods or movements

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. GAS								
1.1 What is the data source?	Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 On import are you able to identify the country of origin of the gas? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 On export are you able to identify the country of destination? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit is not applicable in Greece	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4.1 Please specify whether you have established methodologies for the estimation of transit trade of gas where the collection of data is not possible. Yes/No								
1.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Mandatory field in Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	Mandatory field in Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.7 How do you compile the net mass?	Mandatory field in Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.8 Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. ELECTRICITY								
2.1 What is the data source?	Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 On import are you able to identify the country of origin of the electricity? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 On export are you able to identify the country of destination? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4 Are you able to exclude transit trade? Yes/No	Transit is excluded by Customs	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.5 How do you compile the statistical value?	Mandatory field in Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.6 How do you compile the supplementary quantity?	Mandatory field in Customs Declarations	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.7 Do you compare ITGS quantitative data with the data of the energy statistics, grid operators or any other available quantitative data in order to verify whether further reconciliation is needed and to identify exact reasons of discrepancies? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes
3. MILITARY GOODS								
3.1 Are the goods intended for military use included in data transmitted to Eurostat (at least total monthly statistical value of exports and imports)? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Specific actions have been undertaken from ELSTAT in order to reassure the data completeness on a monthly basis	N/C
4. VESSELS AND AIRCRAFT								
4.1 Have you agreed on a regular information exchange on entries into and removals from the ships and aircraft registers with competent national authorities? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4.2 Have you acquainted yourself with information on how your national legislation defines the accounting standards, in particular those related to leasing arrangements, as they can be very helpful in determining a change in economic ownership? Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
5.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
5.2 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

[N/C = No new information or no change](#)

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
4.2 Optional comments								

:= Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
3.2 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.7-I - INTRASTAT - Estimates for non/late response

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. COMPILATION METHOD								
1.1 Brief description	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. Backwards estimates compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities. The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold since non response relates mostly to small companies.	N/C	N/C	N/C	The Adj. Method has been applied from the year 2012. The monthly data of Intra EU transactions, are adjusted to the total trade transactions with EU countries, (including the transactions below the statistical threshold, on the basis of the Recapitulative Statements of Intra-EU Deliveries and Acquisitions that are submitted by the enterprises in the	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 Data sources and purposes	VIES data for both flows	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.1 Please specify whether the total trade of PSIs late in submitting their Intrastat declarations is estimated by using the most reliable data sources — current month's or historical administrative data (VAT or VIES), current month's or historical Intrastat data — available at the time the estimation process should be launched. Yes/No	Backwards estimates have been compiled for the years 2004-2011, based on VIES data transmitted by the fiscal authorities				Yes, VIES data, are provided on a monthly basis for both flows	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.2 Please specify whether historical Intrastat data of the PSIs late in submitting their Intrastat declarations or, if not available or not relevant, Intrastat data collected from the most similar traders are used to allocate the estimated total trade.								
1.2.2.1 For the allocation by product. Yes/No	The values of non response are allocated per CN2 and partner country according to the pattern of small companies above the threshold (VIES) since non response relates mostly to small companies.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.2.2 For the allocation by partner Member State. Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.2.2.1 If no, please specify whether the pattern captured from VIES data is applied for the allocation by partner Member State. Yes/No	Yes. SITC 1 and partner country level	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Do you compile estimates for partial response? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	No. In cases where VIES and Intrastat data differ, communication with the relevant PSI's is performed on a monthly basis and modified declarations are submitted if needed.	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4 Do you compile estimates for VAT fraud?	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. RESULTS								
2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	HS2 / partner countries	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 Indicators	Trade value	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1 When estimates for missing intra-EU trade are compiled at CN8 level, please specify whether you estimate not only the statistical value but as well the net mass and the supplementary quantity wherever relevant. Yes/No					No	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 European data including less details in terms of products or indicators? Yes/No	No. Community and national data are the same	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3.1 If yes, please specify which information is not provided to Eurostat.								
2.4 Estimates compiled according to other nomenclatures (SITC, CPA, etc.)? Yes/No	Yes. SITC 1 and partner country level	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD								

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
3.1 Monitoring of the quality	The quality of estimated data are closely monitored montly within the standard quality framework of the production chain	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2 Advantage(s)	VIES data are available for both flows	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.3 Inconvenient(s)	Not possible to distribute by commodities since VIES data don't provide information on breakdown by commodities	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
4.2 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.7-E - EXTRASTAT - Estimates for delayed and incomplete records

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. COMPILATION METHOD								
1.1 Compilation of estimates? <i>Yes/No</i>	No, but International Trade in Goods Statistics had been revised for the period 2002 - 2014. The revision of the relevant data series resulted from the integration of additional data transmitted from administrative sources.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 Brief description of the method	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	The provisional data from the "incomplete customs declarations" are incorporated in the monthly data on trade with third countries and, after having been completed, they are replaced by the final data.	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Data sources (reported data, specific survey, others sources) and purposes	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Customs data	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. RESULTS								
2.1 Nomenclature and level of details	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	CN8 per country	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 Indicators	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD								
3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.1.1 <i>If yes</i> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	The data quality is monitored on a monthly basis. All relevant records are validated and communication with Customs Authorities is elaborated on a monthly basis. Additionally customs codes are checked on a monthly basis.	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Data are transmitted in time to ELSTAT by the customs authorities, at about T + 26 days after the reference month. The revision rates are maintained in low level.	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
4.2 Optional comments								

:= Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.9 - INTRASTAT - Collection and estimation of the statistical value

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. COMPILATION METHOD								
1.1 Exemption of some or all PSIs? <i>All/Part/None</i>	None	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 Compilation of estimates for non-collected data? <i>Yes/No</i>	No.The statistical value is collected	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Brief description of the method	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4 Data sources (<i>reported data, specific survey, others sources</i>) and purposes	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.5 Information used to determine coefficients: flow, product, partner country, mean of transport, delivery terms, others?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.6 Update of coefficients: please describe your practice (<i>frequency, etc</i>)	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. RESULTS								
2.1 Which is the level of coefficients?	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. EVALUATION OF THE METHOD								
3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.1.1 <i>If yes, please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.</i>	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
4.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
4.2 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
2.3 EVALUATION OF THE METHOD								
2.3.1 Do you monitor the data quality? <i>Yes/No</i>	Yes. Data quality is monitored however there is no special method for compiling aggregated data	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3.1.1 <i>If yes</i> , please specify the periodicity and the result of this monitoring.	Monthly	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3.1.2 <i>If yes</i> , do you check that the aggregated results do not deviate from the totals of the final detailed results transmitted to Eurostat afterwards? <i>Yes/No</i>	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3.2 Please specify the main advantage(s) of your method.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Monitoring of the revisions and low revision rates	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3.3 Please specify the main inconvenient(s) of your method.								
2.4 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
2.5 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
2.2.2 For data covered by the Extrastat legislation?	The same day as national data are published	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 Do you send the final revision of European figures for all the months of year N no later than October N+1? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	Yes
2.4 Do you send a pre-announcement to Eurostat of revisions of a certain size between two subsequent data transmissions? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4.1 <u>It yes</u> , for revisions that are known in advance (e.g. methodological changes), please specify whether you send the pre-announcement up to 1 month before the data delivery. Yes/No				Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4.2 <u>It yes</u> , for revisions that occur as part of the routine monthly data delivery cycle, please specify whether you send the pre-announcement...								
2.4.2.1 ... usually up to 1 week before the data delivery. Yes/No					No, as they refer to routine revisions of published "provisional" data presenting a low revision rate	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4.2.2 ... at the latest on the day the data is sent. Yes/No					No	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3.2 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.16 - CONFIDENTIALITY PRACTICES FOR DETAILED DATA

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS								
1.1 Principle applied	Passive confidentiality	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 Initiation of the procedure by the trader: timing and information to be provided (e.g. for how long the confidentiality is requested, for which data, etc)	One year	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.1 Please specify whether you have established national instructions which clarify the following aspects:								
1.2.1.1 Confidentiality application form and procedures. Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.1.2 Decision making process (e.g. rules for confidentiality analysis and criteria for granting, deadlines for the approval or refusal). Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2.1.3 Setting time limits to keep the data confidential (historical data are much less sensitive for companies). Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Rules applied to decide whether or not the confidentiality should be granted	In the framework of passive confidentiality, the main criterion is whether the trader is dominant	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4 Are the needs for confidentiality regularly checked? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	:	:	
1.4 Period for which the confidentiality is granted								
1.4.1 Is the confidentiality granted only for a limited period of time (e.g. the next year or the next 12 months as reference periods)? Yes/No NB: This question does not relate to an expiration of the confidentiality previously granted, i.e. to the removal of the confidentiality from data that were previously made confidential.	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4.1.1 If yes, please specify for how long.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4.1.2 If no, do you monitor regularly whether the confidentiality should be still maintained for future periods? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4.1.2.1 If no, please specify your practice.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. DISSEMINATION								
2.1 Minimum level of dissemination (except for military goods): by HS2 codes if the confidentiality is thereby ensured.	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 Rules applied to determine the dissemination degree (which product level, which indicator, etc)	We disseminate information at the next product level which does not allow to identify the trader. Value and quantity is disseminated.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1 Please specify whether the confidentiality is granted only for as few data elements as possible (value or quantity, product or partner). Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 Disseminate the maximum of information at product and partner level	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3.1 Please specify whether you suppress a product in such a way that as much information as possible on the commodity is published whilst still guaranteeing the confidentiality of the PSI. Yes/No	Yew	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4 Raising confidentiality after a certain period? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.4.1 If yes, please specify after which period.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3.2 Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 2.18 - CONFIDENTIALITY PRACTICES FOR TEC DATA

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS						
1.1 Principle applied (active/passive)	Passive	N/C	N/C	N/C	Active	N/C
1.2 Which criteria are used to determine confidential cells (number of enterprises, dominance, etc)?	No criteria is defined	N/C	N/C	N/C	The confidentiality is applied with the use of IT tools according to international standards	The confidentiality is applied with the use of IT tools according to international standards
1.3. Are the criteria same for all other business related statistics or are they specific for TEC? Same/Specific	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Same	Same
1.4 Are the same criteria applied for each dataset? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	Yes
1.4.1 If no, please specify the differences.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C		
1.5 Is secondary confidentiality applied? Yes/No	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	Yes
1.5.1 If yes, please specify the criteria to determine to which cells secondary confidentiality is applied.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	The confidentiality is applied with the use of IT tools according to international standards	The confidentiality is applied with the use of IT tools according to international standards
2. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION						
2.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results						
2.2 Optional comments						

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
3.2.3 Are revised figures immediately requested from the operator? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4. FURTHER CHECKS								
4.1 Monitoring of intra-EU asymmetries								
4.1.1 Within the limit of available human resources, do you regularly monitor the asymmetries related to the main partner countries and major/key products? Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes, on an aggregate level			
4.1.1.1 If yes, please specify whether you react as quickly as possible to unexpectedly high or new asymmetries by checking the accuracy and completeness of the available national statistical data sources. Yes/No	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes, if needed			
4.2 Implementation of Doc MET 400 rules								
4.2.1 Do you apply the transmission format and rules as defined in Doc MET 400 valid for a given reference year and thus implement all amendments of the rules in your national compilation system when agreed by the ITGS Steering Group? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4.2.2 Do you compile distinct estimates for trade below threshold and for non/late response? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
4.2.2.2 If yes, please specify whether you keep this distinction in the data transmissions to Eurostat by using the threshold indicators 3 (trade below the exemption threshold) and 4 (non/late-response) instead of indicator 8 (no distinction between trade below threshold and non/late response). Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
5.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
5.2 Optional comments	All credibility and validity checks are applied both for Intra and Extra EU Trade the same way	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C			

= Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 4.1&4.2 - DISSEMINATION OF EUROPEAN ITGS - NATIONAL PRACTICES

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. Do you publish ITGS compiled according to the EU concept and definitions? <i>Yes/No</i>	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.1 <i>If yes</i> , with which periodicity and by which means?	Monthly. Press releases in T+40 and T+56 days	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Monthly. Press releases in T+38 days
1.2 <i>If yes</i> , please specify the accompanying metadata.	Short Metadata are provided along with the Press Release while more detailed metadata are provided on ELSTAT's website.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Analytical metadata in SDMX format	N/C	N/C	N/C
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
2. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3. Optional comments	National Data and Community data are the same	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

Table 5.4 - METHODOLOGICAL REASONS FOR DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN NATIONAL AND EUROPEAN ITGS

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. National concept = EU concept? <i>Yes/No</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
1.1 <i>If no</i> , please specify the methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and European figures								
1.1.1 General Trade System for national statistics - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.2 Exclusion of quasi transit from national statistics - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.3 Repairs included in national statistics - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.4 Criterion of country of origin for national arrivals - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.5 Criterion of country of consignment for national extra-EU statistics - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.6 Imports published FOB - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.7 Further exclusions from national statistics - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.8 Further integrations in national statistics	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.9 Other(s) - <i>Yes/No</i>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1.1.9.1 <i>If yes</i> , please specify	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
2. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3. Optional comments								

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 8.1 - INTRASTAT - Thresholds calculation

Please report only the changes

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. EXEMPTION THRESHOLD								
1.1 When setting the exemption threshold, do you examine whether the exemption of the PSIs below the threshold will lead to a considerable lack of information or to biased information as regards the flow, the trade with certain partner Member States and certain commodities? Yes/No	Yes, the VIES data are available since 2012 and onwards, while a backcasting process from 2011 to 2004 has been applied.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.2 Do you determine the exemption threshold in such a way that the largest number of traders is exempted from providing information to the Intrastat system, to reduce burden, and by simultaneously keeping the quality of the collected information? Yes/No	Yes, the VIES data are available since 2012 and onwards, while a backcasting process from 2011 to 2004 has been applied.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3 Determination of total trade								
1.3.1 When calculating the total trade, do you use cumulative total value based on the most recent data available at the time of calculation of thresholds over a period of at least 12 months? Yes/No	Yes, the VIES data are available since 2012 and onwards, while a backcasting process from 2011 to 2004 has been applied.	N/C	N/C	N/C	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.3.2 Do you take into account additional information provided in the paragraph 756 of the Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
1.4 Do you use the procedure described in paragraph 757 of the Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods for the determination of the level of the exemption threshold? Yes/No	Yes	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2. SIMPLIFICATION THRESHOLD								
2.1 Do you use the procedure described in paragraph 767 of the Compilers Guide on European statistics on international trade in goods for the determination of the level of the simplification threshold? Yes/No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3.2 Optional comments								

:= Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
2. EXTRASTAT								
2.1 Simplified reporting for industrial plants allowed? <i>Yes/No</i>	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2 Other simplification measures implemented? <i>Yes/No</i>	No	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.2.1 If yes, please specify briefly these measures.	n.a.	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C
2.3 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
2.4 Optional comments								

:= Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

N/C = No new information or no change

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1. OPTIONAL INTRASTAT DATA								
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION								
3.1 Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results								
3.2 Optional comments								

C: Collected
PC: Partially collected
NC: Not collected

: = Information not available
n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

Table 8.10-E - EXTRASTAT - Optional data

GREECE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Additional information
1. Member State of destination	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
2. Member State of actual export	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
3. Nature of transaction	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
4. Invoicing currency on import	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
5. Invoicing currency on export	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION									
6. Scheduled action plan, implementation date and expected results	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
7. Optional comments	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

C: Collected
PC: Partially collected
NC: Not collected

: = Information not available

n.a. = Non applicable (quality item not relevant for the national situation)

ANNEX 2

QUALITY ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED

QUALITY ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED IN THE 2016 REPORTING AND ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE

ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED		Data flows	Explanatory notes
1. RELEVANCE			
1,1	Statistical information not currently available but needed by users		This item describes the users' statistical needs not currently satisfied. The information source should be the satisfaction survey as well as the users' requests addressed to Eurostat or to the National Statistical Authority.
1,2	Statistical information required by the legislation but not or partially provided	Aggregated, detailed, TEC data and TIC data	This item shows in which extent the reporting country is compliant with the legislation in terms of statistical information to be supplied. The information source is Eurostat's data processing system which includes controls for data completeness as well as validity and credibility checks.
2. ACCURACY - Coverage			
2,1	Trade by enterprise characteristics - Matching rate between trade and business registers	TEC data	This item shows the share of traders (in total number and in total trade) with valid id-codes successfully matched with the Business Register.
2,2	Measures taken in order to ensure the data exhaustiveness	Detailed data	This item shows in which extent the data exhaustiveness is ensured.
2,3	Share of collected data in detailed data	Detailed data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the collected data accounts for. This share is compiled by using the information transmitted in section 2 of doc MET 400 data files. The collected data are flagged with the codes 1 or 2.
2,4	Share of estimated trade below the threshold (exemption threshold for Intrastat and statistical threshold for Extrastat)	Detailed data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the estimates for missing trade account for. This share is compiled by using the information transmitted in section 2 of doc MET 400 data files. The estimates are flagged with the code 3.
2,5	Method to compile estimates for trade below the threshold (exemption threshold for Intrastat and statistical threshold for Extrastat)	Detailed data	This item refers to the way adjustments are compiled in order to estimate the data missing because of the exemption threshold for Intrastat and of the statistical threshold for Extrastat. Recommendation: If estimates are compiled at 8-digit level, also quantities (net mass and supplementary quantity) should be estimated in order to avoid distorting unit values.
2,6	Share of estimated trade for non/late response for Intrastat and delayed or incomplete records for Extrastat	Detailed data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the estimates for missing trade account for. This share is compiled by using the information transmitted in section 2 of doc MET 400 data files. The estimates are flagged with the code 4.
2,7	Method to compile estimates for non or late response for Intrastat and delayed or incomplete records for Extrastat	Detailed data	This item refers to the way adjustments are compiled in order to estimate the data missing because of the non/late response for Intrastat and of incorrect/incomplete records for Extrastat. Recommendation: If estimates are compiled at 8-digit level, also quantities (net mass and supplementary quantity) should be estimated in order to avoid distorting unit values.
2,8	Statistical value estimation	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item refers to the adjustments compiled by the National Statistical Authority in order to estimate the statistical value wherever non collected from PSIs.
2,9	Method to estimate the non collected statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item refers to the method applied by the National Statistical Authority to estimate the statistical value when only the invoiced value is reported by the PSI.
2,10	Method to compile aggregated data	Detailed data	This item refers to the aggregated data to be delivered to Eurostat within 40 days after the reference month and to the way they are compiled: detailed data completed by estimates for missing data or time series analysis, method to break down the total trade values by SITC groups, etc.
2,11	Aggregated data versus detailed data	Detailed data	This item refers to the discrepancy between the total trade values transmitted within 40 days after the reference period ("aggregated data" flow) and the total trade values compiled from the detailed statistics.
Accuracy - Revision			
2,12	Last version of detailed data versus first version	Detailed data	This item refers to a comparison between the total trade values compiled from the first delivery of detailed statistics and the total trade values compiled from the last data delivery.
2,13	Revision rates at detailed level	Detailed data	This item refers to the magnitude of the revisions recorded at HS2 level when comparing data corresponding to the first and last deliveries.
2,14	Revision policy for detailed data	Detailed data	This item refers to the national practice in terms of revisions of intra and extra-EU trade data: revision frequency and transmission of revised figures to Eurostat, availability of final or quasi final figures, etc.
Accuracy - Confidentiality			
2,15	Confidentiality in detailed data - Impact in figures	Detailed data	This item shows the impact of the confidentiality practices on detailed statistics in terms of: - number of 8-digit codes affected, i.e. number of 8-digit codes for which the real information is not or partially disseminated, - trade value, i.e. global trade value of the 8-digit codes for which the indicator "trade value" has been hidden, - net mass, i.e. global net mass of the 8-digit codes for which the indicator "net mass" has been hidden.
2,16	Confidentiality practices for detailed data	Detailed data	The reporting country should describe its confidentiality practices i.e. the criteria on the basis of which the confidentiality is granted and rules fixing the dissemination degree.
2,17	Confidentiality in TEC data - Share of confidential cells	TEC data	This item shows the percentage of cells made confidential in each dataset to be provided.
2,18	Confidentiality practices for TEC data	TEC data	The reporting country should describe its confidentiality practices as regards data by enterprise characteristics: criteria on the basis of which the confidentiality applies and rules fixing the dissemination degree.
Accuracy - Control procedures			
2,19	Control procedures	Detailed data	The reporting country should describe its control procedures: checks for completeness, validity and credibility checks.
2,20	Share of electronic declarations in total trade (in terms of value)	Detailed data	This item shows how much of the Intrastat data are captured through electronic data collection means.

ITEMS TO BE DOCUMENTED		Data flows	Explanatory notes
3. TIMELINESS AND PUNCTUALITY			
3,1	Time lag between end of reference period and date of dissemination of first results by Eurostat	Aggregated data	This item refers to the dissemination delay of Eurostat's monthly press release.
3,2	Time lag between end of reference period and date of transmission of first results to Eurostat	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows in which extent the data - aggregated, detailed and TEC statistics - to be transmitted to Eurostat are provided in due time, i.e. within the legal deadlines.
3,3	Punctuality of data transmission - Number of delayed data deliveries	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows: - for aggregated and detailed data: how many times out of the 12 months of the reference year data were delivered late, - for TEC data: whether or not the annual figures were delivered in due time.
3,4	Punctuality of data transmission - Average delay of the delayed data deliveries	Aggregated, detailed and TEC data	This item shows: - for aggregated and detailed data: the average delay (out of the 12 months of the reference year) of the delayed deliveries, - for TEC data: the delay in case of late delivery of the annual figures.
4. ACCESSIBILITY AND CLARITY			
4,1	Dissemination channels of European ITGS at national level		The reporting country should describe its dissemination channels (if any) of the trade in goods data compiled according to the EU concepts and definitions.
4,2	Metadata accompanying European ITGS at national level		The reporting country should list all the relevant documents (in particular, the methodological documents) and kinds of support supplied to the users of European ITGS.
5. COMPARABILITY			
Comparability - Over space			
5,1	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU detailed data	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows the magnitude of the intra-EU asymmetries recorded at detailed level.
5,2	Relative asymmetry in intra-EU aggregated data	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows the magnitude of the intra-EU asymmetries recorded at total level.
5,3	Methodological reasons for intra-EU asymmetries	Detailed intra-EU trade data	List of asymmetry causes linked to the concepts and definitions or to non harmonised practices regarding the collection or compilation of intra-EU trade statistics.
5,4	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between national and European ITGS - Description and quantitative impact	Detailed data	List of all the methodological reasons for discrepancies between the statistics compiled according to the national concept and the ones compiled according to the EU concept (for instance, general trade system versus special trade system or different treatment of the quasi transit). These reasons should be described and quantify as accurately as possible.
Comparability - Over time			
5,5	Changes in nomenclatures		Any changes in nomenclatures should be reported. In practice, all the nomenclatures are concerned but a particular attention should be paid to those used for data dissemination and in particular to the product and country nomenclatures.
5,6	Changes in concepts and definitions with an impact on European ITGS		List of all changes in concepts and definitions impacting the European ITGS
Comparability - Across domains			
5,7	Methodological reasons for discrepancies between trade data of different statistical domains		Other statistical domains use the international trade statistics but with the adaptations required by their own concepts and definitions. These statistical domains are: National Accounts, Balance of Payments and Business statistics. The methodological reasons for discrepancies between the international trade data and the trade results published by these three statistical domains should be listed.
6. COHERENCE			
6,1	Consistency between international trade statistics and statistics originating from other sources		This item refers to an assessment of the consistency between ITGS and trade data collected by other statistical domains (like, for instance, PRODCOM). The main reasons for discrepancies should be listed.
7. ASSESSMENT OF USER NEEDS AND PERCEPTIONS			
7,1	Degree of users' satisfaction		This item shows in which extent the trade statistics answer the users' needs in their current definitions and availability: statistical variables, level of details, dissemination means, frequency of the revisions, etc.
8. PERFORMANCE, COST AND RESPONDENT BURDEN			
8,1	Thresholds	Detailed intra-EU trade data	For Intrastat, this item refers to the level of the statistical thresholds: are the exemption, simplification and statistical value thresholds fixed at the adequate level? The exemption threshold should be assessed on the basis of the item 2.4, the simplification threshold on the basis of the item 8.2 and the statistical value threshold on the basis of the item 8.3.
		Detailed extra-EU trade data	For Extrastat, this item refers to the limit expressed in value or net mass under which the Customs declarations are not necessarily processed at the most detailed level.
8,2	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations below the simplification threshold	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the simplified declarations account for.
8,3	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations above the statistical value threshold	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the declarations above the statistical value threshold account for.
8,4	Share in total trade of Intrastat declarations including the statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	This item shows how big share of the total trade the statistical values reported by the PSIs – whatever whether or not they are above the statistical value threshold - account for. This share is at least equal to the share compiled under the item 8.3 because of the possible phenomena of voluntary reporting from PSIs below the statistical value threshold.
8,5	Percentage of intra-EU traders exempted from Intrastat declarations	Detailed intra-EU trade data	Rather than the percentage, the following ratio should be reported considering the total trade but also the trade flows - arrivals and dispatches - separately: Total number of exempted intra-EU traders / Total number of intra-EU traders.
8,6	Percentage of PSI allowed to use Intrastat simplified declarations	Detailed intra-EU trade data	Rather than the percentage, the following ratio should be reported considering the total trade but also the trade flows - arrivals and dispatches - separately: Total number of PSIs allowed to use Intrastat simplified declarations / Total number of PSIs
8,7	Percentage of PSI exempted from the reporting of the statistical value	Detailed intra-EU trade data	Rather than the percentage, the following ratio should be reported considering the total trade but also the trade flows - arrivals and dispatches - separately: Total number of PSIs exempted from the reporting of the statistical value / Total number of PSIs
8,8	National practice in terms of net mass	Detailed intra-EU trade data	When the PSIs are exempted from the net mass reporting for 8-digit codes with a supplementary unit, the non-collected net mass should be then estimated by the National Statistical Authority.
8,9	List of simplification measures	Detailed data	List of all the simplification measures implemented in the Intrastat and Extrastat systems (thresholds, simplified reporting, etc.)
8,10	List of optional data	Detailed data	List of data collected at national level but not required by the EU legislation

CHANGES COMPARED TO THE 2015 REPORTING AND ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE

The main changes introduced in the structure of the National Quality Report are summarised below.
The new quality indicators as well as the new questions covering the recommendations appear in red in the tables concerned.

NEW SHEETS (Highlighted in red color)

Recommendations

This sheet lists the recommendations included in the 2015 edition of the Compilers Guide on European ITGS and the questions proposed to assess their application. For each new question are specified the reference to the relevant table and a numbering. A direct link to the question has also been inserted.

Table 2.2.I (b) - INTRASTAT - Measures and practices for specific goods or movements

This sheet includes the information formerly available in section 2. SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS of Table 2.2.I and the new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations: R3, R9, R10, R24, R25, R34 and R35.

Table 2.2.E (b) - EXTRASTAT - Measures and practices for specific goods or movements

This sheet includes the information formerly available in section 3. SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS of Table 2.2.E and the new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations: R10, R24, R25 and R34.

Table 8.1 - INTRASTAT - Thresholds calculation

This new sheet includes the new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations: R12, R13, R14 and R15.

SHEETS WITH MODIFIED CONTENT

Table 2.2.I (a) - MEASURES IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE EXHAUSTIVENESS OF TRADE MOVEMENTS COVERED BY THE INTRASTAT LEGISLATION

This sheet includes former Table 2.2.I (excluding section 2. SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS, which has been moved to the new sheet Table 2.2.I (b)) and new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations: R1, R2 and R33.

Table 2.2.E (a) - MEASURES IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE EXHAUSTIVENESS OF TRADE MOVEMENTS COVERED BY THE EXTRASTAT LEGISLATION

This sheet includes former Table 2.2.E (excluding section 3. SPECIFIC MOVEMENTS, which has been moved to the new sheet Table 2.2.E (b)) and new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations: R5 and R6.

Table 2.19 - NATIONAL PRACTICES IN TERMS OF DATA CONTROLS

This sheet includes Table 2.19, the content of which has been extended with a new section (4. FURTHER CHECKS) including new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations: R16, R21 and R28.

Table 2.5-I, Table 2.7-I, Table 2.10, Table 2.14, Table 2.16 and Table 8.8&8.9

All these sheets include the same tables as before, with also new questions aiming at assessing the application of the following recommendations:

- R27, R29 and R31 in Table 2.5-I - INTRASTAT - Estimates for trade below the exemption threshold
- R27, R30 and R32 in Table 2.7-I - INTRASTAT - Estimates for non/late response
- R20 in Table 2.10 - COMPILATION OF AGGREGATED DATA
- R22, R23 and R26 in Table 2.14 - NATIONAL PRACTICES IN TERMS OF REVISION
- R17, R18 and R19 in Table 2.16 - CONFIDENTIALITY PRACTICES FOR DETAILED DATA
- R4 and R11 in Table 8.8&8.9 - SIMPLIFICATION MEASURES IMPLEMENTED WITHIN INTRASTAT AND EXTRASTAT